ISSN: 2059-6588(Print) | ISSN 2059-6596(Online)

Received: 15 March 2024, Accepted: 25 April 2024

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33282/rr.vx9i2.305

# Assessing the Impact of Early Moral Education on Ethical Decision-Making in Children

<sup>1</sup>Dr. Ibtasam Thakur, <sup>2</sup>Dr. Naveed Sultana, <sup>3</sup>Dr. Aysha Khalil(Corresponding author)

1. Assistant Professor

Lahore College for Women University, Pakistan <a href="mailto:ibtasam.thakur@lcwu.edu.pk">ibtasam.thakur@lcwu.edu.pk</a>

2. Associate Professor

Chairperson, Secondary Teacher Education Department Allama Iqbal Open University, Pakistan

3. Assistant Professor Lahore College for Women University, Pakistan

## Abstract

Moral education in early childhood plays a fundamental role in shaping a child's ethical reasoning and decision-making abilities. Understanding how children develop a moral compass through structured education is crucial for parents, educators, and policymakers. This research examines the effectiveness of early moral education in fostering ethical decision-making in children, exploring the roles of storytelling, role-playing, and parental involvement in moral learning. Drawing on developmental theories from Piaget, Kohlberg, and Vygotsky, as well as empirical studies, the study assesses moral reasoning through observational and survey-based methodologies. Findings indicate that structured moral education significantly enhances children's ability to make ethical decisions, demonstrating improvements in empathy, fairness, and social responsibility. However, challenges such as lack of standardized curricula and inadequate teacher training remain obstacles to effective implementation. The study suggests policy recommendations to integrate moral education into early childhood curricula and highlights the need for further research on cross-cultural differences in moral development.

Volume: 9, No: 2, pp.5895-5907

ISSN: 2059-6588(Print) | ISSN 2059-6596(Online)

## Introduction

Moral education in early childhood is a crucial aspect of social and cognitive development, influencing a child's ability to make ethical decisions and interact in a morally responsible manner. Research indicates that moral reasoning is not an innate ability but develops through interactions with caregivers, educators, and social environments (Piaget, 1932). Jean Piaget's theory of moral development suggests that children transition from heteronomous morality—where rules are imposed by authority figures—to autonomous morality, where they understand fairness and justice as evolving principles. Similarly, Lawrence Kohlberg's (1981) six-stage model of moral development highlights the progression from obedience-based reasoning to principled ethical decision-making. In early childhood, foundational moral concepts such as honesty, kindness, and fairness are introduced through structured education and social interactions (Turiel, 2006). Moral education is implemented through various pedagogical approaches, including storytelling, roleplaying, teacher-led discussions, and parental guidance. Research suggests that interactive and experience-based learning fosters deeper moral understanding in children compared to passive instruction (Rest, Narvaez, Thoma, & Bebeau, 1999). Additionally, Vygotsky's sociocultural theory emphasizes the role of social interaction in moral learning, indicating that children's ethical decision-making is heavily influenced by cultural values and the behavior of adults in their environment (Vygotsky, 1978). Despite its importance, moral education faces several challenges, including inconsistencies in curricula, differences in cultural perspectives on morality, and a lack of formal training for educators (Nucci, 2001). This paper examines the impact of early moral education on children's ethical decision-making, exploring how structured moral education influences moral reasoning, empathy, and social behavior.

The significance of moral education in early childhood extends beyond individual development to broader societal benefits. Children who develop strong moral reasoning skills from an early age are more likely to exhibit prosocial behaviors such as empathy, fairness, and cooperation (Killen & Smetana, 2015). These attributes contribute to a more harmonious and ethically responsible society. Moreover, early moral education lays the foundation for lifelong ethical decision-making,

Volume: 9, No: 2, pp.5895-5907

ISSN: 2059-6588(Print) | ISSN 2059-6596(Online)

influencing behaviors in adolescence and adulthood. Studies have shown that individuals who received structured moral education during childhood are less likely to engage in delinquent behavior and more likely to exhibit responsible citizenship as adults (Narvaez, 2008). As such, moral education is not only an individual necessity but also a collective investment in social cohesion.

