

Received: 22 July 2022, Accepted: 27August 2022

**A Comprehensive Analysis of the Fourth Hankel Determinant
 Associated with the Class of Janowski Starlike Functions with Complex
 Parameters**

**¹Mirajul Haq, ²Mustaqeem Khan, ³Khalil Ullah, ⁴Ihsan Ullah Khan,
⁵Zainab Gul Awan, ⁶Waqas Ahmad, ⁷Waseem Ali**

**^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}Department of Mathematics, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan
 23200, Pakistan**

E-Mail: merajkhan054@gmail.com; mustaqueemkhan843@gmail.com;
 khalilkumail.edu@gmail.com; iu6422085@gmail.com;
 awanzainab0077@gmail.com; waqasahmadkhanawkum@gmail.com;
 waseem.ali.15396@gmail.com

***Corresponding Author**

E-Mail: merajkhan054@gmail.com; khalilkumail.edu@gmail.com

Abstract

We consider the class of normalized holomorphic functions f in such a way that the ratio $((f'(z))/(q(z)))$ is subordinate to function $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ (Janowski function), and $q(z)$ is subordinate to $\frac{2-z}{1+e}$ (sigmoid function), and we derive a fourth Hankel determinant bound for this class.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45, 30C50.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H be the family of holomorphic (or analytic) functions in $U = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$ and $N_n \subset H$ such that $f \in N_n$ has the series representation

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} a_j z^j. \quad (1.1)$$

Let \mathcal{S} be the subfamily of $N_1 \subset H$ containing univalent functions in U . Assume that U contains two analytic functions, f and g . Then the function f is therefore said to be subordinate to the function g , and the following expression could be written:

$$f(z) \prec g(z), \quad (z \in U). \quad (1.2)$$

If a Schwarz function $w(z)$ exists that meets the following criteria,

$$w(0) = 0 \text{ and } |w(z)| < 1, \quad (z \in U),$$

such that

$$f(z)=g\left(w(z)\right), \; (z_1\in U).$$

Let $p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ be analytic and regular in U with $p(0) = 1$, $\{Re p(z) > 0\}$, and also meets the following criteria

$$p(z) < (1 + Az/1 + Bz), \quad -1 \leq B < A, \quad -1 < A < 1.$$

Then this function is referred to as a Janowski function and is represented by the letter $p(A, B)$. Geometrically $p(z) \in \Omega(A, B)$, $p(0) = 1$ and $p(U)$ is inside the domain Specified by

$$\Omega(A, B) = \omega : \omega - \frac{1 - AB}{1 - B^2} < \frac{A - B}{1 - B^2},$$

with diameter end points

$$\frac{1-A}{1-B} = p(-1) \text{ and } \frac{1+A}{1+B} = p(1).$$

Let $S^*(A, B)$ be the class of functions $\kappa(z)$, $\kappa(0) = 0 = \kappa'(0) = 1$ are holomorphic in U and meets the following requirements

$$\kappa(z) \in S^*(A, B) \Leftrightarrow \frac{z\kappa'(z)}{\kappa(z)} < P(A, B).$$

In geometric function theory, the most basic and important subfamilies are the star-like, convex, and close-to-convex functions which are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} S^* &= f \in S : 9 \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > 0, \quad z \in U, \\ C &= f \in S : 9 \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} > 0, \quad z \in U, \\ k &= f \in S : 9 \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} > 0 \quad g(z) \in S^* \quad z \in U. \end{aligned}$$

Many scholars have created and researched distinct subclasses of analytic functions connected with various image domains, see the work of Cho et al. [31], Dziok et al. [28], Kumar and Ravichandran [29], Mediratta et al. [30], Sokol and Stankiewicz [33], Raina and Sokol [35], Kanas and Raducanu [34], Sharma et al. [36]. Using the above-mentioned concepts, we now consider the following class:

$$q^* = f \in N : \frac{f'(z)}{q(z)} < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad \& \quad q(z) < \frac{2}{1+e^{-z}} \quad z \in U. \quad (1.3)$$

The q -th Hankel determinant for $q \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ of functions f was defined by Noonan and Thomas in 1976 as follows:

$$\Delta_{q,n}(f) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_n & \cdots & a_{n+q-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q-2} & \cdots & a_n \end{vmatrix}, \quad (a = 1).$$

The first, second, third and fourth-order Hankel determinants are provided here, in that sequence.

$$\Delta_{2,1}(f) = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_3 \end{vmatrix}, (a_1 = 1, n = 1, q = 2,) \quad (1.4)$$

$$\Delta_{2,2}(f) = \begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{vmatrix}, (n = 2, q = 2,) \quad (1.5)$$

$$\Delta_{3,1}(f) = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ a_3 & a_4 & a_5 \end{vmatrix}, (n = 1, q = 3,) \quad (1.6)$$

$$\Delta_{4,1}(f) = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & a_5 \\ a_3 & a_4 & a_5 & a_6 \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 & a_7 \end{vmatrix}, (n = 1, q = 4). \quad (1.7)$$

Since $f \in S$ and $a_1 = 1$ thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{4,1}(f) &= a_7 \{a_3l_1 - a_4l_2 + a_5l_3\} \\ &\quad - a_6 \{a_3l_4 - a_4l_5 + a_6l_3\} \\ &\quad + a_5 \{a_3l_6 - a_5l_5 + a_6l_2\} \\ &\quad - a_4 \{a_4l_6 - a_5l_4 + a_6l_2\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 &= a_2a_4 - a^2, \quad l_2 = a_4 - a_2a_3, \quad l_3 = a_3 - a^2, \\ l_4 &= a_2a_5 - a_3a_4, \quad l_5 = a_5 - a_2a_4, \quad l_6 = a_3a_5 - a^2. \end{aligned}$$

