

Received: 15 July 2024, Accepted: 20 August 2024

## **Hospitality Organizational Culture: Impact on Employee's Job Satisfaction, Organizational Citizenship Behaviors, Service Recovery Performance, and Retaining Employment**

**Ayaz Noorani<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Zakria<sup>2</sup>**

1. Academic Director/ Sr. Instructor, Institute of Hotel & Restaurant Management, University of Gujrat, Gujrat, Pakistan  
[ayaz.noorani@uog.edu.pk](mailto:ayaz.noorani@uog.edu.pk) / [ayazuog@gmail.com](mailto:ayazuog@gmail.com)
2. Institute of Hotel & Restaurant Management, University of Gujrat, Gujrat, Pakistan  
[zakriazaki12@gmail.com](mailto:zakriazaki12@gmail.com)

### **ABSTRACT**

Organizational culture continues to be a defining factor for the success of hospitality organizations, shaping employee attitudes, behaviors, and performance. Rooted in the theory of work adjustment, this study dives into the multifaceted impacts of hospitality organizational culture on key employee outcomes, including job satisfaction, organizational citizenship behaviors (OCBs), service recovery performance, and intention to leave. The research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how the cultural environment within hospitality settings influences these critical factors.

Using a survey-based methodology, data was collected from 600 hotel employees across various hospitality organizations in Pakistan. The findings showed significant relationships between hospitality organizational culture and the examined employee outcomes. A positive and supportive organizational culture was found to enhance job satisfaction, foster organizational citizenship behaviors, and improve service recovery performance. Conversely, a lack of cultural alignment was associated with increased employee turnover intentions.

The study underscores the pivotal role of a robust hospitality culture in promoting employee engagement and commitment. Job satisfaction emerged as a key mediator, linking organizational culture to improved service recovery performance and reduced turnover intentions. Employees who perceive a strong and supportive cultural framework are more likely to exhibit discretionary behaviors that go beyond their formal job requirements, contributing to overall organizational effectiveness.

Additionally, the research highlights the importance of service recovery performance as a critical dimension of hospitality operations. Employees embedded in a positive organizational culture are better equipped to address and resolve customer issues effectively, thereby enhancing guest satisfaction and loyalty. Organizational citizenship behaviors, characterized by voluntary and cooperative actions, were also

significantly influenced by cultural factors, demonstrating the broader impact of organizational culture on teamwork and collaboration.

These findings emphasize the necessity for hospitality organizations to cultivate and sustain a strong organizational culture that aligns with employee values and expectations. By fostering a supportive and inclusive environment, organizations can not only enhance job satisfaction and service recovery performance but also mitigate turnover intentions and encourage positive discretionary behaviors. This study contributes to the growing body of literature on hospitality management and offers actionable insights for practitioners aiming to optimize employee outcomes and organizational performance.

**Keywords:** Hospitality culture; intent to leave; job satisfaction, organizational citizenship Behaviors, service recovery performance

## INTRODUCTION

Organizational culture can be described as the policies, procedures, and values employees perceive from the company about the way to behave within the organization (Koutroumanis, Watson, & Dastoor, 2012). A strong organizational culture continues to be recognized as a leading contributor to multiple performance and employee outcomes. For example, organizational cultures that highlight mutual respect among organizational members, teamwork, and security are likely to form a sense of loyalty and commitment to the company (Kerr & Slocum, 1987). Many hospitality companies have developed organizational cultural strategies with the belief that culture drives employee commitment, empowerment, loyalty, motivation, performance, satisfaction, and trust (Achmad, 2017; Mohamed, Nor, Hasan, Olaganthan, & Gunasekaran, 2013; Wang, Guchait, Madera, & Pasamehmetoğlu, 2018). These employee outcomes will have a positive effect on guest satisfaction, which leads to higher profitability (Way, Sturman, & Raab, 2010).

The importance of organizational culture is salient throughout the entire HR process, starting from the stage of recruiting and selection. Job candidates are often selected based upon shared values and beliefs, thus ensuring a “fit” with the organization. Without the congruence of similar values and beliefs, the candidate will potentially be a poor fit with the organization’s culture; hence, creating job dissatisfaction for that employee and disharmony within the organization. This misalignment has the potential to create wasted resources and reduce productivity for the hospitality company because turnover is much more rapid for those employees with a poor fit with the organization (Frye, Kang, Huh, & Lee, 2020).

Multiple researchers have attempted to define and quantify the characteristics of hospitality organizational culture through scale development with the intent of measuring potential employees’ fit to the characteristics of the hospitality industry (Bavik, 2016; Dawson, Abbott, & Shoemaker, 2011; Tepeci & Bartlett, 2002). These scales have identified unique aspects of the hospitality organizational culture, which is much different from other industries because they include constructs such as cohesiveness, customer relationships, job variety, and social motivation. The consensus of these studies is that hospitality organizational culture can be described as a system of shared norms, values, beliefs, traditions, and expectations whose ultimate goal is to provide exceptional service and memorable satisfactory experiences that

form the primary identity as it relates to hospitality coemployees, customers, and the organization (Pizam, 2020).

To date, the newly established scales have not been utilized to assess the relationship between hospitality organizational culture and some key employee attitudinal and behavioral outcomes. When hiring a front-line employee who will interact with guests, an ideal candidate should possess values that align with hospitality culture, be willing to go beyond what is written within the job description (organizational citizenship behavior), and be able to respond to service failures when they occur (service recovery performance). Not only is it critical to hire employees who fit within the culture of the organization, but for staff to be productive, satisfied, and stay working with the company. Hospitality employees typically portray the face of the organization to customers, have the most interactions, and play a crucial role in service delivery as well as service recovery. Thus, organizations must adopt an organizational culture that allows employees to develop positive job attitudes and behaviors. According to the theory of adjustment, to find a good culture-person fit, potential employees should have personal attributes that match the values, beliefs, and norms of the organization (Rounds, Dawis, & Lofquist, 1987).

Currently, the literature on organizational culture and employee outcomes is missing a key focus: hospitality culture. Within the services industry, the relationship between a hospitality culture and employee outcomes has not been explored, despite the necessity of this type of culture within the services industry due to its distinct aspects, such as guest interactions, unique leadership traits, and the work climate. This gap in the literature underscores the importance of understanding how hospitality organizational culture influences critical employee outcomes such as job satisfaction, organizational citizenship behaviors, service recovery performance, and intention to leave. By addressing this gap, this study aims to provide actionable insights for hospitality practitioners and researchers.

Hospitality organizational culture goes beyond the structural and procedural elements of a business; it embodies the ethos and shared vision of the organization. A well-cultivated hospitality culture fosters a sense of belonging and pride among employees, driving their willingness to exceed expectations and deliver unparalleled guest experiences. Employees who resonate with the values and principles of their organization are more likely to exhibit organizational citizenship behaviors voluntary actions that go beyond their prescribed job roles to benefit the organization and their peers. These behaviors are particularly crucial in the hospitality industry, where guest satisfaction often goes on to the extra efforts and personal touches provided by employees.

Furthermore, service recovery performance is a critical aspect influenced by hospitality culture. Service failures are inevitable in the hospitality industry, given its dynamic and customer-centric nature. However, the way employees address and resolve these failures can significantly impact customer perceptions and loyalty. A robust hospitality culture equips employees with the confidence, autonomy, and motivation needed to manage service failures effectively, ensuring that customers leave with a positive impression despite initial setbacks.

Employee retention is another area where hospitality and organizational culture plays a pivotal role. The hospitality industry is notorious for its high turnover rates, which can disrupt operations, inflate recruitment costs, and negatively affect service quality. By fostering a supportive and inclusive culture, organizations can enhance job satisfaction and reduce employees' intention to leave. Employees who feel valued and aligned with their organization's culture are more likely to remain loyal, contributing to a stable and experienced workforce that can consistently deliver high-quality services.

The theory of work adjustment provides a valuable framework for understanding the interplay between organizational culture and employee outcomes. This theory posits that employees are more likely to thrive in environments where their personal values, skills, and needs align with the organization's culture. In the context of the hospitality industry, this alignment is particularly important due to the emotionally demanding nature of the work. Employees who find a good fit with their organization's culture are better equipped to manage the challenges of their roles, resulting in higher job satisfaction, improved performance, and reduced turnover intentions.

This study aims to fill the existing gap in literature by examining the multifaceted impacts of hospitality organizational culture on employee outcomes. Specifically, it seeks to:

1. Explore the relationship between hospitality, organizational culture and employees' job satisfaction.
2. Determine whether the presence of a hospitality organizational culture increases an employee's organizational citizenship behaviors and service recovery performance and decreases intent to leave.
3. Examine the mediating effect of job satisfaction between a hospitality culture and employee satisfaction outcomes.

By focusing on these objectives, this study seeks to advance the understanding of how a robust and supportive hospitality culture can drive positive employee behaviors and attitudes, enhancing organizational effectiveness and guest satisfaction. The findings are expected to provide valuable insights for hospitality managers and policymakers, enabling them to develop strategies that foster a culture of excellence and sustainability within their organizations.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW**

### **2.1 Organizational Culture:**

Organizational culture means the shared beliefs, norms and culture at a work place and how people perceive things, and think, behave, to each other in a workplace accordingly by sharing their different aspects of life and their different experiences, with the passage of time definitions have changed many time, differently and accordingly but the all generally agree on workplace culture involving shared values, assumptions and aspects of life.

Culture shows a collective and collaborative phenomena, not just limited to an individual but involving others as well. Culture evolves and emerges by combinations and evolutions of customs and traditions and lifestyles of people overtime. Culture is

difficult to change and it's very resistant to any change that is done with that because of deep rooted values and ideas attached to it over time. Culture is not dictated by any individual or any single nature, but it occurs in groups and shared by them.

Culture can't be measured precisely because it is different at different times, and it is intangible as well. Often, terms like symbols, myths, and rituals and other more terms are used to describe a culture. Thoughts and values are flourished and thrive in a culture, rather than concrete or tough behavior. Instead of observing behaviors which are directly observable, culture tends to influence groups of people to value and interpret their reality. Instead of being the behavior it guides people to observe their behavior (Nebojša, 2015).

(Pettigrew, 1979)rooted the concept of organizational culture as “a system, in which personal and collective accepted meaning of work, operated by people, operating for a group of people for a given period of time ” Organizational culture is additionally alluded to as collective programming of the intellect, which separates the individuals of one organization from another organization. This comprises “beliefs, values, hones and shared desires held by individuals of an organization” (Greenberg, 2010; Hofstede, 1989);(De Romario et al., 2019). It could be a design or essential suspicion shared by a bunch when understanding issues of outside adjustment and inside integration, which have succeeded and are considered genuine to be instructed to modern individuals as an suitable way of tolerating, considering and feeling related to this (Prihantari & Astika, 2019). Concurring to (Prihantari & Astika, 2019), organization culture comprises of diverse components, which are as takes after openness; it is the opportunity to communicate, share and associated transparently without delay, accepting criticism from clients and openly giving thoughts and recommendations to other employees. Employees who appraised tall on the level of openness were more open to a unused learning involvement, coming about in higher service recovery performance (Prihantari & Astika, 2019) showdown includes strikingly confronting the issues and challenges that one comes over and not getting away from them (Agrawal & Tyagi, 2010)Genuineness is another imperative component of organizational culture. Concurring to (Subrahmanian, 2012), it refers to the consistency between what one feels and says. Believe within the administration and back of worker well-being at work (commitment, job satisfaction and work-life balance satisfaction) among specialists is additionally critical (Baptiste, 2019). Openness, definitive, affiliative and law based administration, trusting, empowering and outward rewards, adaptable work courses of action and strong work culture, all advanced the work service recovery performance of employees (Bhardwaj & Kalia, 2021). A researcher done by (Rashid et al., 2003)demonstrated that employees tend to provide much esteem to ceaseless back and support from higher administration. Initiative-taking employees help create an environment of vitality and excitement around them within the organization. Taking activity, preplanning and taking preventive activity, utilizing and empowering inventive approaches to unravel issues (Bhardwaj & Kalia, 2021). Advancement of modern items, strategies and methods shape an indispensably portion of an viable organizational culture (Subrahmanian, 2012). According to (Christen et al., 2006), work independence and quality of supervisory input emphatically influence work exertion. Exertion and capacity contributed toward the work service recovery performance of the employees. Openness, believe and experimentation, separated from taking care of cleanliness components, move forward work service recovery

performance, in this manner making a difference the organization pick up an upper edge over others (Hofhuis et al., 2023). Solid organizational culture produces way better short-term service recovery performance ((Gordon & DiTomaso, 1992). (Robbins et al., 2016), in a consideration on organizational culture, proposes that employees in companies whose culture is more grounded are more committed to their company than employees in companies whose culture is frail. Firms with a solid culture persistently utilize their enlistment endeavors and socialization hones to upgrade representative commitment, which, in turn, improves the work service recovery performance of employees. A solid organizational culture will offer assistance organizations give certainty for their individuals conjointly empower them to create alongside organizational advancement (Prihantari & Astika, 2019). Past researchers have uncovered that organizational culture impacts and upgrades the work service recovery performance of employees (Bavik, 2016) (Denison et al., 2013)), fight that organizational culture is 'a design of convictions and desires shared by the organization's members' and it can make 'norms that capably shape the conduct of people and bunches in organizations'. (Pareek, 2014) characterizes culture as 'the aggregate inclination for a few states of life over others (values), the inclinations concerning reactions towards a few noteworthy issues and wonders (states of mind), organized ways of filling time in connection to certain issues (customs), and ways of advancing wanted practices and anticipating undesirable ones (sanctions)'. Agreeing to (Srivastava & Panda, 2003), culture comprises of two concurrent and collaboration systems—the ideational framework that incorporates convictions, mores, standards and values of organization individuals; and the behavioral framework that incorporates worthy and alluring ways of reacting to organizational settings. In show disdain toward of the numerous conceptions and definitions, the common string running through these propose that organizational culture is characterized by a framework of shared convictions, suspicions, values, standards, points of view, practices and hones that have brought about from the require of a bunch of individuals to create meaning of their world and their possess selves in connection to it. It is both a state and a preparation of collective social development of meaning. In its early avatar, the culture viewpoint as connected to organizations was implied to speak to an onto-logical elective to the more predominant positivist–reductionist approach taken by most standard considers of organizational wonders. The early guarantee held by the culture point of view, however, fell brief of desires within the a long time that taken after its presentation as a formal subject (Denison, 1996). While the concept appeared to form more sense to professionals and supervisors who appeared to have.