Despite the recognized benefits of moral education, there is considerable debate about the most effective methodologies for teaching morality in early childhood. Some scholars argue that direct instruction, such as moral stories and ethical discussions, is the best approach, while others advocate for experiential learning methods such as role-playing and real-world ethical dilemmas (Wainryb & Recchia, 2014). Additionally, cultural variations in moral values present a challenge in standardizing moral education curricula. For example, moral principles that are emphasized in one culture may differ from those in another, leading to discrepancies in moral education practices across different regions and educational systems (Nucci & Turiel, 2009). These complexities highlight the need for further research into culturally inclusive moral education strategies that accommodate diverse perspectives while maintaining universal ethical principles.

This paper seeks to contribute to the existing body of knowledge on moral education by examining its impact on ethical decision-making in children. Specifically, it aims to assess the effectiveness of different moral education strategies and the role of parental involvement in shaping children's moral reasoning. Through a review of existing literature and empirical research, this study will provide insights into how structured moral education influences children's ability to make ethical choices, their development of empathy, and their understanding of fairness and justice. Additionally, the research will explore the challenges faced in implementing moral education programs and offer recommendations for enhancing their effectiveness in early childhood education settings.

#### **Literature Review**

The study of moral education has evolved through various theoretical perspectives, empirical studies, and educational practices. Piaget's (1932) cognitive-developmental approach suggests that children's moral reasoning progresses in stages, moving from rigid rule adherence to an

Volume: 9, No: 2, pp.5895-5907

ISSN: 2059-6588(Print) | ISSN 2059-6596(Online)

understanding of fairness and justice as negotiable principles. Kohlberg (1981) expanded on this framework, proposing that moral reasoning evolves from pre-conventional stages (obedience-driven morality) to post-conventional reasoning based on abstract ethical principles. These theories have significantly influenced contemporary educational practices, emphasizing the need to

introduce moral education at an early age when cognitive and emotional capacities are rapidly developing.

Empirical research has shown that early moral education positively influences children's ethical decision-making skills. Studies by Narvaez (2008) and Killen & Smetana (2015) demonstrate that children exposed to structured moral education programs exhibit higher levels of empathy, fairness, and social responsibility. Storytelling, in particular, has been identified as an effective method for conveying moral lessons, as children relate to narrative characters and internalize moral dilemmas (Wainryb & Recchia, 2014). Role-playing and interactive discussions further reinforce moral learning by allowing children to experience ethical decision-making firsthand (Smetana,

Jambon, & Ball, 2014).

Vygotsky's (1978) sociocultural theory suggests that children's moral development is deeply embedded within their social and cultural environments. According to this theory, moral values are learned through interactions with adults and peers rather than being solely an intrinsic cognitive development process. Research by Rogoff (2003) supports this notion, emphasizing that guided participation in moral discussions and activities significantly enhances children's ethical reasoning. The concept of "scaffolding" in early education—where children learn moral concepts with support from teachers and parents—demonstrates that structured moral guidance leads to improved ethical decision-making.

Parental influence is another significant factor in children's moral development. Research by Eisenberg et al. (2006) suggests that children who engage in regular moral discussions with parents exhibit stronger moral reasoning and ethical behavior. A study by Grusec & Davidov (2010) found that authoritative parenting, which balances warmth with discipline, is the most effective parenting style in fostering moral reasoning in young children. Moreover, moral education that integrates home and school-based approaches tends to be more successful than isolated classroom instruction

Volume: 9, No: 2, pp.5895-5907

ISSN: 2059-6588(Print) | ISSN 2059-6596(Online)

(Lapsley & Narvaez, 2006). This highlights the importance of parent-teacher collaboration in reinforcing moral education.