There are few findings in the literature about the Hankel determinant for functions belonging to the general family S . The best proven sharp inequality for the function $f \in S$ is

$$|\Delta_{2,n}(f)| \leq \mu \sqrt[n]{n},$$

where μ is the absolute constant, specified by Hayman [37]. Furthermore, for the same class S , it was demonstrated in [38] that

$$|\Delta_{2,2}(f)| \leq \mu \quad \text{for } \mu \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{11}{3} \right], \quad (1.8)$$

$$|\Delta_{3,1}(f)| \leq \lambda \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \left[\frac{4}{9}, \frac{32 + \sqrt{85}}{15} \right]. \quad (1.9)$$

Extensive research has been conducted on the expansion of $|\Delta_{q,n}(f)|$ for various subfamilies of the set S of univalent functions. For example Janteng [39], [40] measured the sharp bound of $|\Delta_{2,2}(f)|$ for the subfamilies C , S^* , and k of the set S . These bounds are

$$|\Delta_{2,2}(f)| \leq \begin{cases} 1 & f \in S^*, \\ \frac{1}{8} & f \in C, \\ \frac{4}{9} & f \in k. \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

The exact bound for the collection of close-to-convex functions with such a specified determinant is still unknown. Krishna and RamReddy [41] on the other

hand, showed the best estimate of $|\Delta_{2,2}(f)|$ for the set of Bazilevic functions. The calculations in (1.6) make it clear that estimating $|\Delta_{3,1}(f)|$ is significantly more difficult than estimating the $|\Delta_{2,2}(f)|$ bound. Babalola [42] found the up- per bound of $|\Delta_{3,1}(f)|$ for the families of C , \mathcal{S} , and k in the first publication on $|\Delta_{3,1}(f)|$, published in 2010. He came up with the following bounds:

$$|\Delta_{3,1}(f)| \leq \begin{cases} 16 & f \in S^*, \\ 0.174 & f \in C, \\ 0.742 & f \in k. \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

Other authors later used the same methods to publish their work on $\Delta_{3,1}(f)$ for other subfamilies of analytic and univalent functions. Zaprawa [43] improved Babalola's [42] results in 2017 by using a new technique described as

$$|\Delta_{3,1}(f)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{49}{540} & f \in S^*, \\ 1 & f \in C, \\ \frac{41}{60} & f \in k. \end{cases}$$

He claims that such bounds are not the best ones. In 2018, the reader received the first studied manuscripts in which the authors obtained the sharp bounds of $|\Delta_{3,1}(f)|$. Kowalczyk et al. [44] and Lecko et al. [45] have written such works. These results are as follows:

$$|\Delta_{3,1}(f)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{9}, & f \in S^* \text{ } \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{4}{35} & f \in C, \end{cases}$$

where $S^* \frac{1}{2}$ symbolizes the starlike function family of order $\frac{1}{2}$. For functions with bounded turning, Arif et al. [1] and [2] determined the bounds on the fourth- and fifth-order Hankel determinants. Cho and Kumar [47] investigated the bound on $H_4(1)$ for the lune function class. Also Arif [46] just determined the fourth Hankel determinant bound for the class of star-like functions linked to the Bernoulli lemniscate. Many articles have been published in the last few years looking for upper bounds for the second-order Hankel determinant $H_2(2)$, third- order Hankel determinant $H_3(1)$ and fourth Hankel determinant $H_4(1)$, see for example [25, 14, 26]. We contributed to the field by identifying the fourth Hankel determinant for the class q^* . We consider the class q^* of normalized holomorphic functions f in such a way that

$$\left((f'(z))/(q(z)) \right) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \text{ and } q(z) \prec \frac{2}{1+e^{-z}}.$$

where the notion “ \prec ” denotes the familiar subordinations and we derive a fourth Hankel determinant bound for this class.

Main Results

In order to prove our desired results, we shall require the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.1. (see [13]) If $p(z) \in P$, then exists some x, z with $|x| \leq 1$, $|z| \leq 1$, such that

$$2c_2 = c_1^2 + 4 - c_1^2, \\ 4c_3 = c_1^3 + 2c_1x - c_1^2 - c_1x^2 - 4 - c_1^2 + 2 - 4 - c_1^2 - 1 - |x|^2 - z.$$

26:

Lemma 1.2. (see [20]) Let $p(z) \in P$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_4 + c^2 &\geq 2c_1c_3 - 3c^2c_2 - c_4 \leq 2, \\ c_1^5 + 3c_1c^2 &\geq 3c^2c_3 - 4c^3c_2 - 2c_1c_4 - 2c_2c_3 + c_5 \leq 2, \\ c_1^6 + 6c^2c^2 + 4c^3c_3 + 2c_1c_5 + 2c_2c_4 + c^2 &\geq c^3 - 25c^4c_2 - 3c_1c_4 - 6c_1c_2c_3 - c_6 \leq 2, \\ |c_n| &\leq 2, n = 1, 2, 3 \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

Lemma 1.3. (see [18]) Let $p(z) \in P$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 - \frac{|c^2|}{2} &\leq 2 - \frac{|c_2|}{2}, \\ |c_{n+k} - \mu c_n c_k| &< 2 \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq 1, \\ c_{n+2k} - \mu c_n c^2 &\leq 2(1+2\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

Lemma 1.4. Let $h(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n z^n \prec K(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n z^n$ in U . If $K(z)$ is convex univalent in U . Then

$$|d_n| \leq |k_1| \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

We now state and prove the main results of our present investigation.