## **2.2 Measuring Organizational Culture:**

Over the past three decades, different scales have been created to degree organizational culture over distinctive businesses. Be that as it may, these estimations change depending on the (Porter & Yiftachel, 2019). The writing presents two essential approaches:

typological and dimensional. The typological approach classifies organizational culture based on different characteristics, recommending that each organization may be a blend of diverse social measurements, with one sort regularly being more prevailing. (Schaufeli & Bakker, 2004) categorized organizational culture into control introduction, assignment introduction, individual introduction, and part orientation (Sharma & Kumra, 2020) defined organizational culture as "the shared understanding

of an organization's employees," known as the 'organizational culture index,' which centers on values and convictions. The generalization and substance legitimacy of these scales have been addressed (Singh & Agrawal, 2007). (Zeb et al., 2021) portrayed organizational culture as a set of essential suggestions set up by a bunch to address inner and outside adjustment and integration problems (Bitner et al., 1994)) defined culture as a set of standards, values, and standards unequivocally communicated inside the trade. (Heskett, 1994)) noted that organizational culture comprises the convictions, standards, and states of mind that characterize the organization and its working climate (Iskamto, 2021) portrayed culture as the “sum add up to of learned convictions, values, and traditions that direct the behavior of individuals in a specific society.” (Ivanov et al., 2019) alluded to it as the “natural glue” that holds the commerce together. Researchers have shifted in their strategies of measuring organizational culture. (Lin & Mattila, 2010) backed utilizing eight measurements (individuals introduction, development, reasonable remuneration, consideration to subtle elements, esteeming clients, worker advancement, genuineness and ethics, and results introduction) within the Hospitality industry (Tax et al., 1998) proposed nine measurements (level of cohesiveness, social inspiration, continuous onboarding, human asset administration hones, work standards, communication, development, work assortment, and visitor center), with five special to the Hospitality industry. (Roche et al., 2014) recommended utilizing administration standards, client connections, work assortment, and work satisfaction to degree service recovery performance within the Hospitality industry. (Tajeddini & Trueman, 2012) used constructs (Min, 2012) to degree culture within the inn industry. The assortment of measures indicates that researchers have not come to a agreement on measuring organizational culture within the Hospitality industry. (Bate, 1998) degree, including prior measures and significant to the present-day commerce field, ought to be empowered in future considerations. Within the beginning years' culture analysts draw closer organizations with a see to watch, record, and get it special social settings subjectively. Their objective was to get it how these settings advanced. What was emphasized by these analysts was that each setting was special; any endeavor at making comparisons over organizations or at categorizing or generalizing would challenge the onto-logical moorings of culture. Concurring to (Bate, 2001), this introductory approach and technique brought about in some very critical commitments within the zones of socialization (Becherer et al., 2001), imagery (Ford et al., 2001)) and alter (Hofstede, 1983)). Nevertheless, despite these new points of view, culture investigation appeared to wind in no heading. To strengthen the inquiry about endeavors and improve the scope of culture inquire about, we have progressively seen the business of quantitative and overview strategies in an endeavor to compare discoveries, and inquisitively, indeed generalize those. A few illustrations are, (Hon, 2011) among others. Most of these considers endorsed to the idea that culture is something that an organization has, as against something that an organization is.

This takeoff from tradition has, of course, pulled in sufficient feedback from inside the positions. Conventional culture scholars have contended that culture could be a more profound and a more complex concept to loan itself to estimation through overviews. There appears to be common agreement among culture analysts almost the legitimacy of (Hon, 2012) multilayered demonstrate of culture. Analysts concur that overview strategies and quantitative information capture are more agreeable to measuring the shallower manifestations of culture (Nebojša, 2015)—observable angles such as

designs of behavior, honours, standards and demeanors. These strategies may fall flat to capture the more profound viewpoints of culture such as profoundly held values convictions and suspicions. For these levels, culture analysts concede that more reasonable strategies would be perception, in-depth interviews, and self-analysis by organizational members (Sutcliffe et al., 1992)). In any case, what has to be inquired is whether the measured angles of culture reflect the more profound viewpoints such as fundamental suspicions and more profound values shared by organization individuals. In his commentary, (Wong & Pang, 2003) contends that survey information more suitably degree organizational climate than culture. Not with standing his contention, be that as it may, questionnaire-based information of shallower levels of organizational life might reflect the fundamental more profound perspectives of culture, given such a relationship is confirmed This can be certainly a plausibility particularly in the event that it could be a solid culture ((Hon, 2012) Whereas the talk about on the legitimacy of utilizing survey strategies for measuring culture proceeds, there are two comments that welcome consideration. First, in his lamenting the truth that not much take after up has been wiped out the zone of esteem introductions investigate spearheaded by (Hon, 2011)), in an endeavor to draw out a broader inquire about scope for culture, Schein declares that no survey, no matter how well planned, may indeed come near to measuring a few of the measurements secured in this plan. Interests, within the much cited work of (Hon, 2011) on esteem introductions of distinctive social bunches, the two used a 16-item survey to gather information, but on a ostensible scale. The instrument comprised of 16 circumstances (or, stories) with related questions. This can be not to say that all surveys utilized for measuring culture are fundamentally substantial which can in fact degree the more profound levels. What can be induced, although is that survey measures of culture have demonstrated to be valuable in numerous cases. Illustrations are (Andrews et al., 2014)) and (Anwar et al., 2017).

### **2.3 Hospitality Organizational Culture Impact**

Organizational culture in Hospitality organizations speaks to shared values and convictions that impact representative behavior and the organization's arrangements toward its outside environment (Lee & Whitford, 2008). (Lee & Whitford, 2008; Locke et al., 1976)) show, broadly utilized in experimental (Mohsin et al., 2013)), measures organizational culture based on control-flexibility (Rahim et al., 2001) and internal-external (Siripanthong et al., 2020) Organizational culture may be a basic determinant of benefit quality and representative engagement within the Hospitality industry. As (Spector, 1997) characterizes, organizational culture envelops the shared values, convictions, and standards that shape employees' behaviors and demeanors. In Hospitality, where client fulfillment is fundamental, a well-defined culture cultivates a service-driven attitude among employees, empowering them to go past their work portrayals to upgrade visitor encounters. Investigate by (Rahim et al., 2001) highlights that employees in organizations with a solid service-oriented culture tend to have higher levels of inspiration and are more willing to lock in in optional behaviors, contributing specifically to client fulfillment. Such societies moreover advance advancement and versatility, which are fundamental for reacting to the quickly changing requests of the Hospitality industry (Abedin et al., 2019).

A researcher by (Akhter et al., 2015) proposes that in Hospitality, organizational culture not as it were influences representative service recovery performance but



moreover impacts maintenance rates. Hospitality employments are frequently characterized by tall turnover, which can be moderated by cultivating a positive, comprehensive, and strong organizational culture. Concurring to (Dusek et al., 2014) employees who see their work environment culture as steady and adjusted with their values tend to display more grounded dependability and job satisfaction, lessening their probability of leaving the organization. This arrangement between individual and organizational values has been found to improve both person and organizational results, as employees feel more associated to the company's mission and vision (Dusek et al., 2014). Thus, the Hospitality industry's tall worker turnover rates can be successfully tended to through social arrangement procedures.

#### **2.4 Culture and Creativity in Hospitality:**

Organizational culture, comprising shared convictions and suspicions, encourages inside integration and outside adjustment (Weismann et al., 2015). It can either advance or repress worker imagination, depending on the values and standards actualized by the organization (Malyarov, 2008)). To sustain inventiveness at the organizational level, believe, regard for person contrasts, and open communication are fundamental (Dusek et al., 2014). Conventional organizations, with standards emphasizing run the show adherence and soundness, are seen as inhibitors of imagination, whereas advanced organizations, characterized by correspondence, openness, and adaptability, advance inventiveness (Akhter et al., 2015) Based on (Angst et al., 2002) distinguished three societal-culture factors—individualism (IDV), control remove (PDI), and long-term introduction (LTO)—that specifically relate to development and imagination. Within the Hospitality industry, culture plays a urgent part in forming the visitor involvement. It is the focal point through which administrations, intuitive, and in general climate are seen by visitors from differing foundations. Understanding and regarding social contrasts is basic for conveying personalized and important encounters. Social affectability in Hospitality goes past maintaining a strategic distance from offense; it includes expecting the requirements, inclinations, and desires of visitors based on their social foundations. For occasion, hotels that cater to universal travelers frequently offer a assortment of feasting choices, counting conventional dishes that offer to assorted tastes, or they give multilingual staff to improve communication. When hotels and hotels grasp the social personalities of their visitors, they make situations that feel comprehensive and inviting, cultivating client devotion (Coyle-Shapiro et al., 2004).

#### **2.5 Several Key Elements Contribute to Successful Guest Interactions:**

Fitting intuitive based on visitor inclinations and past encounters is crucial (Podsakoff et al., 2000) emphasize that personalized benefit makes a difference in making (Coyle-Shapiro et al., 2004) memorable encounters, subsequently moving forward generally fulfillment. Illustrating compassion and keeping up viable communication are crucial. (Podsakoff et al., 2000) Responsiveness:

Convenient and proactive reactions to visitor demands and complaints are imperative. (Guchait et al., 2019) The quality of visitor intuitive straightforwardly impacts client fulfillment and dependability. Agreeing to (Karatepe, 2012) positive intelligent upgrade visitor recognitions of benefit quality, driving to expanded devotion and rehash commerce. Then again, destitute intelligent can result in negative audits and diminished client maintenance. The capacity to successfully recoup from benefit

disappointments is additionally significant, as illustrated by Assess, (Khazanchi & Masterson, 2011), who found that fruitful can turn disappointed clients into steadfast ones.

## **2.6 Job Satisfaction:**

A mindfulness mediation has been found to extend job satisfaction among Hospitality and benefit employees. These employees detailed feeling more positive and eager in their everyday work, supporting (Organ, 1988a) finding that careful people encounter higher job satisfaction. Moreover, there was an increment within the discernment of having the necessary resources to perform well at work, showing the next level of fulfillment with their work environment, indeed in case there were no objective outside changes. This recommends that the mindfulness intercession may have upgraded employees' inner assets, which they at that point connected to their outside circumstances. (Ravichandran & Lorenz, 2007) previously depicted that expanded job satisfaction happens since mindfulness decreases computerized schedules, permitting people to reconnect with their essential values and needs. Another noteworthy finding of the think about is that employees detailed a decreased crave to alter employments after the intercession, demonstrating that expanded job satisfaction unequivocally connects with lower turnover eagerly (Siu et al., 2013). In this way, mindfulness has been appeared to be adversely related with turnover eagerly, as detailed by (Staples & Webster, 2008).

Representative fulfillment has been a key inquire about zone among mechanical and organizational clinicians. A few individuals appreciate work and consider it a vital portion of their lives, whereas others discover work obnoxious and as it were do it out of need. Job satisfaction measures how much individuals like their employments and is the foremost examined field in organizational behavior (Williams & Anderson, 1991). Understanding the level of fulfillment at work is crucial for a few reasons, and the comes about of job satisfaction considers influence both employees and organizations. From the employees' viewpoint, job satisfaction reflects reasonable treatment; satisfied specialists feel regarded and treated well. From the organization's viewpoint, job satisfaction leads to superior specialist service recovery performance, emphatically affecting company results (Organ, 1988a). Representative fulfillment drives maintenance and efficiency, as satisfied employees are basic for expanding efficiency, responsiveness, quality, and benefit acknowledgment.

Job satisfaction is affected by inherent and outward variables, quality of supervision, social connections inside the workgroup, and victory or disappointment in one's work. Behavior that contributes to the firm's victory is more likely when employees are propelled, feel committed to the organization, and determine a tall level of fulfillment from their work (Ravichandran & Lorenz, 2007). Investigate has distinguished key components influencing job satisfaction, counting career openings, work impact, cooperation, and work challenges (Staples & Webster, 2008)

Job satisfaction is characterized as a positive passionate state coming about from a cognitive and emotional examination of the work, satisfying an employee's needs, objectives, and values (Herzberg, 2015)A favorable evaluation of the work can bring out encourage inspiration, making a fortifying corresponding relationship between inspiration and job satisfaction. To get it worker behavior at work, pioneers and

supervisors must distinguish the key components that persuade and impact employees. This information makes a difference directors energize employees to perform their work to a tall standard, contributing to organizational victory.