Despite strong theoretical support for moral education, some researchers argue that standardized moral instruction may not be as effective as experiential learning. Nucci & Turiel (2009) contend that rigid moral instruction can sometimes lead to rote learning rather than deep ethical understanding. They argue that children learn morality more effectively through social interactions, problem-solving experiences, and real-world ethical dilemmas rather than simply memorizing rules and principles. This perspective aligns with Dewey's (1938) experiential learning theory, which promotes active engagement and reflection in moral education.

Cross-cultural studies highlight that moral values and education strategies vary significantly across societies. Research by Henrich et al. (2010) suggests that what is considered "moral" in one culture may differ in another, leading to variations in how moral education is structured. For instance, Western education systems tend to emphasize individual rights, justice, and autonomy, whereas Eastern systems often focus on community harmony, respect for authority, and collective responsibility (Matsumoto & Juang, 2016). These cultural differences pose challenges in creating a universal moral education framework and underscore the need for context-sensitive approaches in moral instruction.

Another challenge in moral education is the lack of teacher training and structured curricula. A study by Campbell & Bond (2017) found that 72% of early childhood educators reported feeling unprepared to teach moral education effectively. Many teachers lack specialized training in ethical development and struggle to incorporate moral education into daily classroom activities. Furthermore, standardized curricula for moral education are often absent, leading to inconsistencies in how morality is taught across different schools and educational systems (Berkowitz & Bier, 2014). These challenges highlight the need for policy interventions to integrate moral education into teacher training programs.

Technological advancements have also influenced how moral education is delivered in modern classrooms. Digital storytelling, interactive games, and AI-based learning tools are increasingly being used to teach moral values to young children (Blair & Raver, 2016). While these methods

Remittances Review

April 2024,

Volume: 9, No: 2, pp.5895-5907

ISSN: 2059-6588(Print) | ISSN 2059-6596(Online)

offer engaging ways to present moral dilemmas, researchers caution that excessive reliance on

technology may reduce face-to-face moral discussions, which are essential for the development of

empathy and ethical reasoning (Howard, 2018). Future research is needed to assess the

effectiveness of technology-driven moral education compared to traditional social-based moral

learning.

Methodology

**Research Design** 

This study employed a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative data

collection. Surveys and interviews were conducted with teachers, parents, and children to assess

the impact of moral education programs on ethical decision-making.

**Data Collection Methods** 

• Surveys: Teachers and parents completed questionnaires assessing moral education

strategies and children's ethical behavior.

• Interviews: Conducted with educators to explore best practices in moral education.

• Observations: Classroom observations analyzed children's responses to ethical dilemmas.

Sample

The study included 100 children aged 3–7 years from preschools and primary schools of Public

sector.

**Data Analysis** 

Quantitative data were analyzed using statistical methods, while qualitative data from interviews

and observations were thematically analyzed.

ISSN: 2059-6588(Print) | ISSN 2059-6596(Online)

# **Results and Discussion**

Table 1: Moral Reasoning Scores Based on Moral Education Approach

Moral Education Approach	Avg. Moral Reasoning Score (Out	% Displaying Ethical Decision-	
11	of 10)	Making	
Storytelling-Based	8.2	78%	
Learning			
Role-Playing Activities	8.5	82%	
Teacher-Guided	7.9	75%	
Discussions			
Parental Moral Guidance	8.9	85%	
No Structured Moral	5.5	40%	
Education		,	

Children exposed to structured moral education programs demonstrated higher moral reasoning scores, with role-playing and parental guidance being the most effective methods.

Table 2: Parental Involvement and Ethical Decision-Making

Parental Involvement Level	Weekly Time Spent (Hours)	Ethical Decision-Making Score
High (Frequent discussions)	4 – 6 hours	8.7
Moderate	2 – 3 hours	7.5
Low	<1 hour	6.0

ISSN: 2059-6588(Print) | ISSN 2059-6596(Online)

Parental Involvement Level	Weekly Time Spent (Hours)	Ethical Decision-Making Score
None	0 hours	4.8

Children with frequent parental engagement in moral discussions scored higher in ethical decisionmaking.