Theorem 1.5. If the function $f(z) \in q^*$ and of the form (1.1), then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2| &\leq \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} |A - B|, \\ |a_3| &\leq \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2} |A - B|, \\ |a_4| &\leq \frac{23}{96} + \frac{47}{96} |A - B|, \\ |a_5| &\leq \frac{29}{160} + \frac{1}{2} |A - B|, \\ |a_6| &\leq 0.6349 + 0.331 |A - B|, \\ |a_7| &\leq \frac{779}{960} + (0.708) |A - B|. \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

Proof. Since $f(z) \in q^*$, according to the definition of subordination, then there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f'(z)}{q(z)} &= \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}, \\ q(z) &= 1 + Bw(z) \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

where

$$q(z) \prec \frac{2}{1 + e^{-z}}.$$

We define a function

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (1.16)$$

It is obvious that $p(z) \in P$ and

$$w(z) = \frac{p(z) + 1}{p(z) - 1} = \frac{c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots}{2 + c_4 z + c_5 z^2 + c_6 z^3 + \dots}.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2}{1 + e^{-w(z)}} = 1 + \frac{c_1}{4}z + \frac{c_2}{4} - \frac{c_1^2}{8}z^2 + \frac{-c_1c_2}{4} + \frac{c_3}{4} + 4 \frac{11c_1^3}{192}z^3 \\
& + \frac{-c_2^2}{8} + \frac{11c_1^2c_2}{64} - \frac{3c_1^4}{128} - \frac{c_1c_3}{4} + \frac{c_4}{4}z^4 \\
& + \frac{-c_1c_4}{4} - \frac{c_2c_3}{4} + \frac{11c_1^2c_3}{64} + \frac{11c_1c_2^2}{64} - \frac{3c_3^3c_2}{32} + \frac{61c_1^5}{7680} + \frac{c_5}{4}z^5 \\
& + \frac{-c_1c_5}{4} - \frac{c_2c_4}{4} + \frac{11c_1^2c_4}{64} - \frac{c_3^2}{8} - \frac{3c_3^3c_3}{32} - \frac{9c_2^2c^2}{64} + \frac{61c_4^4c_2}{1536} \\
& + \frac{11c_2^3}{192} - \frac{5c_1^6}{3072} + \frac{11c_1c_2c_3}{32} + \frac{c_6}{4}z^6.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

Let us put

$$1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n z^n = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)} \quad (1.18)$$

Then, according to Lemma 1.4, we get

$$|d_n|\leq A-B.$$

Using (1.17) and (1.18), we achieve

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2}{1+e^{-w(z)}} \frac{1+Aw(z)}{1+Bw(z)} \\
= 1 + & \frac{c_1}{4} + d_1 z + \frac{c_2}{4} - \frac{c_1^2}{8} + \frac{c_1 d_1}{4} + d_2 z^2 + \frac{-c_1 c_2}{4} + \frac{c_3}{4} + \frac{11c_1^3}{192} \\
+ d_1 & \frac{c_2}{4} - \frac{c_1^2}{8} + \frac{c_1 d_2}{4} + d_3 z^3 + \frac{-c_2^2}{8} + \frac{11c_1^2 c_2}{64} - \frac{3c_1^4}{128} - \frac{c_1 c_3}{4} + \frac{c_4}{4} \\
+ d_1 & - \frac{c_1 c_2}{4} + \frac{c_3}{4} + 4 \frac{11c_1^3}{192} + d_2 \frac{c_2}{4} - \frac{c_1^2}{8} + \frac{c_1 d_3}{4} + \frac{d_4}{1} z^4 + \\
& - \frac{c_1 c_4}{4} - \frac{c_2 c_3}{4} + \frac{11c_1^2 c_3}{64} + \frac{11c_1 c_2^2}{64} - \frac{3c_1^3 c_2}{32} + \frac{61c_1^5}{7680} + \frac{c_5}{4} \quad (1.19) \\
+ d_1 & - \frac{c_2^2}{8} + \frac{11c_1^2 c_2}{64} - \frac{3c_1^4}{128} - \frac{c_1 c_3}{4} + \frac{c_4}{4} + d_2 - \frac{c_1 c_4}{4} + \frac{c_3}{4} + 4 \frac{11c_1^3}{192} \\
+ d_3 & \frac{c_2}{4} - \frac{c_1^2}{8} + \frac{c_1 d_4}{4} + d_5 z^5 + - \frac{c_1 c_5}{5} - \frac{c_2 c_4}{5} + \frac{11c_1^2 c_4}{64} - \frac{c_3^2}{8} \\
& - \frac{3c_1^3 c_3}{32} - \frac{9c_1^2 c_2^2}{64} + \frac{64c_1^4 c_2}{1536} + \frac{11c_1^3}{192} - \frac{5c_1^6}{3072} + \frac{11c_1 c_2 c_3}{32} + \frac{c_6}{4} \\
+ d_1 & - \frac{c_1 c_5}{4} - \frac{c_2 c_4}{4} + \frac{11c_1^2 c_4}{64} - \frac{c_3^2}{8} - \frac{3c_1^3 c_3}{32} - \frac{9c_1^2 c_2^2}{64} + \frac{61c_1^4 c_2}{1536} + \\
d_2 & - \frac{c_2^2}{8} + \frac{11c_1^2 c_2}{64} - \frac{3c_1^4}{128} - \frac{c_1 c_3}{4} + \frac{c_4}{4} + d_3 - \frac{c_1 c_2}{4} + \frac{c_3}{4} + 4 \frac{11c_1^3}{192} \\
+ d_4 & \frac{c_2}{4} - \frac{c_1^2}{8} + \frac{c_1 d_5}{4} + d_6 z^6.
\end{aligned}$$