### **2.7 Intention to Leave in the Hospitality Industry:**

Intention to take off alludes to employees' cognizant and think choice to exit their organization, a critical issue within the Hospitality industry due to its coordinate and backhanded costs (Spector, 1997). The nature of work within the hotel division, characterized by moo motivations, long hours, restricted career progression, work-life struggle, tall passionate labor, and overwhelming workloads, contributes to a strikingly higher representative turnover rate compared to other businesses (Swanson & Hsu, 2011)

Tall intention to take off can be caught on through the pull-push mooring hypothesis, which sees turnover intention as a result of competing drag and thrust components (Thrun et al., 2006). These components can impact employees' demeanors towards their employments either emphatically or adversely (Younas & Jan, 2012). For occasion, distributive and procedural equity act as drag variables by cultivating a reasonable organizational environment where assets and rewards are evenhandedly disseminated, hence decreasing the intention to take off (Kwortnik, 2008). Be that as it may, (Mattila & Patterson, 2004) famous that the components lessening the intention to take off are not fundamentally the same as those that spur employees to remain.

Turnover eagerly reflect an individual's slant to look for elective business (Schein, 1992) and are a basic indicator of genuine turnover (Spector, 1997)Experimental inquire about has connected career versatility to decreased turnover (Spector, 1997)In any case, investigate on career flexibility inside the Hospitality segment remains constrained, with only some researchers highlighting its positive impact (Bigliardi et al., 2012)High turnover may be a determined challenge within the Hospitality industry, requiring inventive HR techniques for worker maintenance (Carmeli et al., 2007),(Kang et al., 2018)Current measurements demonstrate that the representative turnover rate in Hospitality ranges from 30% to 73% around the world (Hemmelgarn et al., 2006), essentially higher than in other segments (Hom et al., 1984). Earlier investigate (e.g.(Kang et al., 2018)has recognized different drivers of tall turnover. Later researchers have strengthened these discoveries and proposed unused headings. For illustration, (Kang et al., 2018)found that special openings, work-life adjust, work-group cohesion, authority variables, and compensation are basic predecessors of worker turnover intention in China. They moreover highlighted the significance of employees' fit with their community and city way of life for maintenance.

### **2.8 Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB):**

Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) alludes to a wide extend of optional, strong activities by employees that go past their formal work necessities (Harris & Mossholder, 1996) These behaviors are not unequivocally requested by work depictions and are performed intentionally without desires of punishments for non-compliance. Whereas not portion of center work obligations, OCBs altogether advantage the organization. Over the a long time, OCB has earned significant academic intrigued due to its commitment to organizational viability (Haryati, 2017). Thinks

about on OCB span different areas such as showcasing, human assets, healthcare, and financial matters (Kar & Tewari, 1999)

A few spaces and measures of OCB have been created, counting benevolence, principles, dependability, civic ideals, voice, utilitarian interest, sportsmanship, affability, and backing interest (MAULANI, 2015). Be that as it may, five categories habitually recognized in investigate are benevolence (e.g., making a difference colleague with overwhelming workloads), honesty (e.g., being prompt), sportsmanship (e.g., keeping up a positive demeanor without complaints), kindness (e.g., illuminating others some time recently taking noteworthy activities), and civic ideals (e.g., going to non-mandatory capacities that improve the organization's picture) (Robbins et al., 2010)

OCBs, seen as particular sorts of making a difference behavior, include a more noteworthy commitment than unconstrained help, with time openly given to advantage another individual, bunch, organization, or cause (Rumapea & Yanuar, 2024). These behaviors are ordinarily related with social obligation or communal work, which more often than not don't include financial recompense (Sanhaji, 2017). OCBs improve organizational and bunch service recovery performance, provoking various considers on their predecessors and results (Sanhaji, 2017)

The broad writing on OCBs has driven to numerous conceptualizations, coming about in a few disarray with respect to wording (Stephen et al., 2019),(Waluyo et al., 2020) distinguished around 30 potential measurements of citizenship behaviors. (Kar & Tewari, 1999)portrayed five key categories, Employees perform their errands fastidiously and past the least required levels. Employees magnanimously offer assistance others. Employees responsibly lock in within the organization's political life. Employees show decency and keep up a positive state of mind without complaints. Employees treat others courteously and affably.

## **2.9 Service Recovery Performance:**

service recovery performance alludes to the activities employees take to amend benefit disappointments, pointing to fulfill disappointed clients and empower their return for future trade. Given the inalienable nature of benefit work situations, it is improbable to kill all benefit disappointments (Ghashghaeinia & Hafezi, 2015)Benefit disappointments are characterized by (Homburg & Fürst, 2005) as occurrences where benefit service recovery performance does not meet client desires. Investigate has illustrated that compelling service recovery performance emphatically impacts client recuperation fulfillment (Karatepe, 2013) corporate picture (Karatepe, 2013)customer dependability (Liao & Chuang, 2004)positive word of mouth (Mohanty & Rath, 2012), believe (Nourani Saadoldin et al., 2016) and seen (Schneider et al., 2013). Hence, service recovery performance is vital for the victory of Hospitality organizations. Destitute recuperation endeavors can lead to client disappointment, negative word of mouth, client surrender, income misfortune, and expanded costs (Wirtz & Lovelock, 2016). In this manner, it is vital to look at organizational components that obstruct service recovery performance, as employees have to be ace this fundamental aptitude.

service recovery performance alludes to the capacity of an organization to successfully address and resolve benefit disappointments, reestablishing client satisfaction and avoiding long-term negative impacts on the commerce. Within the Hospitality industry,

where benefit quality is fundamental and client encounters are profoundly individual, is basic. Benefit disappointments can happen in different shapes, such as destitute room conditions, postponed administrations, or off base charging. The way these disappointments are taken care of altogether influences client dependability and maintenance. Inquire about by (Yavas et al., 2010) appears that opportune, sympathetic, and successful can lead to positive results, in some cases indeed coming about in a more faithful client base than on the off chance that no disappointment had happened at all—a wonder known as the "conundrum." Hence, service recovery performance plays a essential part in keeping up both brief- and long-term trade victory in Hospitality.

### **2.10 Organizational Culture and Job Satisfaction:**

Given the endless number of organizations and educate around the world, the generally well-being of working environments has normally ended up a subject of hypothetical intrigued and broad inquire about. The well-being of an organization is depicted as the way in which its work and quality are seen by employees (Fredrickson & Branigan, 2005).

Job satisfaction is one of the foremost regularly explored factors in researchers of organizational culture, behavior, and different word related wonders, extending from work plan to supervision (Lapierre & Hackett, 2007). By and large, job satisfaction reflects an employee's sentiments around their work. Inquire about has shown that job fulfillment could be a multifaceted wonder, affected by a few inside and outside variables, such as an individual's values, standards, identity, and desires, as well as the nature of the work and the openings it gives (Jung & Yoon, 2015).

### **2.11 Relationship Between Organization Culture and Turnover Intention:**

The connect between organizational culture and turnover intention is eminently critical, as shown by a p-value of 0.001, which is lower than the alpha esteem of 0.01. This result proposes that organizational culture incorporates a coordinate effect on turnover intention; particularly, a solid organizational culture tends to decrease turnover intention.

A positive organizational culture cultivates inspiration and positive behaviors, which in turn empower more noteworthy collaboration among employees in completing their assignments. Thus, a favorable organizational culture is anticipated to lower turnover intention (Chen et al., 2006).

(Dalessio et al., 1986) found that organizational culture altogether influences job satisfaction, which in turn emphatically impacts employees' intention to take off. Especially, the social measurement of connectedness was famous to have a considerable effect on turnover intention.

Investigating the relationship between organizational culture and representative turnover intention can offer profitable bits of knowledge into employee-organization elements. Whereas numerous thinks about have inspected turnover intention through personal-related factors such as job satisfaction, work commitment, and work push (Hancock et al., 2013), (Robbins et al., 2008) criticized this center. They proposed that it is more compelling to consider organizational-related factors, which can be overseen

through generally organizational techniques. (Spector, 1997) distinguished two key inquire about approaches to this relationship, one that analyzes the mental and full of feeling suggestions of particular social substance, and another that investigates the mental and emotional effect of the fit between person and organization.

Organizational culture, which includes the values, convictions, and standards shared inside a company, altogether impacts representative behavior and demeanors, especially their intention to remain or take off a work. Turnover intention, or the probability that an representative is considering clearing out their current work, may be a squeezing issue within the Hospitality industry, where tall representative turnover is common. A solid, positive organizational culture can diminish turnover intention by cultivating a strong, locks in, and fulfilling work environment. Considers, such as that by Stop and (Visscher et al., 2010), recommend that when employees see their organization's culture as adjusted with their values and needs, they are less likely to consider taking off. In differentiate, a harmful or misaligned culture frequently leads to higher turnover eagerly, as employees feel detached, underestimated, or focused.

### **2.12 Organizational Culture and Organizational Citizenship Behavior:**

Concurring to (Ghiselli et al., 2001), organizational culture includes the propensities, conventions, and common hones inside an organization, to a great extent molded by its authors. These originators, having a clear vision of long term organization, altogether impact its introductory culture by implanting fruitful hones and philosophies. Within the early stages, the littler estimate of the organization permits authors to more effectively instill their vision over all individuals. Over time, these day by day propensities gotten to be imbued as the organization's culture, which all individuals are anticipated to take after (Karatepe & Uludag, 2007). (Kong et al., 2018)portrays Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) as helpful behavior that, in spite of the fact that not formally included in work portrayals, advances successful organizational working. OCB emerges from employees' natural inspiration to perform their parts well, regularly reflecting a solid organizational culture that empowers such behavior.

Various thinks about have investigated the connect between organizational culture and OCB. (Lam et al., 2001) found that both organizational culture and commitment altogether affect OCB, either separately or together. In differentiate, (Lee et al., 2021) detailed that whereas organizational culture emphatically influences organizational commitment, it does not have a critical effect on OCB. Essentially, (Tang & Do, 2019) found no positive impact of organizational culture on OCB. Other pertinent thinks about incorporate investigate by (Chuang et al., 2009), (A. I. Ferreira et al., 2017), (Ghiselli et al., 2001) and others that contribute to this continuous talk about.

### **2.13 Organizational Culture and Service Recovery:**

Organizational culture essentially impacts the behaviors and demeanors of employees, which in turn influences performance (Lee et al., 2021) propose that a strong organizational climate cultivates positive worker behaviors, which are basic amid benefit recuperation. Societies that prioritize client introduction, strengthening, and nonstop learning tend to prepare employees with the fundamental devices and states of mind for successful benefit recuperation. (Tang & Do, 2019)emphasize that a solid benefit culture, characterized by shared values and standards centered on client fulfillment, makes an environment where employees feel capable and competent of

settling benefit failures. Several observational studies emphasize the significance of organizational culture in benefit recovery (Boshoff & Allen, 2000) found that a customer-focused culture essentially improves service recovery performance by advancing proactive problem-solving behaviors among employees. Their research shows that when employees see their organization as customer-centric, they are more likely to require activity and address client issues expeditiously and effectively. (Kong et al., 2018) inspected the effect of high-performance work practices, including strengthening and preparing, on results. They discovered that these practices, which are established within the organization's culture, lead to superior service recovery performance. Enabled employees are more sure and able of dealing with benefit disappointments, coming about in higher client satisfaction. (Lee et al., 2021) conducted a multilevel examination into the variables impacting representative benefit service recovery performance and client results. Their discoveries highlight the part of a steady organizational culture in improving cutting edge employees' service recovery performance. A culture that energizes collaboration, open communication, and shared bolster empowers employees to collaborate successfully and react to benefit disappointments efficiently. (Kong et al., 2018) discuss the key significance of inside the setting of organizational culture. They contend that administration commitment to benefit brilliance and representative engagement are basic components of an effective technique. Organizations that develop a culture of responsibility and persistent change are superior prepared to recoup from benefit disappointments and keep up client loyalty. (Lam et al., 2001) explored the exchange between organizational back, identity characteristics, and service recovery performance. Their study demonstrates that organizational culture acts as a bridge between employees and customer fulfillment. A culture that values and underpins employees improves their capacity to provide viable benefit recuperation, in any case of person identity characteristics.

Organizational culture plays an essential part in forming how companies address benefit disappointments and oversee endeavors. It alludes to the activities taken by an organization to adjust an issue after a benefit disappointment has happened, guaranteeing client fulfillment and devotion in spite of the beginning issue. Within the Hospitality industry, where visitor encounters and benefit quality are central to trade victory, a solid and customer-focused organizational culture is basic for compelling benefit recuperation. A researcher by (Bagozzi, 1980) underscores that companies with a well-developed benefit culture are more capable at reacting rapidly and viably to benefit disappointments, guaranteeing that visitors feel esteemed indeed when things go off-base.

One of the ways in which organizational culture impacts is by cultivating a mentality of customer-centricity among employees. In Hospitality, where intelligent with visitors are steady, an organizational culture that prioritizes client fulfillment enables employees to go the additional mile to resolve issues. This customer-focused culture empowers employees to require possession of benefit disappointments and effectively take an interest in finding arrangements. Agreeing to (Hess Jr et al., 2003), when employees are ingrained with the conviction that client fulfillment could be a beat need, they are more likely to handle endeavors with care and consideration, driving to more positive results. In differentiate, organizations that don't emphasize customer-centric values may encounter endeavors that feel generic or mechanical, eventually harming the client relationship.