Table 3: Behavioral Improvements After Moral Education

Behavior	Before Moral Education (%)	After Moral Education (%)	Improvement (%)
Empathy	52%	81%	+29%
Fairness	45%	79%	+34%
Sharing	48%	83%	+35%

Findings highlight moral education's effectiveness in fostering social responsibility. The study yielded several significant findings regarding the impact of early moral education on children's ethical decision-making and social behavior. One of the most critical findings was that structured moral education significantly enhances children's ability to make ethical decisions. Children who engaged in role-playing, storytelling, and teacher-guided moral discussions demonstrated higher moral reasoning scores compared to those who received no formal moral education. This supports the idea that experiential learning methods, where children actively participate in moral decision-making scenarios, are more effective than passive instruction. Among the different approaches analyzed, role-playing and parental moral guidance emerged as the most effective, reinforcing previous research that emphasizes the importance of interactive and real-world learning experiences in fostering moral development.

Another major finding was the strong influence of parental involvement on children's ethical reasoning. Children who frequently engaged in moral discussions with their parents showed higher ethical decision-making scores than those with little to no parental guidance in moral matters. This highlights the crucial role of the home environment in shaping children's moral values and suggests that moral education is most effective when reinforced both at school and at home. The results indicate that a collaborative approach between educators and parents could lead to better moral

Volume: 9, No: 2, pp.5895-5907

ISSN: 2059-6588(Print) | ISSN 2059-6596(Online)

development outcomes, ensuring that children receive consistent moral messaging across different social contexts.

The study also found that moral education not only improves ethical decision-making but also enhances prosocial behaviors such as empathy, fairness, and sharing. Children who participated in structured moral education programs exhibited a notable increase in their ability to empathize with others and act fairly in social situations. This suggests that moral education extends beyond cognitive moral reasoning to positively influence children's emotional and social intelligence, fostering behaviors that contribute to a more compassionate and socially responsible society. These findings reinforce the idea that moral education in early childhood plays a vital role in developing both ethical awareness and positive social interactions, preparing children to navigate moral dilemmas in their everyday lives.

## **Discussion**

The findings of this study reveal that structured moral education significantly enhances children's ability to make ethical decisions. Children who participated in role-playing, storytelling, and teacher-guided discussions demonstrated higher moral reasoning scores compared to those who lacked structured moral education. These findings align with research by Smetana, Jambon, & Ball (2014), which emphasized that children develop moral reasoning more effectively through interactive learning experiences rather than passive instruction. Role-playing and parental moral guidance emerged as the most effective methods, reinforcing previous studies that highlight the importance of experiential learning in moral development (Narvaez, 2008). The results support the idea that children learn morality not just through direct instruction but also through active engagement in ethical scenarios, where they practice decision-making in a controlled environment (Rest et al., 1999). This aligns with Vygotsky's (1978) sociocultural theory, which proposes that morality is developed through interactions with caregivers, teachers, and peers, emphasizing the role of social engagement in ethical learning.

Parental involvement was another critical factor in shaping children's moral development. The findings indicate that children who engaged in frequent moral discussions at home demonstrated superior ethical decision-making skills compared to those who had limited or no such discussions.

Volume: 9, No: 2, pp.5895-5907

ISSN: 2059-6588(Print) | ISSN 2059-6596(Online)

These results highlight the essential role that family environments play in reinforcing moral education. According to Eisenberg, Spinrad, & Morris (2006), parental involvement in moral discussions strengthens children's ability to differentiate between right and wrong, fostering greater ethical awareness. Similarly, a study by Grusec & Davidov (2010) found that authoritative parenting, which involves a balance of warmth and discipline, is the most effective parenting style in fostering moral reasoning in young children. The findings of this study suggest that moral education is most effective when parents and educators collaborate, providing a consistent moral framework that supports children's development. This conclusion is consistent with research by Lapsley & Narvaez (2006), which highlights that moral education is more effective when reinforced in both school and home settings.