Also

$$f'(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1} = 1 + 2a_2 z^1 + 3a_3 z^2 + 4a_4 z^3 + 5a_5 z^4 + \dots . \quad (1.20)$$

When the coefficients of z, z^2, z^3 are compared between the equations (1.20) and (1.19), we get

$$a_2 = \frac{c_1}{8} + \frac{d_1}{2}, \quad (1.21)$$

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{12} c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{24} + \frac{c_1 d_1}{12} + d_2, \quad (1.22)$$

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{c_1 c_2}{4} + \frac{c_3}{4} + \frac{11c_1^3}{192} + d_1 - \frac{c_2}{8} + \frac{c_1 d_2}{4} + d_3 \quad (1.23)$$

$$a_5 = \frac{1}{5} - \frac{c_2^2}{8} + \frac{11c_1^2 c_2}{64} - \frac{3c_1^4}{128} - \frac{c_1 c_3}{4} + \frac{c_4}{4} + d_1 - \frac{c_1 c_2}{4} + \frac{c_3}{4} + 4 \frac{11c_1^3}{192} \\ + d_2 - \frac{c_2}{4} + \frac{c_1 d_3}{4} + d_4 \quad (1.24)$$

$$a_6 = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{c_1 c_4}{4} - \frac{c_2 c_3}{4} + \frac{11c_1^2 c_3}{64} + \frac{11c_1 c_2^2}{64} - \frac{3c_1^3 c_2}{32} + \frac{61c_1^5}{7680} + \frac{c_5}{4} + \\ \frac{d_1}{6} - \frac{c_2^2}{8} - \frac{11c_1^2 c_2}{64} - \frac{3c_1^4}{128} - \frac{c_1 c_3}{4} + \frac{c_4}{4} + \frac{d_2}{6} - \frac{c_1 c_2}{4} + \frac{c_3}{4} + \frac{11c_1^3}{192} \\ + \frac{d_3}{6} - \frac{c_2}{4} - \frac{c_1^2}{12} + \frac{c_1 d_4}{4} + \frac{d_5}{12}, \quad (1.25)$$

$$a_7 = \frac{1}{7} - \frac{c_1 c_5}{4} - \frac{c_2 c_4}{4} + \frac{11c_1^2 c_4}{64} - \frac{c_3^2}{8} - \frac{3c_1^3 c_3}{32} - \frac{9c_1^2 c_2^2}{64} + \frac{61c_1^4 c_2}{1536} + \frac{11c_1^3}{192} \\ - \frac{5c_1^6}{3072} + \frac{11c_1 c_2 c_3}{32} + \frac{c_6}{4} + d_1 - \frac{c_1 c_5}{4} - \frac{c_2 c_4}{4} + \frac{11c_1^2 c_4}{64} - \frac{c_3^2}{8} - \frac{3c_1^3 c_3}{32} \\ - \frac{96c_1^2 c_2^2}{6144} + \frac{61536}{6144} - \frac{c_2^2}{16} - \frac{11c_1^4 c_2}{128} - \frac{c_1 c_3}{4} + \frac{4}{c_4} \\ + d_2 - \frac{c_2}{8} + \\ + d_3 - \frac{c_1 c_2}{4} + \frac{c_3}{4} + 4 \frac{11c_1^3}{192} + d_4 - \frac{c_2}{4} + \frac{c_1 d_5}{4} + \frac{d_6}{12}. \quad (1.26)$$

4

Using Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.4, we are easily able to obtain

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{c_1}{8} + \frac{d_1}{2} \leq c + \frac{|A-B|}{8} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|A-B|}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \quad (1.27)$$

$$|a_3| = \frac{c_2}{12} - \frac{c_1^2}{24} + \frac{c_1 d_1}{12} + \frac{d_2}{3} = \frac{1}{12} c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{24} + \frac{c_1 d_1}{12} - \frac{d_2}{3}$$

Using Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 1.4, and suppose that $c_1 = c \in [0, 2]$. Also, if we apply the triangle inequality to the equation above, we get

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{1}{12} \left(2 - \frac{c^2}{2} + \frac{|A-B|}{6} + \frac{|A-B|}{3} \right) = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{c^2}{24} + \frac{1}{2} |A-B|.$$

Assume that

$$|a_3| \leq G(c) + \frac{1}{2} |A-B|,$$

where

$$G(c) = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{c^2}{24}, G'(c) = -\frac{c}{12} \leq 0$$

As a result, $G(c)$ has a maximum value at $c=0$. Hence, we have

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2} |A - B|. \quad (1.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |a_4| &= -\frac{c_1 c_2}{16} + \frac{c_3}{16} + \frac{11c^3}{768} + \frac{d_1}{4} - \frac{c_2}{4} - \frac{c_1^2}{8} + \frac{c_1 d_2}{16} + \frac{d_3}{4} \\ &= \frac{[c_3 - c_1 c_2]}{16} - \frac{11c^3}{768} + \frac{d_1}{4} - \frac{[c_2 - c^2/2]}{4} + \frac{c_1 d_2}{16} + \frac{d_3}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $c_1 = c, c \in [0, 2]$; by using Lemma 1.3, and Lemma 1.4, we get

$$|a_4| \leq H(c) + |A - B| M(c). \quad (1.29)$$

Where

$$H(c) = -\frac{1}{768} + \frac{11c^3}{8}, M(c) = \frac{11}{24} + \frac{c}{16} - \frac{c^2}{32}$$