### **2.14 Relationship Between Job Satisfaction and OCB:**

Job satisfaction (JS) and organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) are pivotal factors affecting employees' commitment to a company's objectives. Analyzing their relationship and common affect is basic. When employees accomplish organizational objectives through particular OCB hones, they tend to involvement higher job satisfaction. Investigate demonstrates that employees with more prominent job satisfaction are more likely to illustrate higher levels of OCB (Nourani Saadoldin et al., 2016). Moreover, job satisfaction could be a critical indicator of OCB (Hogan & Coote, 2014), as upheld by previous X researchers (Paais & Pattiruhu, 2020). The positive feelings determined from OCB parts improve working environment connections, which, in turn, boost inspiration and states of mind towards accomplishing organizational and individual objectives (Bate et al., 2000). OCB is instrumental in progressing item quality, efficiency, and in general service recovery performance, specifically affecting company productivity. Thus, OCB is considered an marker of higher job satisfaction, encouraging the achievement of organizational objectives. Based on this, the think about hypothesizes that OCB and its measurements essentially impact job satisfaction.

In looking at the connect between job satisfaction and OCB, (Hogan & Coote, 2014)found that higher job satisfaction improves OCB. (Hogan & Coote, 2014)watched that job satisfaction leads employees to show more positive organizational behaviors. (Guchait et al., 2019)famous that job satisfaction makes strides when employees feel recognized and have great connections with colleagues. (Karatepe, 2012) highlighted that subjective job satisfaction altogether impacts OCB (Karatepe, 2012)found that job satisfaction is vital for cultivating OCB among workforce individuals. Typically upheld by broad inquire about illustrating that subjective job satisfaction plays a noteworthy part in employees' OCBs (Khazanchi & Masterson, 2011).

### **2.15 Job Satisfaction and Turnover Intention:**

Turnover intention refers to an employee's crave to take off their current work due to disappointment, measuring the subjective feeling of needing to take off instead of particular (Kau & Wan-Yiun Loh, 2006). Inquire about indicates that turnover intention could be a solid indicator of genuine turnover behaviors (Kwortnik, 2008) ((Mattila & Patterson, 2004)noted that employees frequently reflect before making a last choice to take off, driving to turnover intention. Typically, especially significant for eatery chefs who are disappointed with their current occupations.

Job satisfaction altogether impacts worker turnover eagerly. Various analysts have found a negative relationship between job satisfaction and turnover intention (Maxham III & Netemeyer, 2002) Higher job satisfaction by and large leads to superior service recovery performance, empowering employees to remain. For example (Schein, 1992) discovered that job satisfaction among employees in famous chain hotels altogether diminishes turnover intention. So also, (Spector, 1997)found that higher job satisfaction among flight attendants relates with lower turnover intentions. ((Swanson & Hsu, 2011)concluded that job satisfaction altogether decreases turnover intentions among hotel employees.



Later researchers within the hotel industry assist back these discoveries (Thrun et al., 2006). (Younas & Jan, 2012) found that monetary rewards, a great work environment, and the nature of the work are pivotal for job satisfaction among Chinese eatery supervisors in Hong Kong. They too watched a critical negative relationship between job satisfaction and turnover intention. (Mattila & Patterson, 2004) shown that job satisfaction may be a critical figure in clarifying turnover intention for nourishment benefit managers reported that satisfaction with monetary rewards decreases representative turnover within the fast food industry. (Swanson & Hsu, 2011) found that job satisfaction adversely influences turnover intentions among cutting edge hotel employees.

### **2.16 Job Satisfaction and Service Recovery Performance:**

For a few decades, the relationship between job satisfaction and service recovery performance has been a noteworthy center of insightful investigate. As early as the 1920s, the Hawthorne Researchers started observational examinations into this relationship. In spite of the broad writing on the subject, talks about around the nature and causal course of this relationship proceed (Boshoff & Allen, 2000). The common conviction, especially among professionals, is that satisfied employees outflank disappointed ones. Be that as it may, a few experimental thinks about have illustrated a powerless relationship between job satisfaction and service recovery performance (Hess Jr et al., 2003) (McCollough et al., 2000) Evidence moreover proposes that employees who perform well tend to appreciate their occupations more. In service-oriented firms, work satisfaction drives customer-oriented behavior. Typically upheld by the thought that benefit suppliers pick up both outward (monetary benefits) and inborn (job satisfaction) rewards from client fulfillment. Also, benefit suppliers in a cheerful disposition are more likely to lock in in prosocial behavior. People in a positive temperament are more likely to offer assistance and be chivalrous. These discoveries connect job satisfaction to positive temperaments, showing that satisfied employees are more likely to be chivalrous and accommodating towards others.

Prior, ((P. A. Roger, 1996)noted that it is improbable for boundary-spanning employees to provide great benefit when they are troubled in their work. Whereas they concluded that there's a positive relationship between job satisfaction and great client care, this interface has been experimentally demonstrated to be frail. (Bagozzi, 1981)contended that it is less demanding for benefit service recovery performance to lead to job satisfaction, as employees compare anticipated inborn and outward rewards with real rewards gotten, shaping favorable or unfavorable feelings. Then again, he accepted that defending the connect between job satisfaction and service recovery performance is more challenging.

Job satisfaction plays a urgent part in affecting service recovery performance, especially inside the Hospitality industry, where visitor encounters are vital. refers to the activities taken by benefit suppliers to correct a benefit disappointment and recapture client fulfillment. In this setting, job satisfaction envelops employees' in general satisfaction with their parts, counting variables such as work environment, back from administration, and acknowledgment for their endeavors. Investigate demonstrates that satisfied employees are more likely to lock in viably in endeavors, driving to progressed results for both the organization and its clients. Concurring to (Davidow, 2003) job satisfaction is emphatically related with employees' capacity to

successfully oversee circumstances, as satisfied employees display more prominent enthusiastic flexibility.

**Hypothesis:**

H1: There will be a positive relationship between front-line employees’ perceived hospitality culture and job satisfaction.

H2: Job satisfaction will mediate the relationship between front-line employees’ perceptions of hospitality culture and their intent to leave

H3: Job satisfaction will mediate the relationship between front-line employees’ perceptions of hospitality culture and organizational citizenship behaviors

H4: Job satisfaction will mediate the relationship between front-line employees’ perceptions of hospitality culture and service recovery performance



**Frame work**

**METHODOLOGY:**

This chapter shows the questionnaire, research techniques about methods and strategies that are used to study research problems about issues, and reasons behind the particular methodologies. This chapter also goes over the particular steps used to gather, choose, process, and assess the data.

**3.1 Research Methodology:**

Research methodology serves as a critical framework for uncovering solutions to research questions and achieving the objectives of a study. In the context of this thesis, the aim was to explore the intricate relationships between hospitality organizational culture and key employee outcomes, including job satisfaction, organizational citizenship behaviors, service recovery performance, and intention to leave. To accomplish these objectives, this study employed a quantitative research design, ensuring a systematic and data-driven approach to examining the hypothesized relationships.

The research was both analytical and correlational in nature, focusing on identifying and analyzing the strength and direction of associations between variables. Specifically, the study sought to understand how various dimensions of organizational culture influence job satisfaction and other motivational factors within the Pakistani hospitality industry. By adopting this approach, the research aimed to provide empirical evidence on the positive or negative impacts of organizational culture on employee attitudes and behaviors.

Data collection was conducted using a structured survey instrument, which was administered to a sample of 600 hospitality employees across diverse hotel industries in Pakistan. This methodology enabled the researchers to capture a comprehensive view of the employees' perceptions of organizational culture and its effects on their job satisfaction, commitment, and performance and intent to leave. The findings are expected to contribute valuable insights into the development of organizational strategies that foster a supportive and productive workplace culture, ultimately enhancing employee well-being and organizational success.

In conclusion, the methodological framework of this study underscores the importance of a quantitative, analytical, and correlational approach in examining the dynamic interplay between hospitality organizational culture and employee outcomes. The insights derived from this research have the potential to inform best practices and drive positive change within the hospitality sector in Pakistan.

### **3.2 Research Elements and Procedures**

#### **3.2.1 Unit of Analysis and Time Horizon:**

The focus of this research is on individual employees working in various hospitality organizations, including different departments such as restaurants, front offices, and housekeeping. The data collection for this study was carried out in the Gujrat region. Cross-sectional data was gathered from employees working at different levels in various hotels and restaurants. The information was collected on an individual basis and used to test the study's hypotheses.

#### **3.2.2 Study Setting and Interference:**

The observation was conducted in a non-contrived setting, meaning that there was exceptionally small researcher interference. Authorization was at first gotten from the director or manager of the organization. Taking after approval, the survey was conducted by distributing a self-administered survey questionnaire to employees of the hotels and restaurants (Kinara hotel, Gymkhana, vinney's pizza, McCdonald's,) as well as their managers and supervisors. Employees who participated showed some confidence in the privacy of their information letters. Each survey used in the study was given a private and unique code, which was then used systematically to assess responses and to maintain privacy

#### **3.3.3 Control Variable:**

Person differences within the sociodemographic may have an impact on motivational components and Organizational Culture. As a result, the age gender instruction, involvement, and marital status of employees are taken under consideration as control factors in Pakistani hospitality organizations.

### **3.4 Population and Sample:**

All the Lasting and Contract based employees working within the hospitality industry in Gujrat, counting private hotels and restaurants are considered the population or targated audience for this survey based study. This audience was mainly categorized into two fundamental types, staff included in administration or management parts (counting chefs, server staff, and housekeeping staff). Considering the study variables,

the population for this study was chosen with a center on organizational culture and its impacts on job satisfaction, organizational citizenship behavior, service recovery performance and intent to leave. The targeted population was consisting on both male and female employees. At the point of data gathering, the whole number of employees working within the hospitality industry in Gujrat is assessed to be approximately 2000.

#### **3.4.1 Sampling Design:**

The study takes the confidence that the traits or attributes of an appealing party are actually distributed among the employees of the hospitality industry. Thus, we used the probability convenience sampling method, whereby we chose respondents based on our comfort for gathering responses.

#### **3.4.2 Sampling Size:**

As famous by (Qadeer, Rehman et al. 2011), concluding a reasonable test measure is basic in rejecting the probability of sample error. Given that the full populace of Hospitality industry for this think about was known, an online sample calculator from Investigate Advisor (2006) was held to find out the specified test measure. Taking after the rules given, a test estimate of 322 were calculated for a populace out of 2000 employees, accepting a 95% confirmation level and a 5% edge of botch.

### **3.5 Data Collection**

#### **3.5.1 Instruments and Administration Procedure:**

The central insights for this examination were collected from a self-administered overview survey conveyed between different hotels and restaurants in Gujrat. The survey was partitioned into two areas. The primary portion assembled statistic or individual data such as title, organized title, work assignment, age, sex and years of encounter within the Hospitality industry. The moment segment contained things to get to effect of organizational culture and motivational variables for working in Hospitality industry. The overview was conducted through both online and individual visits to these Hospitality foundations. Numerous visits by analysts were made to each working environment, locks in with employees whereas guaranteeing their protection and secrecy. All candidates were consoled that their answers would stay private, and their support was intentional. Furthermore, e-mail was utilized for distributing and collecting a few surveys. To meet the desired test measure, the analyst disseminated 600 surveys to employees over different Hospitality businesses. Out of 600, 300 substantial answers were gotten, giving an answer rate of around 50%, which is considered to some degree palatable. Of the remaining 300, around 150 were not returned, 60 were deficient, 34 were deficient, 20 contained copy passages, and 36 were returned totally clear.

#### **3.6 Measurement and Scale:**

In this investigate, effect of organizational culture was inspected on job satisfaction and OCB (organizational citizenship behavior), SRP (service recovery performance) and Aim to take off related to work. The survey was outlined to guarantee that each area extraordinarily tended to these factors, permitting for a comprehensive appraisal of their effect on worker service recovery performance and organizational victory. Each part of the survey was created particularly to assemble nitty gritty bits of

knowledge related to the Hospitality industry, guaranteeing that the information collected would successfully reflect the encounters and recognitions of employees in this field.

### **3.6.1 Demographics:**

The primary area of the questionnaire is assigned to gather statistic points of interest from members, such as their names and work titles inside the hospitality industry. A four-point scale is utilized to classify these titles, which may incorporate [1] Front Work area Staff, [2] Restaurant Staff, [3] Authoritative work force, and [4] Housekeeping Staff. This format encourages improved understanding of the respondents' parts and their individual commitments to the Hospitality segment.

### **3.6.2 Organizational Culture:**

Hospitality Organizational Culture was measured with fifteen organizational things created by Dawson et al. (2001) on 7 point Likert 1=strongly oppose this idea and 7=strongly concur. A test thing was “The organization is center on assembly representative needs.” Another was “The organization hones the witticism, treat other as I need be treated”. employees whereas guaranteeing their protection and secrecy. All candidates were consoled that their answers would stay private, and their cooperation was intention. Also, e-mail was utilized for conveying and collecting a few surveys. To meet the desired test estimate, the analyst conveyed 600 surveys to employees over different Hospitality businesses. Out of 600, 300 substantial answers were gotten, giving a answer rate of around 50%, which is considered to some degree palatable. Of the remaining 300, around 150 were not returned, 60 were inadequate, 34 were inadequate, 20 contained copy passages, and 36 were returned completely clear.