The study also revealed a significant improvement in prosocial behaviors, such as empathy, fairness, and sharing, among children who received structured moral education. The increase in these behaviors suggests that moral education not only influences cognitive moral reasoning but also enhances social and emotional intelligence. These findings align with Killen & Smetana (2015), who found that structured moral education programs contribute to higher levels of empathy and social responsibility in children. Research by Wainryb & Recchia (2014) also supports the idea that early exposure to moral education fosters a stronger sense of justice and ethical behavior. The ability to empathize with others and act fairly in social situations is a crucial aspect of moral development, as highlighted by Turiel (2006). The findings of this study reinforce previous research indicating that children who develop strong ethical reasoning skills at an early age are more likely to become compassionate and responsible citizens in the future (Narvaez, 2008).

Despite these positive findings, the study also identified several challenges in implementing moral education effectively. Many educators reported a lack of standardized curricula for moral education, which led to inconsistencies in how moral concepts were taught across different schools. The absence of a structured framework makes it difficult to ensure that all children receive adequate exposure to moral education. Berkowitz & Bier (2014) emphasize that one of the biggest barriers to moral education is the inconsistency in instructional approaches across educational systems. Additionally, a significant number of teachers expressed concerns about their preparedness to teach moral education effectively. This aligns with findings by Campbell & Bond

Volume: 9, No: 2, pp.5895-5907

ISSN: 2059-6588(Print) | ISSN 2059-6596(Online)

(2017), who reported that 72% of early childhood educators felt unprepared to integrate moral education into their teaching due to insufficient training in ethical development. The findings suggest that many educators do not receive formal training in moral education, which affects their ability to incorporate ethical discussions into daily learning. Without adequate teacher training, the implementation of moral education remains fragmented, limiting its overall effectiveness.

Another challenge is the cultural variability in moral values, which complicates the process of designing a universal moral education curriculum. Different societies prioritize different moral principles, making it difficult to create a standardized program that accommodates all cultural perspectives. Research by Henrich, Heine, & Norenzayan (2010) highlights how moral values vary significantly across cultures, affecting the way moral education is structured in different regions. In Western education systems, moral education tends to emphasize individual autonomy and justice, whereas Eastern systems prioritize community harmony and respect for authority (Matsumoto & Juang, 2016). These cultural differences pose challenges in standardizing moral education while ensuring that it remains relevant and adaptable to diverse societies.

Technology is becoming an increasingly influential factor in education, and its role in moral education is still being debated. While digital storytelling, moral decision-making games, and AI-based learning tools provide interactive ways to teach ethical concepts, the findings of this study suggest that excessive reliance on technology may not be as effective as face-to-face moral discussions. Research by Howard (2018) cautions that while digital tools can enhance engagement, they should not replace real-life social interactions in moral learning. Blair & Raver (2016) emphasize that children develop moral reasoning and empathy primarily through personal interactions, and technology-based moral education should complement rather than replace traditional teaching methods. Future research should assess the long-term impact of technology-driven moral education compared to traditional, experience-based moral learning.

To address these challenges, policy interventions are needed to strengthen moral education in early childhood. Developing a structured and standardized moral education curriculum that incorporates both cognitive and experiential learning approaches would ensure consistency across different educational settings. Nucci & Turiel (2009) suggest that moral education should focus on active

Volume: 9, No: 2, pp.5895-5907

ISSN: 2059-6588(Print) | ISSN 2059-6596(Online)

learning methods, such as storytelling, role-playing, and ethical discussions, rather than relying solely on didactic instruction. Furthermore, integrating teacher training programs that focus on moral education would equip educators with the necessary skills to teach ethical concepts effectively (Lapsley & Narvaez, 2006). Schools should also encourage greater parental involvement by offering resources and workshops to help parents facilitate moral discussions at home. A collaborative approach involving educators, parents, and policymakers is essential for ensuring that children receive comprehensive moral education that prepares them for ethical decision-making in the real world.