When we set

$$M(c) = \frac{1}{16} - \frac{c}{16} = 0,$$

we get $c = 1$, which is the only root of $M(c) = 0$ in the interval $[0, 2]$. Also $M(1) < 0$ and $H'(c) > 0$ in the interval $[0, 2]$. As a result, we may be able to write

$$H(2) \leq \frac{23}{96} \text{ and } M(1) \leq \frac{47}{96}. \quad (1.30)$$

Using (1.30) in (1.29), we achieve

$$|a_4| \leq \frac{23}{96} + \frac{47}{96} |A - B|. \quad (1.31)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |a_5| &= \frac{1}{5} \frac{[c_4 + c^2/2 - 2c_1 c_3 - 3c^2 c_2/2 - c_4]}{8} + \frac{13c_1^2}{64} c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} + \frac{c_4}{4} \\ &\quad + \frac{d_1}{5} \frac{(c_3 - c_1 c_2)}{4} + \frac{11c_1^3}{192} + \frac{d_2}{5} \frac{[c_2 - c^2/2]}{4} + \frac{c_1 d_3}{20} + \frac{d_4}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $c_1 = c, c \in [0, 2]$ according to Lemma 1.2, Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 1.4, we achieve

$$|a_5| \leq H(c) + |A - B| M(c). \quad (1.32)$$

Where

$$H(c) = \frac{3}{20} + \frac{13c^2}{160} - \frac{13c^4}{640}, M(c) = \frac{2}{5} + \frac{c}{20} - \frac{c^2}{40} - \frac{11c^3}{960}.$$

27(

Setting $H(c) = 0$ and $M(c) = 0$, we get
 $c = \pm \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}}, c = 1.528,$

respectively. So for $c = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we achieve

$$H''(\sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}}) = -0.2161454995 < 0.$$

As a result, at $c = \sqrt[3]{2}$, the function $H(c)$ can obtain the maximum value

$$H = \frac{\sqrt[3]{2}}{2} - \frac{29}{160}. \quad (1.33)$$

Also, the function $M(c)$ can get the maximum value at $c = 0$

$$M(0) \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (1.34)$$

using (1.33) and (1.34) in (1.32), we achieve

$$|a_5| \leq \frac{29}{160} + \frac{1}{2} |A - B|. \quad (1.35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |a_6| &= \frac{1}{6} \left[\frac{[c_5 - c_2 c_3]}{4} - \frac{61c_1^3 [c_2 - c_1^2/2]}{3840} + \frac{11c_1 c_2 [c_2 - c_1^2/2]}{64} + \frac{31c_1^3 c_2}{3840} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{c_1}{c_4} - \frac{13c_1 c_3}{16} + d_1 \frac{[c_4 + c_2^2 + 2c_1 c_3 - 3c^2 c_{21} - c_4]}{8} + \frac{c_4}{8} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{13c_1^2}{64} c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} + d_2 - \frac{c_1 [c_2 - c_1^2/2]}{4} + \frac{(c_3 - c_1 c_2)}{4} + \frac{11c_1^3}{192} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d_3 \frac{[c_2 - c/2]}{4} + \frac{c_1 d_4}{4} + \frac{d_5}{1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $c_1 = c, c \in [0, 2]$, by using Lemma 1.2, Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 1.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |a_6| &\leq \frac{1}{6} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{61c^3}{3840} 2 - \frac{c^2}{2} + \frac{31c^3}{1920} + \frac{11c}{32} 2 - \frac{c^2}{2} + \frac{c}{2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{|A - B|}{6} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{13c^2}{32} - \frac{13c^4}{128} \right) + \frac{|A - B|}{6} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{11c^3}{192} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{|A - B|}{6} \frac{[2 - c^2/2]}{4} + \frac{|A - B| c}{24} + \frac{|A - B|}{6} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

After a few easy computations, we arrive at

$$|a_6| \leq K_1(c) + K_2(c) |A - B|. \quad (1.36)$$

Where

$$5 \quad 19c \quad 119c^3 \quad 61c^5$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(c) &= \frac{5}{12} + \frac{19}{96} c - \frac{5760}{3c^2} - \frac{46080}{13c^4}, \\ K_2(c) &= \frac{1}{18} + \frac{1}{24} + \frac{c}{64} - \frac{1}{128}, \end{aligned}$$

When we set

$$K_1(c) = \frac{19}{96} - \frac{119c^2}{1920} - \frac{61c^4}{9216} = 0,$$

we get $c = 1.587$, which is the only root of $K_1(c) = 0$ in the interval $[0, 2]$. Also

$$K_1(1.587) = -0.239 < 0.$$

27:

Obviously, we come across

$$K_1(1.587) \leq 0.6349. \quad (1.37)$$

When we set

$$K_2(c) = \frac{1}{24} + \frac{3c}{32} + \frac{11c^2}{64} - \frac{13c^3}{32} = 0,$$

we get $c = 0.8149$, which is the only root of $K_2(c) = 0$, in the interval $[0, 2]$. Also