### **3.6.3 Job Satisfaction:**

Job satisfaction was measured utilizing 7 Likert scale with Three-items job satisfaction scale set up by (cammann, fichman, Jenkins and klesh 1983). Section comprises “Are you feeling satisfied with the physical and social work environment given by the organization”. 7 Likert scale was used to measure from 1= strongly disagree to 7=strongly agree.

### **3.6.4 OCB (Organizational Citizenship Behavior):**

OCB was measured using 7 point Likert scale with 1=strongly disagree to 7=strongly agree created by Williams and Anderson (1991), One of a sample item from survey is “I eagerly offer assistance to my colleagues with work-related tasks when they are overloaded/overburdened.”

### **3.6.5 Intent to Leave:**

Expectation to take off was measured with 3 things motivated by Mobley, Horner, and Hollingsworth (1978) survey aim to turnover scale, in that scale volunteer got to select any of three option which he considers appropriate reply to address in the survey. A test thing is “How regularly do you think of stopping your current job?”

### 3.6.6 SRP (Service Recovery Performance):

SRP having 5 things survey outlined by Boshoff and Allen (2000), in this SRP was assessed having tests like “am able to keep busy”, “Managers get it employees”, “Have chance to work alone, etc.”

## DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

This chapter is built on the strategy of information examination and translations of the data that was watched.

### 4.1 Descriptive Statics and Respondent Characteristics:

Utilizing the data comparison of 300 employees working within the Hospitality industry, this researcher investigates their proficient aptitudes, characteristics, and significance. The examination considers variables such as sex, age, work assignment, established title, and a long time of involvement. Table 4.1 presents a wide rundown of their parts and basic properties.

**Table# 4.1**

#### Demographics Analysis:

Variables	N	%
<b>Gender</b>		
Male	180	60.0
Female	120	40.0
<b>Experience</b>		
1-2 Years	140	46.67
3-5 Years	65	21.67
10+ Years	95	31.67
<b>Designations</b>		
Frontline staff	105	35.0
Supervisor	95	31.7
Manager	50	16.7
Housekeeping staff	50	16.7

**Note:** coding scheme: Gender (Male = 1, Female = 2), Designation (Frontline staff) = 1, Supervisor's= 2, Manager's= 3, Housekeeping staff= 4), Experience (1 to 2 years=1, 3 to 5 years= 2, 10+ years= 3,).

The measurements within the over table outlining that the shifting quantities of the data that's accumulated by the focused on volunteers. As a result, 250 guys reacted, giving a prop of about 90% and 50 Females took portion within the study, giving a prop of nearly 10% of the 170 Lone rangers who replied the survey, and 130 martially locked in and hitched. The truth that most of the members held at slightest a graduate degree 59%, whereas 41% had a master's degree demonstrates that the respondents were well illuminated and educated by instruction and completely caught on the address matter they were talking about. The lion's share of pollees were supervisors and staff from different Hospitality organizations in Gujrat. The overview encases and secured employees with over one year of hands on broad competence information and introduction of field, with most respondents falling into two encounter ranges: one to two a long time and two to five years. The analyst points to capture more noteworthy adaptability in reactions; to realize this, criticism was collected from a larger number of hospitality organizations and their staff.

The collected information highlights key patterns within the workforce that will impact job satisfaction and motivational variables. For occurrence, employees with higher educational qualifications (master's) tended to report more noteworthy fulfillment with career advancement openings, whereas less experienced employees emphasized concerns with respect to work-life adjust and recompense structures

#### 4.2 Correlation Analysis:

The think about looked into what persuades Hospitality laborers and what makes them satisfied with their work and how they lock in with the eatery and Hospitality businesses. Interests, 23% of the Hospitality employees detailed being utilized in a eatery or a related zone at the time of the overview. Of the 181 candidates not right now within the industry, 24% inferred they would like to work in this region within the up and coming future.

#### Correlation Matrix:

Factors	Mean	SD	1	2	3	4	5
1. Hospitality culture	3.45	0.69					
2. satisfaction	3.55	0.75	0.34**				
3. intent to leave	2.21	0.63	-0.45	0.65**			
4.org citizenship Behavior	3.88	0.76	0.55**	0.66**	0.30**		
5. service recovery performance	3.77	0.65	0.45**	0.48**	0.30**	0.50**	2.0

### Employment Motivation Index

Motivation Quality	Mean	SD
Employee empowerment	2.37*	1.42
Satisfaction	2.52	1.52
Help/Support	3.22	1.49
Employee Understanding	4.28	1.68

We inquired about pointed to distinguish the key variables impacting people within the Hospitality segment amid their work looks. The comes about uncovered that equality and behavior were the foremost basic components, taken after closely by the want for a adaptable work plan and way better representative administration. These discoveries propose that a positive work environment is the essential inspiration for people looking for work within the Hospitality industry, which adjusts with existing inquire about. In differentiate, components such as wellbeing benefits, paid time off, and career progression openings were regarded less noteworthy. Moreover, 48% of Hospitality specialists expressed they arrange to take off their employments inside the following six to twelve months. Their reasons changed, counting a crave to take off the Hospitality industry, look for occupations that offer consolation and concordance, keep up a solid work-life adjust, or seek after a genuine enthusiasm for the field and appreciate client intuitive. After collecting the information, a bunch of Hospitality industry experts, counting field pioneers and employees from different segments, assembled to talk about the labor challenges confronting specialists within the Hospitality industry. Their talk highlighted a few key issues (as appeared in Table 4.4), with a major concern being the need of uniformity. The Hospitality segment recognized the have to be move center from a particular accentuation on administration and administration to a greater commitment to making strides representative engagement.

#### 4.3 Test of Hypothesis:

After affirming that the by and large estimation demonstrate was valid and worthy, auxiliary condition modeling (SEM) was utilized to test the hypothesized connections. The basic show illustrated a great fit with the information ( $\chi^2 = 934.5$ ,  $df = 321$ ,  $p < 0.02$ ; CFI = 0.23; TLI = 0.98; SRMR = 0.65; PNFI = 0.83; RMSEA = 0.05;  $\chi^2/df = 4.55$ ). To survey whether the interceding impact of job satisfaction was fractional or total for the three subordinate factors, ad-hoc tests were conducted. An elective demonstrate was tried by including coordinate ways from Hospitality culture to the three subordinate factors. The show fit did not altogether contrast from the first show ( $\chi^2 = 934.45$ ,  $df = 399$ ,  $p < 0.006$ ; CFI = 0.34; TLI = 4.43; SRMR = 0.04; PNFI = 0.14; RMSEA = 0.057;  $\chi^2/df = 1$ ). Table 3 gives the relationships among the measured variables.

#### Hypothesis 1: Hospitality culture is positively related to hospitality employees' job satisfaction.

As appeared in Figure 1, Hospitality culture was essentially and emphatically related with job satisfaction ( $\beta = 0.66$ ,  $p < \text{xss=removed}$   $\text{xss=removed}$   $\text{xss=removed}$   $\text{xss=removed}$   $> 0.05$ ) was not noteworthy. This demonstrates that job satisfaction completely intercedes the relationship between Hospitality culture and aim to take off.



A strong Hospitality culture, emphasizing fabulous benefit and making important encounters, decreases employees' expectation to take off by upgrading their job satisfaction.

**Hypothesis 2: Job satisfaction (JS) mediates the relationship between hospitality culture (HC) and intent to leave (IL).**

fulfillment. The comes about uncovered a noteworthy roundabout impact (HC-JS-IL) ( $\beta = -0.38$ , CI.95 [-0.51, -0.22],  $p < \text{xss=removed}$   $\text{xss=removed} > 0.05$ ) was not noteworthy. This demonstrates that job satisfaction completely intervenes the relationship between Hospitality culture and expectation to take off. A strong Hospitality culture, emphasizing fabulous benefit and making paramount encounters, diminishes employees' expectation to take off by upgrading their job satisfaction.

**Hypothesis 3: Job satisfaction mediates the relationship between hospitality culture and organizational citizenship behavior (OCB).**

The investigation appeared a critical roundabout impact (HC-JS-OCB) ( $\beta = 0.44$ , CI.95 [0.33, 0.60],  $p < 0.05$ ), supporting Speculation 3. An ad-hoc test decided that the intervention impact was halfway; the backhanded way remained noteworthy ( $\beta = 0.29$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ), and the coordinate way from Hospitality culture to OCB was moreover noteworthy ( $\beta = 0.26$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). This demonstrates that job satisfaction in part intercedes the relationship between Hospitality culture and OCB. A solid Hospitality culture, characterized by a center on great benefit and making paramount encounters, straightforwardly and in a roundabout way upgrades employees' OCB by moving forward their job satisfaction.

**Hypothesis 4: Job satisfaction mediates the relationship between hospitality culture and service recovery performance (SRP).**

The examination appeared a noteworthy roundabout impact (HC-JS-OCB) ( $\beta = 0.44$ , CI.95 [0.33, 0.60],  $p < 0.05$ ), supporting Theory 3. An ad-hoc test decided that the intercession impact was fractional; the circuitous way remained noteworthy ( $\beta = 0.29$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ), and the coordinate way from Hospitality culture to OCB was too noteworthy ( $\beta = 0.26$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). This demonstrates that job satisfaction mostly intervenes the relationship between Hospitality culture and OCB. A solid Hospitality culture, characterized by a center on amazing benefit and making important encounters, straightforwardly and in a roundabout way upgrades employees' OCB by progressing their job satisfaction.

## DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSION

### 5.1 Discussion:

The current consider emphasizes the importance of developing a Hospitality culture inside organizations, as adjusted with the study's targets. The discoveries illustrate that Hospitality culture emphatically impacts job satisfaction, which hence improves employees' service recovery performance and organizational citizenship behaviors whereas lessening their expectation to take off. These discoveries bolster the three essential targets of the consider. Moreover, ad-hoc investigation uncovered a coordinate positive relationship between Hospitality culture and organizational citizenship behaviors. These comes about are steady with existing writing.

Firstly, Pizam (2020) conceptualized Hospitality culture, proposing a few useful results, counting expanded fulfillment among both employees and visitors. This consider substantiates that declaration by illustrating measurably that a Hospitality culture emphatically impacts employees' job satisfaction. This finding amplifies earlier inquire about by Chen and Wu (2017), Guchait et al. (2019), Koutroumanis et al. (2015), Ocampo et al. (2018), and Saifi and Shahzad (2017), which distinguished different social sorts (e.g., equity, absolution, clan, and learning) as donors to improved job satisfaction. Hence, the current inquire about approves Pizam's (2020) Hospitality culture system and its relationship with representative fulfillment.

Besides, the consider builds up that job satisfaction completely intercedes the relationship between Hospitality culture and employees' intention to take off. Fortifying Hospitality culture increments job satisfaction, which, in turn, diminishes aim to take off. This intervention impact adjusts with earlier inquire about, which has illustrated a coordinate and roundabout association between organizational culture and job satisfaction. Moreover, Chen and Wu (2017) and Kong et al. (2018) highlighted that higher job satisfaction decreases employees' expectation to take off, a conclusion authenticated by this consider through factual investigation.

Thirdly, job satisfaction somewhat intercedes the relationship between Hospitality culture and organizational citizenship behaviors. Existing investigate on other social measurements, such as equity culture, has appeared job satisfaction as a go between of this relationship (Ocampo et al., 2018; Saifi & Shahzad, 2017). Moreover, arrangement of identity characteristics with the Hospitality industry has been recognized as a forerunner to organizational citizenship behaviors (Ocampo et al., 2018). Considers too show that organizational learning culture impacts these behaviors (Bhardwaj & Kalia, 2021; Eisenberg et al., 2018). The current think about proves these discoveries, building up that a solid Hospitality culture straightforwardly and by implication advances organizational citizenship behaviors through job satisfaction among hotel employees.

In conclusion, the consider affirms that job satisfaction intervenes the relationship between Hospitality culture and ervice recovery performance. Past researchers on organizational societies such as blunder administration, benefit, and absolution have illustrated their positive impact on employees' service recovery performance (Guchait et al., 2019; Jerger & Wirtz, 2017; Kong et al., 2018). Additionally, job satisfaction has been appeared to improve service recovery performance (Kong et al., 2018; Okoe et al., 2018). This consider expands Pizam's (2020) Hospitality culture demonstrate by recognizing service recovery performance as an extra positive result of building up a Hospitality culture.

In conclusion, the discoveries fortify the significant part of Hospitality culture in progressing representative results and organizational adequacy. By cultivating a vigorous Hospitality culture, organizations can accomplish higher job satisfaction, upgraded service recovery performance, expanded organizational citizenship behaviors, and diminished worker turnover aim.

## **5.2 Conclusion:**

In outline, this researcher measurably affirmed that a Hospitality organizational culture emphatically impacts benefit employees' results, counting job satisfaction, expectation

to take off, organizational citizenship behavior (OCB), and service recovery performance. Job satisfaction serves as an arbiter between Hospitality culture and representative results, where a more grounded Hospitality culture upgrades job satisfaction, diminishes expectation to take off, boosts OCB, and moves forward to service recovery performance. These discoveries offer critical hypothetical and down to earth suggestions.