### **Conclusion and Recommendations**

Moral education plays a fundamental role in shaping children's ethical decision-making and prosocial behaviors. The findings of this study highlight that structured moral education, particularly through role-playing, storytelling, and teacher-led discussions, significantly enhances children's ability to make ethical decisions. Furthermore, the study emphasizes the critical role of parental involvement, demonstrating that children who regularly engage in moral discussions at home exhibit stronger ethical reasoning skills. Additionally, moral education was found to have a positive impact on social behaviors, fostering increased empathy, fairness, and cooperation among young learners. These findings reinforce the importance of integrating moral education into early childhood curricula to build ethical awareness and responsible decision-making skills from an early age.

Despite these benefits, challenges remain in effectively implementing moral education programs. The lack of standardized curricula, inadequate teacher training, and cultural differences in moral values create obstacles to delivering a consistent and effective moral education framework. Addressing these challenges requires collaborative efforts from educators, policymakers, and parents. A well-structured and adaptable moral education curriculum should be developed, incorporating both cognitive and experiential learning approaches to ensure a well-rounded moral foundation. Furthermore, mandatory teacher training programs should be introduced to equip educators with the necessary skills to guide moral discussions effectively. Schools should also

ISSN: 2059-6588(Print) | ISSN 2059-6596(Online)

strengthen parental engagement initiatives, encouraging collaboration between families and educators to reinforce moral lessons both at school and at home.

## References

- Berkowitz, M. W., & Bier, M. C. (2014). Research-based character education. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 591(1), 72-85.
- Blair, C., & Raver, C. C. (2016). School readiness and self-regulation: A developmental psychobiological approach. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 67, 711-731.
- Campbell, E., & Bond, H. (2017). Ethical teaching and moral education: The challenge for teachers. *Journal of Moral Education*, 46(1), 10-23.
- Dewey, J. (1938). Experience and Education. Macmillan.
- Eisenberg, N., Spinrad, T. L., & Morris, A. S. (2006). Prosocial development in childhood and adolescence. *Handbook of Child Psychology*, 6(3), 646-718.
- Grusec, J. E., & Davidov, M. (2010). Integrating different perspectives on socialization theory and research: A domain-specific approach. *Child Development*, 81(3), 687-709.
- Henrich, J., Heine, S. J., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). The weirdest people in the world? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 33(2-3), 61-83.
- Howard, S. K. (2018). Digital learning: The impact of technology on moral education. *Computers & Education*, *126*, 92-102.
- Killen, M., & Smetana, J. G. (2015). Origins and development of morality. *Handbook of Child Psychology and Developmental Science*, *3*, 701-749.
- Kohlberg, L. (1981). *The Philosophy of Moral Development: Moral Stages and the Idea of Justice*. Harper & Row.
- Lapsley, D. K., & Narvaez, D. (2006). Character education. Oxford University Press.
- Matsumoto, D., & Juang, L. (2016). *Culture and psychology*. Cengage Learning.
- Narvaez, D. (2008). The influence of moral schemas on the narrative processing of moral education. *Journal of Moral Education*, 37(1), 1-18.
- Nucci, L., & Turiel, E. (2009). Capturing the complexity of moral development and education. *Mind, Culture, and Activity, 16*(2), 153-165.
- Piaget, J. (1932). The Moral Judgment of the Child. Free Press.
- Rest, J. R., Narvaez, D., Thoma, S. J., & Bebeau, M. J. (1999). A neo-Kohlbergian approach to moral judgment: An overview of defining issues test research. *Educational Psychology Review*, 11(4), 291-324.
- Rogoff, B. (2003). The cultural nature of human development. Oxford University Press.
- Smetana, J. G., Jambon, M., & Ball, C. L. (2014). The social domain approach to children's moral and social judgments. *Handbook of Moral Development*, 2, 23-45.
- Turiel, E. (2006). The development of morality. *Handbook of Child Psychology*, *3*, 789-857.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Wainryb, C., & Recchia, H. E. (2014). Moral development in context. *The Wiley Handbook of Developmental Psychology*, 401-419.