$$K_2(0.8149) = -0.42 < 0.$$

Obviously, we come across

$$K_2(0.8149) \leq 0.331. \quad (1.38)$$

Using (1.37) and (1.38) in (1.36), we get

$$|a_6| \leq 0.6349 + 0.331 |A - B|.$$

$$\begin{aligned} |a_7| = & \frac{\underline{c^6} + \underline{16c^2c^2} + \underline{4c^3c_3} + 2c_1c_5 + 2c_2c_4 + c^2 \underline{c^3} - \underline{5c^4c_2} - \underline{3c^2c_4}}{1344} \\ & - \underline{6c_1c_2c_3} - \underline{c_6} + \frac{3c_1^2[c_4 - c_1^2]}{2} + [c_3 - c_1c_2] \underline{c_1} \underline{c_2} - \underline{c_2^2} + \frac{29c_1^3}{672} \\ & - \frac{3c_1^4}{448} \underline{c_2} - \underline{c_1^2} - \frac{112}{7168} + \frac{c_2^2}{112} \underline{c_2} - \underline{c_1^2} + \frac{56}{192} \underline{7[c_6 - 202/245c_2c_4]} \\ & + \frac{101c_1c_5}{3360} - \frac{25c_3^2}{1344} + \frac{27c_1^2c_2^2}{448} + \frac{d_1}{7} \underline{[c_5 - c_2c_3]} - \frac{61c_1^3[c_2 - c_1^2/2]}{3840} \\ & + \frac{11c_1c_2[c_2 - c_1^2/2]}{64} + \frac{31c_1^3c_2}{3840} - \frac{c_1}{4} \underline{c_4} - \frac{13c_1c_3}{16} \\ & + \frac{d_2}{7} \frac{[c_4 + c_2^2 + 2c_1c_3 - 3c^2c_2 - c_4]}{8} + \frac{13c_1^2}{64} \underline{c_2} - \underline{c_1^2} + \frac{c_4}{8} \quad (1.39) \\ & + \frac{d_3}{7} \frac{(c_3 - c_1c_2)}{4} + \frac{11c_1^3}{192} + \frac{d_4}{7} \frac{[c_2 - c_1^2/2]}{4} + \frac{c_1d_5}{28} + \frac{d_6}{7}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking $c_1 = c$, $c \in [0, 2]$, along with the use of Lemmas 1.2, Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 1.4, we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} |a_7| \leq & \frac{221}{1344} + \frac{281c}{1680} + \frac{c^2}{112} + \frac{3c^4}{224} + \frac{c^6}{1024} \\ & + \frac{|A - B|}{7} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{61c^3}{3840} \underline{2} - \underline{\frac{c^2}{2}} + \frac{31c^3}{1920} + \frac{11c}{32} \underline{2} - \underline{\frac{c^2}{2}} + \underline{\frac{c}{2}} \\ & + \frac{|A - B|}{7} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{13c^2}{64} \underline{2} - \underline{\frac{c^2}{2}} + \frac{|A - B|}{7} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{11c^3}{192} \\ & + \frac{|A - B|}{7} \frac{[2 - c^2/2]}{|A - B| c} + \frac{|A - B|}{7} \frac{c}{|A - B|} + \frac{|A - B|}{7}. \end{aligned}$$

27·

7

4

28

7

Obviously

$$|a_7| \leq K_3(c) + |A - B| K_4(c). \quad (1.40)$$

Where

$$K_3(c) = \frac{221}{1344} + \frac{281c}{23c} + \frac{c^2}{9c^2} + \frac{3c^4}{112c^3} + \frac{c^6}{224c^4} + \frac{1024}{13c^5},$$

$$K_4(c) = -\frac{7}{112} + \frac{112}{224} + \frac{224}{105} - \frac{105}{896} - \frac{896}{53760},$$

$K_3(c)$ is an increasing function, and its maximum value is given by

$$K_3(c) = K_3(2) \leq \frac{779}{960}. \quad (1.41)$$

When we set

$$23 - 9c - c^2 - 13c^3 - 61c^4$$

$$K_4(c) = \frac{112}{112} + \frac{112}{35} - \frac{35}{224} - \frac{224}{10752} = 0.$$

We get $c = 1.5738$ which is the only root of $K_4(c) = 0$, in the interval $[0, 2]$, also

$$K_4(1.5738) = -0.539 < 0.$$

As a result, $K_4(c)$ reaches its maximum value at $c = 1.5738$

$$K_4(1.5738) \leq 0.708. \quad (1.42)$$

Using (1.41) and (1.42) in (1.40), we get

$$|a_7| \leq \frac{779}{960} + (0.708) |A - B|.$$

Hence the proof is completed.

Theorem 1.6. If the function $f(z) \in q^*$ and of the form (1.1), then we have

$$a_3 - a_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{6} + \frac{3}{4} |A - B| + \frac{|A - B|}{2}. \quad (1.43)$$

Proof. From (1.21) and (1.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - a_2^2 &= \frac{c_2}{12} - \frac{11c^2}{192} + d_1 \frac{5c_1}{24} - d_1^2 \frac{c_1}{4} + \frac{d_2}{3}, \\ &= \frac{[c_2 - c_1^2/2]}{12} - \frac{c_1^2}{64} + d_1 \frac{5c_1}{24} + \frac{d_2}{3} - \frac{d_1^2}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1.2, Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 1.4, and putting $c_1 = c$, $c \in [0, 2]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - a_2^2 &\leq \frac{[2 - c/2]}{12} + \frac{c_1^2}{64} |A - B| \frac{5c}{24} + \frac{|A - B|}{24} + \frac{|A - B|}{3} - \frac{|A - B|}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{6} - \frac{5c^2}{192} + |A - B| \frac{1}{3} + \frac{5c}{24} + \frac{|A - B|^2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We can express the above inequality as follows:

$$a_3 - a_2^2 \leq K_5(c) + K_6(c) |A - B| + \frac{|A - B|^2}{2}, \quad (1.44)$$

where

$$1 - 5c^2$$

$$1 - 5c$$

27(

$$K_5(c) = -\frac{1}{192} \text{ and } K_6(c) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{24}.$$

$$K_5(c) = -\frac{5c}{96} < 0.$$

$K_5(c)$ is a decreasing function that reaches maximum value at $c=0$, while $K_6(c)$ is an increasing function that reaches maximum value at $c=2$. Hence

$$K_5(c) = K_5(0) \leq \frac{1}{6}, \text{ and } K_6(c) = K_6(2) \leq \frac{3}{4}. \quad (1.45)$$

We can now use (1.45) in (1.44) to get

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4|^2 \leq \frac{1}{6} + \frac{3}{4} |A - B| + \frac{|A - B|^2}{2}.$$

Hence proved the theorem 1.6.