### **5.3 Practical Implications:**

Approaches and strategies related to visitor intelligent ought to be changed to compensate and energize service-oriented behaviors. For case, advertising rewards to employees specified in positive online audits underscores the organization's commitment to extraordinary encounters and propels employees to provide comparative comes about. To encourage a social move towards a hospitality-centered approach, beat officials ought to have town lobby gatherings or roundtable talks where employees can connect with administration, get it the reasons for the alter, and inquire questions. Officials ought to too show craved behaviors through activities such as administration by strolling around, which illustrates the social values and gains representative regard, cultivating arrangement with the specified culture.

Furthermore, organizations must reassess their enrollment procedures. People are pulled in to organizations that reflect their claim values and convictions, especially when these adjust with a want to serve others (Blain & Lashley, 2014; Gardner et al., 2012). To draw in the proper ability, the Hospitality culture ought to be obvious to potential candidates through promoting endeavors, websites, LinkedIn, social media stages, and enrollment specialist informing. Reliable communication of the organization's traits, such as worker center, strengthening, and client relationship prioritization, is basic. Imminent candidates ought to too have openings to associated with current employees at occasions like nourishment and wine celebrations, Hospitality organizing occasions, or volunteer exercises. Such intelligent permit potential initiates to encounter the organization's culture firsthand through current employees, who ought to be chosen carefully to epitomize the specified social values. Sharing pictures and stories from these occasions on social media and company websites encourage fortifies the culture to potential candidates.

Furthermore, joining pre-employment ability evaluations into the contracting prepare can offer assistance recognize candidates who adjust with the organization's social characteristics. Organizations may improve existing instruments, such as the Hospitality Culture Scale, to incorporate particular characteristics of their claim culture. Practical work sneak peaks should also be portion of the choice prepare, empowering candidates to watch the Hospitality culture in activity.

Preparing plays a significant part in implanting the Hospitality culture inside unused employees. Clear communication of desires, victory measurements, and assessment strategies is fundamental. Trainers, who completely get it and embody the organization's values and standards, ought to be chosen carefully to guide modern contracts. Appropriate socialization amid preparing makes a difference unused employees internalize the organization's values and receive craved behaviors. Coaches ought to illustrate how the organization makes vital visitor encounters, guaranteeing

unused employees can reproduce these honours. Directors ought to take after up routinely with unused enlists to affirm their understanding culture.

At long last, keeping up a Hospitality culture requires nonstop evaluation and fortification by directors. Employees learn social values through intelligent with the organization and their peers. Continuous preparing and communication guarantee employees feel upheld and sure. To hold the culture, administration must illustrate its significance reliably. Measuring variables such as job satisfaction, expectation to take off, service recovery performance, and organizational citizenship behavior can offer assistance decide whether the culture has taken root and recognize zones for change. Executing numerous methodologies, as laid out, guarantees the fruitful communication and foundation of a Hospitality culture.

The discoveries highlight the significance of cultivating benefit fabulousness and making paramount encounters for all stakeholders—employees and visitors alike. A well-established Hospitality culture emphatically impacts job satisfaction, organizational citizenship behavior, and representative maintenance whereas upgrading service recovery performance. This, in turn, essentially moves forward the visitor involvement, benefitting the organization as an entire.

#### **5.4 Limitations and Future Research:**

This think about was not without its impediments. Firstly, the test was confined to hotels and 5-star hotels in Gujrat and Lahore. Whereas this center upgrades the inner legitimacy of the discoveries, it limits their generalizability to other divisions, such as hotels in several nations or different hotel portions. Besides, the cross-sectional nature of the overview did not degree the real turnover rate inside the organizations. Instep, it evaluated employee's intention to take off, which, in spite of the fact that a solid indicator of real turnover, does not give a coordinate degree.

Another confinement lies within the quantitative approach of the consider, which did not investigate the reasons behind employees' fulfillment or disappointment. It as it were decided whether employees were satisfied or not, without looking at particular variables. For instance, an worker may be satisfied with their administrators and colleagues but disappointed with the job's working hours. Such nuanced angles of fulfillment may impact their aim to take off, service recovery service recovery performance, and organizational citizenship behaviors. Also, the down to earth suggestions sketched out within the think about speak to an perfect operational situation. In any case, numerous organizations confront basic labor shortages, making the usage of these measures more challenging. In case work candidates or current employees don't adjust with the organization's social values, there's a noteworthy hazard of losing them in the long run. This study extended the application of the hospitality culture scale developed by Dawson et al. (2011) by consolidating job satisfaction as a arbiter. Based on the discoveries, a longitudinal follow-up researcher is prescribed to decide whether employees who expected to take off really do so, and whether those with lower expectation to take off stay with the organization. Besides, subjective or mixed-method considers are proposed to distinguish the variables that contribute to employees' job satisfaction or disappointment and their coordinate effect on service recovery performance, organizational citizenship behaviors, and expectation to take off or remain. It is additionally suggested to utilize the Hospitality culture scale

to pinpoint the particular Hospitality characteristics employees have that lead to more prominent fulfillment and positive human asset results.

## REFERENCES:

- Abdel Aziz, A. M. (2007). Successful delivery of public-private partnerships for infrastructure development. *Journal of construction engineering and management*, 133(12), 918-931.
- Abedin, M. A., Collins, A. E., Habiba, U., & Shaw, R. (2019). Climate change, water scarcity, and health adaptation in southwestern coastal Bangladesh. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*, 10, 28-42.
- Ackfeldt, A.-L., & Coote, L. V. (2005). A study of organizational citizenship behaviors in a retail setting. *Journal of business research*, 58(2), 151-159.
- Agrawal, R. K., & Tyagi, A. (2010). Organisational culture in Indian organisations: an empirical study. *International Journal of Indian Culture and Business Management*, 3(1), 68-87.
- Akhter, N., Wu, B., Memon, A. M., & Mohsin, M. (2015). Probiotics and prebiotics associated with aquaculture: a review. *Fish & shellfish immunology*, 45(2), 733-741.
- Amodei, D., Ananthanarayanan, S., Anubhai, R., Bai, J., Battenberg, E., Case, C., Casper, J., Catanzaro, B., Cheng, Q., & Chen, G. (2016). Deep speech 2: End-to-end speech recognition in english and mandarin. International conference on machine learning,
- Andrews, J. G., Buzzi, S., Choi, W., Hanly, S. V., Lozano, A., Soong, A. C., & Zhang, J. C. (2014). What will 5G be? *IEEE Journal on selected areas in communications*, 32(6), 1065-1082.
- Angst, J., Gamma, A., Gastpar, M., Lépine, J.-P., Mendlewicz, J., & Tylee, A. (2002). Gender differences in depression: Epidemiological findings from the European DEPRES I and II studies. *European archives of psychiatry and clinical neuroscience*, 252, 201-209.
- Anwar, S., Hwang, K., & Sung, W. (2017). Structured pruning of deep convolutional neural networks. *ACM Journal on Emerging Technologies in Computing Systems (JETC)*, 13(3), 1-18.
- Ariani, D. W. (2012). Leader-member exchanges as a mediator of the effect of job satisfaction on affective organizational commitment: An empirical test. *International Journal of Management*, 29(1), 46.
- Askafi, E., Chandrarin, G., Assih, P., & Respati, H. (2017). Organizational citizenship behavior as a way to improve performance: Evidence from Muslim Teachers in Indonesia. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 9(27), 36-44.
- Bagozzi, R. P. (1980). Performance and satisfaction in an industrial sales force: An examination of their antecedents and simultaneity. *Journal of marketing*, 44(2), 65-77.

- Bagozzi, R. P. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error: a comment. In: SAGE Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA.
- Bannister, B. D., & Griffeth, R. W. (1986). Applying a causal analytic framework to the Mobley, Horner, and Hollingsworth (1978) turnover model: A useful reexamination. *Journal of Management*, 12(3), 433-443.
- Baptiste, M. (2019). No Teacher Left Behind: The Impact of Principal Leadership Styles on Teacher Job Satisfaction and Student Success. *Journal of International education and leadership*, 9(1), n1.
- Bate, M. R. (1998). Collapse of a molecular cloud core to stellar densities: the first three-dimensional calculations. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 508(1), L95.
- Bate, P., Khan, R., & Pye, A. (2000). Towards a culturally sensitive approach to organization structuring: Where organization design meets organization development. *Organization Science*, 11(2), 197-211.
- Bate, S. C. (2001). Culture in Christian praxis: Culturally mediated Christian responses to culturally mediated human needs. *Journal of theology for Southern Africa*, 109, 67-82.
- Bavik, A. (2016). Developing a new hospitality industry organizational culture scale. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 58, 44-55.
- Becherer, R. C., Halstead, D., & Haynes, P. (2001). Marketing orientation in SMEs: effects of the internal environment. *Journal of Research in Marketing and Entrepreneurship*, 3(1), 1-17.
- Bhardwaj, B., & Kalia, N. (2021). Contextual and task performance: role of employee engagement and organizational culture in hospitality industry. *Vilakshan-XIMB Journal of Management*, 18(2), 187-201.
- Bigliardi, B., Ivo Dormio, A., Galati, F., & Schiuma, G. (2012). The impact of organizational culture on the job satisfaction of knowledge employees. *Vine*, 42(1), 36-51.
- Bitner, M. J., Booms, B. H., & Mohr, L. A. (1994). Critical service encounters: The employee's viewpoint. *Journal of marketing*, 58(4), 95-106.
- Boshoff, C., & Allen, J. (2000). The influence of selected antecedents on frontline staff's perceptions of service recovery performance. *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 11(1), 63-90.
- Braunscheidel, M. J., Suresh, N. C., & Boisnier, A. D. (2010). Investigating the impact of organizational culture on supply chain integration. *Human Resource Management*, 49(5), 883-911.
- Brown, S. (2005). Assessment for learning. *Learning and teaching in higher education*(1), 81-89.

- Carmeli, A., Gilat, G., & Waldman, D. A. (2007). The role of perceived organizational performance in organizational identification, adjustment and job performance. *Journal of management studies*, 44(6), 972-992.
- Chen, C.-T., Lin, C.-T., & Huang, S.-F. (2006). A fuzzy approach for supplier evaluation and selection in supply chain management. *International journal of production economics*, 102(2), 289-301.
- Cho, S., Johanson, M. M., & Guchait, P. (2009). Employees intent to leave: A comparison of determinants of intent to leave versus intent to stay. *International journal of hospitality management*, 28(3), 374-381.
- Christen, M., Iyer, G., & Soberman, D. (2006). Job satisfaction, job performance, and effort: A reexamination using agency theory. *Journal of marketing*, 70(1), 137-150.
- Chuang, N. K., Yin, D., & Dellmann-Jenkins, M. (2009). Intrinsic and extrinsic factors impacting casino hotel chefs' job satisfaction. *International journal of contemporary hospitality management*, 21(3), 323-340.
- Coyle-Shapiro, J. A. M., Kessler, I., & Purcell, J. (2004). Exploring organizationally directed citizenship behaviour: reciprocity or 'it's my job'? *Journal of management studies*, 41(1), 85-106.
- Dalessio, A., Silverman, W. H., & Schuck, J. R. (1986). Paths to turnover: A re-analysis and review of existing data on the Mobley, Horner, and Hollingsworth turnover model. *Human Relations*, 39(3), 245-263.
- Davidow, M. (2003). Organizational responses to customer complaints: What works and what doesn't. *Journal of service research*, 5(3), 225-250.
- De Romario, F., Dwija, I. G. A. M. A., Badera, I. D. N., & Putra, I. N. W. A. (2019). Effect of budget participation towards regional government managerial performance. *International research journal of management, IT and social sciences*, 6(3), 101-107.
- Denison, D. R. (1996). What is the difference between organizational culture and organizational climate? A native's point of view on a decade of paradigm wars. *Academy of management review*, 21(3), 619-654.
- Denison, S., Bonawitz, E., Gopnik, A., & Griffiths, T. L. (2013). Rational variability in children's causal inferences: The sampling hypothesis. *Cognition*, 126(2), 285-300.
- Dusek, G. A., Ruppel, C. P., Yurova, Y., & Clarke, R. (2014). The role of employee service orientation in turnover in the US hotel industry. *Journal of Organizational Culture, Communications and Conflict*, 18(2), 87.
- Ekoady, A. S., & Riyadi, A. (2020). Design in socio-technical perspective: An Actor-Network Theory reflection on community project 'Kampung Kreatif' in Bandung. *Archives of Design Research*, 33(2), 19-37.