Theorem 1.7. If the function $f(z) \in q^*$ and of the form (1.1), then we have

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leq 0.278 + 0.184 |A - B| + \frac{7}{12} |A - B|^2. \quad (1.46)$$

Proof. From (1.21), (1.22) and (1.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2a_3 - a_4| &= \frac{\frac{7c_1[c_2 - c^2/2]}{96}}{+} + \frac{c_3}{16} - \frac{\frac{13c_1^3}{768} + d_1}{\frac{1}{48}} - \frac{\frac{5[c_2 - c/2]}{96}}{+} \\ &\quad + \frac{d_1d_2}{6} + \frac{d_3d_2}{4} + \frac{c_1d_1^2}{24} + \frac{c_1d_2^2}{16} - \frac{c_1d}{24}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $c_1 = c$, $c \in [0, 2]$, and the use of the Lemmas 1.3 and Lemma 1.4 provide us with the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2a_3 - a_4| &\leq \frac{\frac{7c[2 - c^2/2]}{96}}{+} + \frac{\frac{13c^3}{768}}{+} + \frac{\frac{2}{16}}{|A - B|} + \frac{\frac{5[2 - c^2/2]}{48}}{+} + \frac{\frac{c^2}{96}}{+} \\ &\quad + \frac{c}{24} |A - B| + |A - B|^2 \frac{\frac{5+c}{12}}{+}. \end{aligned}$$

We get the following result after some easy calculation

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{1}{8} \frac{7c}{48} \frac{5c^3}{256} + |A - B| \frac{5}{24} + \frac{c}{24} - \frac{c^2}{24} + |A - B|^2 \frac{5+c}{12}.$$

Now, we will rewrite the above inequality as follows

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leq K_7(c) + |A - B| K_8(c) + |A - B| K_9(c)^2. \quad (1.47)$$

Where

$$K_7(c) = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{7c}{48} - \frac{5c^3}{256} \quad (1.48)$$

$$K_8(c) = \frac{5}{24} + \frac{c}{24} - \frac{c^2}{24} \quad (1.49)$$

$$K_9(c) = \frac{5+c}{12}. \quad (1.50)$$

When we set $K'(c) = 0$, we get $c = \sqrt[7]{\frac{35}{15}}$. Then, of course, we will be able to write

$$K_7(c) = -\frac{c}{128} < 0.$$

As a result, the function $K_7(c)$ reaches its maximum value at $c = r = \sqrt[4]{\frac{35}{35}}$, also $\frac{\sqrt[4]{35}}{15}$ which is

$$K_7(c) = K_7 \left(\frac{\sqrt[4]{35}}{15} \right) \leq 0.278. \quad (1.51)$$

15

From equation (1.49), we have

$$K_8(c) = \frac{1}{24} - \frac{c}{12} \leq 0.$$

As a result, the function $K_8(c)$ reaches its maximum value at $c = \frac{\sqrt[4]{2}}{2}$, also

$$K_8 \left(\frac{\sqrt[4]{2}}{2} \right) \leq 0.184. \quad (1.52)$$

And

$$K_9(c) = \frac{5}{12} + \frac{c}{12}$$

which is an increasing function in the interval $[0, 2]$, so

$$K_9(c) = K_9(2) \leq \frac{7}{12}. \quad (1.53)$$

Now putting (1.51), (1.52) and (1.53) in (1.47), we get

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leq 0.278 + 0.184|A - B| + \frac{7}{12}|A - B|^2.$$

The proof of the theorem 1.7 is completed.

Theorem 1.8. If the function $f(z) \in q^*$ and of the form (1.1), then we have

$$a_2a_4 - a_3^2 \leq \frac{553}{4992} + 0.131526|A - B| + \frac{25}{144}|A - B|^2 + \frac{3}{16}|A - B|^3. \quad (1.54)$$

Proof. From (1.21), (1.22) and (1.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_2a_4 - a_3^2 &= \frac{c_1^2[c_2 - c_1^2/2]}{1152} + \frac{c_2^2}{144} - \frac{c_1c_3}{128} + \frac{7c^4}{18432} + d_1d_2 \frac{n_{c_1}}{18} \\ &\quad + d_1 \frac{29c^1 [c^2 - c_1^2/2]}{1152} + \frac{c_3}{32} - \frac{13c_1^3}{1536} + d_2 \frac{[c_2 - c_1^2/2]}{18} \\ &\quad + d_1^2 \frac{1}{32} \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{c_1^2}{144} + d_2^2 \frac{1}{9} - \frac{c_1^2}{128} + d_1d_2 \frac{c_1}{32} \\ &\quad + d \frac{-c_1}{32} - \frac{5c}{576} + d_3d_1d_2 \frac{8}{8} \end{aligned}$$

Using triangle inequality, and result of Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 1.4, and also let $c_1 = c, c \in [0, 2]$, we get the following inequality

$$279 \quad a_2a_4 - a_3^2 \leq \frac{1}{36} + \frac{c}{36} + \frac{c^2}{576} + \frac{c^4}{18432} + |A - B| \quad \frac{1}{9} + \frac{29c}{576} + \frac{c^2}{36} + \frac{19c^3}{4608}$$

$$+ |A - B|^2 \frac{25}{144} + \frac{c}{32} \frac{c^2}{1152} + |A - B|^3 \frac{1}{8} \frac{c}{32} .$$