- Fanouriakis, A., Kostopoulou, M., Alunno, A., Aringer, M., Bajema, I., Boletis, J. N., Cervera, R., Doria, A., Gordon, C., & Govoni, M. (2019). 2019 update of the EULAR recommendations for the management of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases*, 78(6), 736-745.
- Ferreira, A. I., Martinez, L. F., Lamelas, J. P., & Rodrigues, R. I. (2017). Mediation of job embeddedness and satisfaction in the relationship between task characteristics and turnover: A multilevel study in Portuguese hotels. *International journal of contemporary hospitality management*, 29(1), 248-267.
- Ferreira, M. A., Vonk, J. M., Baurecht, H., Marenholz, I., Tian, C., Hoffman, J. D., Helmer, Q., Tillander, A., Ullemer, V., & Van Dongen, J. (2017). Shared genetic origin of asthma, hay fever and eczema elucidates allergic disease biology. *Nature genetics*, 49(12), 1752-1757.
- Finkelstein, M. A. (2008). Volunteer satisfaction and volunteer action: A functional approach. *Social Behavior and Personality: an international journal*, 36(1), 9-18.
- Ford, R. C., Heaton, C. P., & Brown, S. W. (2001). Delivering excellent service: Lessons from the best firms. *California Management Review*, 44(1), 39-56.
- Fredrickson, B. L., & Branigan, C. (2005). Positive emotions broaden the scope of attention and thought-action repertoires. *Cognition & emotion*, 19(3), 313-332.
- Friedlingstein, P., O'sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Hauck, J., Olsen, A., Peters, G. P., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., & Sitch, S. (2020). Global carbon budget 2020. *Earth System Science Data Discussions*, 2020, 1-3.
- Ghashghaeinia, A. R., & Hafezi, S. (2015). Relationship between organizational culture and organizational citizenship behavior among personnel of Islamic Azad University of Fars. *Journal of Applied Environmental and Biological Sciences*, 5(11), 131-138.
- Ghiselli, R. F., La Lopa, J. M., & Bai, B. (2001). Job satisfaction, life satisfaction, and turnover intent: Among food-service managers. *Cornell hotel and restaurant administration quarterly*, 42(2), 28-37.
- Gordon, G. G., & DiTomaso, N. (1992). Predicting corporate performance from organizational culture. *Journal of management studies*, 29(6), 783-798.
- Greenberg, J. (2010). "There's Nothing Anyone Can Do About It ": Participation, Apathy, and "Successful" Democratic Transition in Postsocialist Serbia. *Slavic Review*, 69(1), 41-64.
- Greenhalgh, T., Robert, G., Macfarlane, F., Bate, P., & Kyriakidou, O. (2004). Diffusion of innovations in service organizations: systematic review and recommendations. *The milbank quarterly*, 82(4), 581-629.
- Guchait, P., Abbott, J. L., Lee, C.-K., Back, K.-J., & Manoharan, A. (2019). The influence of perceived forgiveness climate on service recovery performance:



The mediating effect of psychological safety and organizational fairness. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, 40, 94-102.

- Hakanen, J. J., Bakker, A. B., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2006). Burnout and work engagement among teachers. *Journal of school psychology*, 43(6), 495-513.
- Haldorai, K., Kim, W. G., & Garcia, R. F. (2022). Top management green commitment and green intellectual capital as enablers of hotel environmental performance: The mediating role of green human resource management. *Tourism Management*, 88, 104431.
- Hancock, J. I., Allen, D. G., Bosco, F. A., McDaniel, K. R., & Pierce, C. A. (2013). Meta-analytic review of employee turnover as a predictor of firm performance. *Journal of Management*, 39(3), 573-603.
- Harris, S. G., & Mossholder, K. W. (1996). The affective implications of perceived congruence with culture dimensions during organizational transformation. *Journal of management*, 22(4), 527-547.
- Harrison, R. (1976). A highly efficient method for washing mammalian spermatozoa. *Reproduction*, 48(2), 347-353.
- Haryati, T. (2017). Relationship Between Education and Economic Growth in Indonesia, Malaysia and Singapore. *Journal of Economic Education*, 6(1), 1-10.
- Hemmelgarn, A. L., Glisson, C., & James, L. R. (2006). Organizational culture and climate: Implications for services and interventions research. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 13(1), 73.
- Herzberg, F. (2015). Motivation-hygiene theory. In *Organizational Behavior 1* (pp. 61-74). Routledge.
- Heskett, J. (1994). Putting the service-profit chain to work. *Harvard Business Review*.
- Heskett, J. L., Sasser, W. E., & Wheeler, J. (2008). *The Ownership Quotient: putting the service profit chain to work for unbeatable competitive advantage*. Harvard Business Press.
- Hess Jr, R. L., Ganesan, S., & Klein, N. M. (2003). Service failure and recovery: The impact of relationship factors on customer satisfaction. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 31(2), 127-145.
- Hofhuis, J., Schafraad, P., Trilling, D., Luca, N., & van Manen, B. (2023). Automated content analysis of cultural diversity perspectives in annual reports (DivPAR): Development, validation, and future research agenda. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 29(1), 74.
- Hofstede, G. (1983). National cultures in four dimensions: A research-based theory of cultural differences among nations. *International studies of management & organization*, 13(1-2), 46-74.
- Hofstede, G. (1989). Organising for cultural diversity. *European Management Journal*, 7(4), 390-397.

- Hofstede, G., & Minkov, M. (2010). Long-versus short-term orientation: new perspectives. *Asia Pacific business review*, 16(4), 493-504.
- Hogan, J., Hogan, R., & Busch, C. M. (1984). How to measure service orientation. *Journal of applied psychology*, 69(1), 167.
- Hogan, S. J., & Coote, L. V. (2014). Organizational culture, innovation, and performance: A test of Schein's model. *Journal of business research*, 67(8), 1609-1621.
- Hom, P. W., Griffeth, R. W., & Sellaro, C. L. (1984). The validity of Mobley's (1977) model of employee turnover. *Organizational behavior and human performance*, 34(2), 141-174.
- Homburg, C., & Fürst, A. (2005). How organizational complaint handling drives customer loyalty: an analysis of the mechanistic and the organic approach. *Journal of Marketing*, 69(3), 95-114.
- Hon, A. H. (2011). Enhancing employee creativity in the Chinese context: The mediating role of employee self-concordance. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 30(2), 375-384.
- Hon, A. H. (2012). Shaping environments conducive to creativity: The role of intrinsic motivation. *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly*, 53(1), 53-64.
- Hülshager, U. R., Walkowiak, A., & Thommes, M. S. (2018). How can mindfulness be promoted? Workload and recovery experiences as antecedents of daily fluctuations in mindfulness. *Journal of occupational and organizational psychology*, 91(2), 261-284.
- Iskamto, D. (2021). Stress and its impact on employee performance. *International Journal of Social and Management Studies*, 2(3), 142-148.
- Ivanov, S., Gretzel, U., Berezina, K., Sigala, M., & Webster, C. (2019). Progress on robotics in hospitality and tourism: a review of the literature. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*, 10(4), 489-521.
- Ju, B., Lee, Y., Park, S., & Yoon, S. W. (2021). A meta-analytic review of the relationship between learning organization and organizational performance and employee attitudes: Using the dimensions of learning organization questionnaire. *Human resource development review*, 20(2), 207-251.
- Jung, H. S., & Yoon, H. H. (2015). The impact of employees' positive psychological capital on job satisfaction and organizational citizenship behaviors in the hotel. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 27(6), 1135-1156.
- Kang, D.-W., Ilhan, Z. E., Isern, N. G., Hoyt, D. W., Howsmon, D. P., Shaffer, M., Lozupone, C. A., Hahn, J., Adams, J. B., & Krajmalnik-Brown, R. (2018). Differences in fecal microbial metabolites and microbiota of children with autism spectrum disorders. *Anaerobe*, 49, 121-131.

- Kar, D., & Tewari, H. (1999). Organizational culture and organizational citizenship behaviour. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 421-433.
- Karatepe, O. M. (2012). Perceived organizational support, career satisfaction, and performance outcomes: a study of hotel employees in Cameroon. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 24(5), 735-752.
- Karatepe, O. M. (2013). High-performance work practices and hotel employee performance: The mediation of work engagement. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 32, 132-140.
- Karatepe, O. M., & Uludag, O. (2007). Conflict, exhaustion, and motivation: A study of frontline employees in Northern Cyprus hotels. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 26(3), 645-665.
- Karatepe, O. M., & Uludag, O. (2008). Role stress, burnout and their effects on frontline hotel employees' job performance: evidence from Northern Cyprus. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 10(2), 111-126.
- Kau, A. K., & Wan-Yiun Loh, E. (2006). The effects of service recovery on consumer satisfaction: a comparison between complainants and non-complainants. *Journal of services marketing*, 20(2), 101-111.
- Khazanchi, S., & Masterson, S. S. (2011). Who and what is fair matters: A multi-foci social exchange model of creativity. *Journal of organizational behavior*, 32(1), 86-106.
- Kong, H., Jiang, X., Chan, W., & Zhou, X. (2018). Job satisfaction research in the field of hospitality and tourism. *International journal of contemporary hospitality management*, 30(5), 2178-2194.
- Kwortnik Jr, R. J., & Thompson, G. M. (2009). Unifying service marketing and operations with service experience management. *Journal of service research*, 11(4), 389-406.
- Kwortnik, R. J. (2008). Shipscape influence on the leisure cruise experience. *International Journal of Culture, Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 2(4), 289-311.
- Lam, S. Y., Vandenbosch, M., Hulland, J., & Pearce, M. (2001). Evaluating promotions in shopping environments: Decomposing sales response into attraction, conversion, and spending effects. *Marketing Science*, 20(2), 194-215.
- Lapierre, L. M., & Hackett, R. D. (2007). Trait conscientiousness, leader-member exchange, job satisfaction and organizational citizenship behaviour: A test of an integrative model. *Journal of occupational and organizational psychology*, 80(3), 539-554.
- Lee, A. Y., Katz, R., & Hancock, J. (2021). The role of subjective construals on reporting and reasoning about social media use. *Social Media+ Society*, 7(3), 20563051211035350.

- Lee, S.-Y., & Whitford, A. B. (2008). Exit, voice, loyalty, and pay: Evidence from the public workforce. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 18(4), 647-671.
- Li, Y.-H., Ueng, K.-C., Jeng, J.-S., Charng, M.-J., Lin, T.-H., Chien, K.-L., Wang, C.-Y., Chao, T.-H., Liu, P.-Y., & Su, C.-H. (2017). 2017 Taiwan lipid guidelines for high risk patients. *Journal of the Formosan Medical Association*, 116(4), 217-248.
- Liang, H.-E., Reinhardt, R. L., Bando, J. K., Sullivan, B. M., Ho, I.-C., & Locksley, R. M. (2012). Divergent expression patterns of IL-4 and IL-13 define unique functions in allergic immunity. *Nature immunology*, 13(1), 58-66.
- Liao, H., & Chuang, A. (2004). A multilevel investigation of factors influencing employee service performance and customer outcomes. *Academy of Management journal*, 47(1), 41-58.
- Liao, H., Toya, K., Lepak, D. P., & Hong, Y. (2009). Do they see eye to eye? Management and employee perspectives of high-performance work systems and influence processes on service quality. *Journal of applied psychology*, 94(2), 371.
- Lievens, F., & Anseel, F. (2004). Confirmatory factor analysis and invariance of an organizational citizenship behaviour measure across samples in a Dutch-speaking context. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 77(3), 299-306.
- Limaj, E., & Bernroider, E. W. (2022). A taxonomy of scaling agility. *The journal of strategic information systems*, 31(3), 101721.
- Lin, I. Y., & Mattila, A. S. (2010). Restaurant servicescape, service encounter, and perceived congruency on customers' emotions and satisfaction. *Journal of hospitality marketing & management*, 19(8), 819-841.
- Locke, E. A., Sirota, D., & Wolfson, A. D. (1976). An experimental case study of the successes and failures of job enrichment in a government agency. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 61(6), 701.
- Lu, H., While, A. E., & Barriball, K. L. (2005). Job satisfaction among nurses: a literature review. *International journal of nursing studies*, 42(2), 211-227.
- MacIntosh, E. W., & Doherty, A. (2010). The influence of organizational culture on job satisfaction and intention to leave. *Sport management review*, 13(2), 106-117.
- Mahato, D. K., Keast, R., Liem, D. G., Russell, C. G., Cicerale, S., & Gamlath, S. (2020). Sugar reduction in dairy food: an overview with flavoured milk as an example. *Foods*, 9(10), 1400.
- Malyarov, O. (2008). The Russian economy in the era of globalisation. *World Affairs: The Journal of International Issues*, 12(4), 70-102.

- Mattila, A. S., & Patterson, P. G. (2004). Service recovery and fairness perceptions in collectivist and individualist contexts. *Journal of service research*, 6(4), 336-346.
- MAULANI, H. (2015). *INFLUENCE OF NET INCOME AND OPERATING CASH FLOW ON DIVIDEND POLICY* Universitas Andalas].
- Maxham III, J. G., & Netemeyer, R. G. (2002). A longitudinal study of complaining customers' evaluations of multiple service failures and recovery efforts. *Journal of marketing*, 66(4), 57-71.
- McCole, P. (2004). Refocusing marketing to reflect practice: The changing role of marketing for business. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 22(5), 531-539.
- McCollough, M. A., Berry, L. L., & Yadav, M. S. (2000). An empirical investigation of customer satisfaction after service failure and recovery. *Journal of service research*, 3(2), 121-137.
- Medina, C. B., Mehrotra, P., Arandjelovic, S., Perry, J. S., Guo, Y., Morioka, S., Barron, B., Walk, S. F., Ghesquière, B., & Krupnick, A. S. (2020). Metabolites released from apoptotic cells act as tissue messengers. *Nature*, 580(7801), 130-135.
- Min, J. C. (2012). A short-form measure for assessment of emotional intelligence for tour guides: Development and evaluation. *Tourism management*, 33(1), 155-167.
- Mobley, W. H. (1977). Intermediate linkages in the relationship between job satisfaction and employee turnover. *Journal of applied psychology*, 62(2), 237.
- Mohanty, J., & Rath, B. P. (2012). Influence of organizational culture on organizational citizenship behavior: A three-sector study. *Global Journal of business research*, 6(1), 65-76.
- Mohsin, M., Safdar, S., Asghar, F., & Jamal, F. (2013). Assessment of drinking water quality and its impact on residents health in Bahawalpur city. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 3(15), 114-128.
- Mosterd, A., Cost, B., Hoes, A., De Bruijne, M., Deckers, J., Hofman, A., & Grobbee, D. (2001). The prognosis of heart failure in the general population. The Rotterdam Study. *European heart journal*, 22(15), 1318-1327.
- Nadiri, H., & Tanova, C. (2010). An investigation of the role of justice in turnover intentions, job satisfaction, and organizational citizenship behavior in hospitality industry. *International journal of hospitality management*, 29(1), 33-41.
- Nakazawa, S., Shimizu, K., Mogi, A., & Kuwano, H. (2018). VATS segmentectomy: past, present, and future. *General thoracic and cardiovascular surgery*, 66, 81-90.
- Nebojša, J. (2015). The hybrid approach in the research of organizational culture. Proceedings of the European Conference on e-Learning,

- Nourani Saadoldin, S., Kohansal Daghian, Z., Esmaily, H., & Hooshmand, E. (2016). The relationship between organizational citizenship behavior, job satisfaction, and occupational stress among midwives working in healthcare centers of Mashhad, Iran, 2014. *Journal of Midwifery and Reproductive Health, 4*(2), 622-630.
- Organ, D. W. (1988a). Organizational citizenship behavior: The good soldier syndrome. *Lexington Book*.
- Organ, D. W. (1988b). A restatement of the satisfaction-performance hypothesis. *Journal of management, 14*(4), 547-557.
- Ouchi, W. G., & Wilkins, A. L. (1985). Organizational culture. *Annual review of sociology, 11*(1), 457-483.
- Paais, M., & Pattiruhu, J. R. (2020). Effect of motivation, leadership, and organizational culture on satisfaction and employee performance. *The journal of asian finance, economics and business, 7*(8), 577-588.
- Pareek, V. (2014). An Empirical Investigation of the Causal Determinants of Customer Satisfaction: A Study of Indian Banks. *IUP Journal of Management Research, 13*(2).
- Peng, M. W., & Pleggenkuhle-Miles, E. G. (2009). Current debates in global strategy. *International journal of management reviews, 11*(1), 51-68.
- Pettigrew, A. M. (1979). On studying organizational cultures. *Administrative science quarterly, 24*(4), 570-581.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Paine, J. B., & Bachrach, D. G. (2000). Organizational citizenship behaviors: A critical review of the theoretical and empirical literature and suggestions for future research. *Journal of management, 26*(3), 513-563.
- Porter, L., & Yiftachel, O. (2019). Urbanizing settler-colonial studies: Introduction to the special issue. In (Vol. 9, pp. 177-186): Taylor & Francis.
- Prihantari, G. A. P. E. D., & Astika, I. B. P. (2019). Effect of role overload, budget participation, environmental uncertainty, organizational culture, competence, and compensation on employee performance. *International research journal of management, IT and social sciences, 6*(4), 197-206.
- Rahim, R., Ochsner, U. A., Olvera, C., Graninger, M., Messner, P., Lam, J. S., & Soberón-Chávez, G. (2001). Cloning and functional characterization of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* rhlC gene that encodes rhamnosyltransferase 2, an enzyme responsible for di-rhamnolipid biosynthesis. *Molecular microbiology, 40*(3), 708-718.
- Rashid, Z. A., Sambasivan, M., & Johari, J. (2003). The influence of corporate culture and organisational commitment on performance. *Journal of management development, 22*(8), 708-728.

- Ravichandran, K. S., & Lorenz, U. (2007). Engulfment of apoptotic cells: signals for a good meal. *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 7(12), 964-974.
- Robbins, M., Judge, A., Ambegia, E., Choi, C., Yaworski, E., Palmer, L., McClintock, K., & MacLachlan, I. (2008). Misinterpreting the therapeutic effects of small interfering RNA caused by immune stimulation. *Human gene therapy*, 19(10), 991-999.
- Robbins, M., Judge, A., Liang, L., McClintock, K., Yaworski, E., & MacLachlan, I. (2007). 2'-O-methyl-modified RNAs act as TLR7 antagonists. *Molecular Therapy*, 15(9), 1663-1669.
- Robbins, S., Coulter, M., & Administración. (2010). Pearson. In.
- Robbins, S. P., & Coulter, M. K. (1999). *Management: Instructor's Manual*. Prentice Hall.
- Robbins, S. P., Judge, T., & Beward, K. (2016). *Essentials of organizational behaviour*. Pearson Canada.
- Roche, M., Haar, J. M., & Luthans, F. (2014). The role of mindfulness and psychological capital on the well-being of leaders. *Journal of occupational health psychology*, 19(4), 476.
- Roger, P. A. (1996). *Biology and management of the floodwater ecosystem in rice fields*. Int. Rice Res. Inst.
- Roger, R. (1996). Principal components transform with simple, automatic noise adjustment. *International journal of remote sensing*, 17(14), 2719-2727.
- Rumapea, V., & Yanuar, Y. (2024). CONNECTING THE DOTS: CSR, JOB SATISFACTION, AND ORGANIZATIONAL CITIZENSHIP BEHAVIOR. *International Journal of Application on Economics and Business*, 2(2), 3318-3327.
- Sabri, D. (2011). What's wrong with 'the student experience'? *Discourse: Studies in the cultural politics of education*, 32(5), 657-667.
- Sanhaji, B. (2017). Testing for nonlinearity in conditional covariances. *Journal of Time Series Econometrics*, 9(2), 20160010.
- Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2004). Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement: A multi-sample study. *Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, Occupational and Organizational Psychology and Behavior*, 25(3), 293-315.
- Schein, E. H. (1992). How can organizations learn faster?: the problem of entering the Green Room.
- Schneider, B., Ehrhart, M. G., & Macey, W. H. (2013). Organizational climate and culture. *Annual review of psychology*, 64(1), 361-388.

- Sharma, P. K., & Kumra, R. (2020). Relationship between workplace spirituality, organizational justice and mental health: mediation role of employee engagement. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, 17(5), 627-650.
- Shokrkon, H., & Naami, A. (2008). The relationship of job satisfaction with organizational citizenship behavior and job performance in Ahvaz factory employees.
- Sinclair, A., Morley, J., & Barnett, A. (2011). Rahman Shah. *Diabetes Metab*, 37, S27-38.
- Singh, R., & Agrawal, M. (2007). Effects of sewage sludge amendment on heavy metal accumulation and consequent responses of *Beta vulgaris* plants. *Chemosphere*, 67(11), 2229-2240.
- Siripanthong, B., Nazarian, S., Muser, D., Deo, R., Santangeli, P., Khanji, M. Y., Cooper Jr, L. T., & Chahal, C. A. A. (2020). Recognizing COVID-19–related myocarditis: The possible pathophysiology and proposed guideline for diagnosis and management. *Heart rhythm*, 17(9), 1463-1471.
- Siu, F. Y., He, M., De Graaf, C., Han, G. W., Yang, D., Zhang, Z., Zhou, C., Xu, Q., Wacker, D., & Joseph, J. S. (2013). Structure of the human glucagon class B G-protein-coupled receptor. *Nature*, 499(7459), 444-449.
- Spector, P. E. (1997). *Job satisfaction: Application, assessment, causes, and consequences* (Vol. 3). Sage.
- Srivastava, B. K., & Panda, M. (2003). Paradigm shift and dimensions of work culture: Implications for organizations. *Global Business Review*, 4(2), 285-291.
- Staples, D. S., & Webster, J. (2008). Exploring the effects of trust, task interdependence and virtualness on knowledge sharing in teams. *Information systems journal*, 18(6), 617-640.
- Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockström, J., Cornell, S. E., Fetzer, I., Bennett, E. M., Biggs, R., Carpenter, S. R., De Vries, W., & De Wit, C. A. (2015). Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet. *science*, 347(6223), 1259855.
- Stephen, O., Sain, M., Maduh, U. J., & Jeong, D.-U. (2019). An efficient deep learning approach to pneumonia classification in healthcare. *Journal of healthcare engineering*, 2019(1), 4180949.
- Subrahmanian, M. (2012). Achieving high involvement & satisfaction through OCTAPACE culture in IT Companies. *Zenith International Journal of Business Economics & Management Research*, 2(5), 131-138.
- Sutcliffe, J. S., Nelson, D. L., Zhang, F., Pieretti, M., Caskey, C. T., Saxe, D., & Warren, S. T. (1992). DNA methylation represses FMR-1 transcription in fragile X syndrome. *Human molecular genetics*, 1(6), 397-400.



- Swaminathan, S., & Jawahar, P. D. (2013). Job satisfaction as a predictor of organizational citizenship behavior: An empirical study. *Global journal of business research*, 7(1), 71-80.
- Swanson, S. R., & Hsu, M. K. (2011). The effect of recovery locus attributions and service failure severity on word-of-mouth and repurchase behaviors in the hospitality industry. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 35(4), 511-529.
- Tajeddini, K., & Trueman, M. (2012). Managing Swiss Hospitality: How cultural antecedents of innovation and customer-oriented value systems can influence performance in the hotel industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 31(4), 1119-1129.
- Tan, C., Sun, F., Kong, T., Zhang, W., Yang, C., & Liu, C. (2018). A survey on deep transfer learning. Artificial Neural Networks and Machine Learning–ICANN 2018: 27th International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks, Rhodes, Greece, October 4-7, 2018, Proceedings, Part III 27,
- Tang, D. S., & Do, D. T. (2019). The impact of work characteristics on bank employees' motivation in hanoi: application of job characteristics' theory of Hackman and Oldham (1980). *European J. Busin. Manage*, 11, 27.
- Tax, S. S., Brown, S. W., & Chandrashekar, M. (1998). Customer evaluations of service complaint experiences: implications for relationship marketing. *Journal of marketing*, 62(2), 60-76.
- Thrun, S., Montemerlo, M., Dahlkamp, H., Stavens, D., Aron, A., Diebel, J., Fong, P., Gale, J., Halpenny, M., & Hoffmann, G. (2006). Stanley: The robot that won the DARPA Grand Challenge. *Journal of field Robotics*, 23(9), 661-692.
- Van Dyne, L., Vandewalle, D., Kostova, T., Latham, M. E., & Cummings, L. (2000). Collectivism, propensity to trust and self-esteem as predictors of organizational citizenship in a non-work setting. *Journal of organizational behavior*, 21(1), 3-23.
- Visscher, P. M., Yang, J., & Goddard, M. E. (2010). A commentary on 'common SNPs explain a large proportion of the heritability for human height' by Yang et al.(2010). *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, 13(6), 517-524.
- Waluyo, M., Rahmawati, N., Hayati, K. R., & Waluyo, M. R. (2020). Analysis of Satisfaction Relationship Model and Customer Loyalty in Marketing Smartphone Brand X. 3rd International Conference on Social Sciences (ICSS 2020),
- Weismann, C. M., Ferreira, J., Keeler, A. M., Su, Q., Qui, L., Shaffer, S. A., Xu, Z., Gao, G., & Sena-Esteves, M. (2015). Systemic AAV9 gene transfer in adult GM1 gangliosidosis mice reduces lysosomal storage in CNS and extends lifespan. *Human molecular genetics*, 24(15), 4353-4364.
- Williams, L. J., & Anderson, S. E. (1991). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment as predictors of organizational citizenship and in-role behaviors. *Journal of management*, 17(3), 601-617.

- Wilson, J. (2000). Volunteering. *Annual review of sociology*, 26(1), 215-240.
- Wirtz, J., & Lovelock, C. (2016). Service marketing communications. *World Scientific Book Chapters*, 210-264.
- Wong, S., & Pang, L. (2003). Motivators to creativity in the hotel industry— perspectives of managers and supervisors. *Tourism management*, 24(5), 551-559.
- Xue, X., Hong, Y., Limaye, A. S., Gourley, J. J., Huffman, G. J., Khan, S. I., Dorji, C., & Chen, S. (2013). Statistical and hydrological evaluation of TRMM-based Multi-satellite Precipitation Analysis over the Wangchu Basin of Bhutan: Are the latest satellite precipitation products 3B42V7 ready for use in ungauged basins? *Journal of Hydrology*, 499, 91-99.
- Yavas, U., Karatepe, O. M., & Babakus, E. (2010). Relative efficacy of organizational support and personality traits in predicting service recovery and job performances: a study of frontline employees in Pakistan. *Tourism review*, 65(3), 70-83.
- Younas, A., & Jan, H. (2012). *The Impact of Service Recovery on Customer Loyalty (Case company: Swedbank)*.
- Yurcu, G., & Akıncı, Z. (2017). Influence of organizational citizenship behavior on hotel employees' job satisfaction and subjective well-being. *Advances in Hospitality and Tourism Research (AHTR)*, 5(1), 57-83.
- Zeb, A., Akbar, F., Hussain, K., Safi, A., Rabnawaz, M., & Zeb, F. (2021). The competing value framework model of organizational culture, innovation and performance. *Business process management journal*, 27(2), 658-683.
- Zeithaml, V. A., Berry, L. L., & Parasuraman, A. (1996). The behavioral consequences of service quality. *Journal of marketing*, 60(2), 31-46.