Suppose that

$$a_2 a_4 - a_3^2 \leq K_{10}(c) + |A - B| K_{11}(c) + |A - B|^2 K_{12}(c) + |A - B|^3 K_{13}(c), \quad (1.55)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_{10}(c) &= \frac{1}{36} + \frac{c}{29} + \frac{576}{c^2} - \frac{18432}{19c^3}, \\ K_{11}(c) &= \frac{1}{9} + \frac{576}{c} - \frac{36}{c^2} - \frac{4608}{c^3}, \\ K_{12}(c) &= \frac{25}{144} + \frac{32}{c} - \frac{1152}{c^2}, \\ K_{13}(c) &= \frac{1}{8} + \frac{32}{c}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the function $K_{10}(c)$ is increasing function and reaches its maximum value at $c = 2$. Hence

$$K_{10}(2) \leq \frac{553}{4992}. \quad (1.56)$$

The first and second order derivatives of $K_{11}(c)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} K_{11}(c) &= \frac{29}{576} - \frac{c}{18} - \frac{19c^2}{768}, \\ K_{11}^{rr}(c) &= -\frac{1}{18} - \frac{19c}{384} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

When we set $K_{11}^{rr}(c) = 0$, we get $c = 0.77135$ which is the only root in the interval $[0, 2]$, obviously, we find

$$K_{11}(0.77135) \leq 0.131526. \quad (1.57)$$

We verified that both $K_{12}(c)$ and $K_{13}(c)$ are increasing functions on $[0, 2]$. Hence

$$K_{12}(2) \leq \frac{67}{288} \text{ and } K_{13}(2) \leq \frac{3}{16}. \quad (1.58)$$

Now using (1.56), (1.57) and (1.58) in (1.55). Obviously, we find

$$a_2 a_4 - a_3^2 \leq \frac{553}{4992} + 0.131526 |A - B| + \frac{25}{144} |A - B|^2 + \frac{3}{16} |A - B|^3.$$

Hence complete the proof of theorem 1.8.

Theorem 1.9. If the function $f(z) \in q^*$ and of the form (1.1), then we have

$$217 \quad 767 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 3$$

28:

$$|a_2a_5 - a_3a_4| \leq \frac{1}{2880} + \frac{1}{2880}|A - B| + 0.327|A - B| + \frac{1}{16}|A - B|. \quad (1.59)$$

Proof. From (1.21), (1.22), (1.23) and (1.24) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_2a_5 - a_3a_4| &= \frac{7c^2}{1920} \frac{c_3 - \frac{23c_1c_2}{168}}{192} + \frac{c_3 - \frac{2c_2c_1}{5}}{192} - \frac{c_1c_4}{480} \frac{c_1^5}{92160} \\
 &\quad + d_1 \frac{7[c_2 - c_1^2/2]}{960} + \frac{3c_1^2[c_2 - c_1^2/2]}{320} - \frac{[c_4 - 24c_1c_3/25]}{40} \\
 &\quad + \frac{157c_1^4}{46080} + d_2 \frac{-13c_1[c_2 - c/2]}{480} + \frac{c_3}{48} - \frac{13c_1^3}{2304} \\
 &\quad + d_1^2 \frac{-19c_2[c_2 - c/2]}{960} + \frac{c_3}{40} - \frac{13c}{1920} + d_1d_2^2 \frac{c_2}{192} \\
 &\quad + d_2^3 \frac{c_1}{48} + d_2d_3 \frac{[c_2 - c/2]}{48} + d_2^2 \frac{c_1[c_2 - c/2]}{192} \\
 &\quad + d_1d_2d_3 \frac{n c_1}{48} + \frac{d_2^2d_3}{12} + d_1d_2 \frac{11[c_2 - c/2]}{240} \\
 &\quad + d \frac{-c_1}{40} + d \frac{d}{14} \frac{1}{10} + d \frac{d}{13} \frac{n c_1}{40}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the Lemma 1.2, Lemma 1.3, and Lemma 1.4 and let $c_1 = c$, $c \in [0, 2]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_2a_5 - a_3a_4| &\leq \frac{1}{48} + \frac{c}{80} + \frac{7c^2}{960} + \frac{c^5}{92160} \\
 &\quad + |A - B| \frac{29}{240} + \frac{19c}{240} + \frac{17c^2}{960} - \frac{91c^3}{11520} \frac{59c^4}{46080} \\
 &\quad + |A - B| \frac{17}{60} + \frac{31c}{40} \frac{c^2}{30} - \frac{11c^3}{1920} \\
 &\quad + |A - B| \frac{1}{12} + \frac{c}{24} + \frac{c^2}{192}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Assume that

$$|a_2a_5 - a_3a_4| \leq K_{14}(c) + |A - B| K_{15}(c) + |A - B|^2 K_{16}(c) + |A - B|^3 EK_{17}(c), \quad (1.60)$$

where

$$K_{14}(c) = \frac{1}{48} + \frac{c}{80} + \frac{7c^2}{960} + \frac{c^5}{92160}, \quad \frac{29}{19c} \frac{17c^2}{17c^2} \frac{91c^3}{91c^3} \frac{59c^4}{59c^4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_{15}(c) &= \frac{1}{240} + \frac{1}{240} + \frac{1}{960} - \frac{1}{11520} - \frac{1}{46080}, \\
 K_{16}(c) &= \frac{17}{60} + \frac{31c}{40} - \frac{c^2}{30} - \frac{11c^3}{1920}, \\
 K_{17}(c) &= \frac{1}{12} + \frac{c}{24} + \frac{c^2}{192}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The functions $K_{14}(c)$, $K_{15}(c)$ and $K_{17}(c)$ are increasing functions that reach their maximum value at $c = 2$

$$K_{14}(2) \leq \frac{217}{2880}, K_{15}(2) \leq \frac{767}{2880} \text{ and } K_{17}(2) \leq \frac{3}{16}. \quad (1.61)$$

When we set $K_1^r(c) = 0$, we get $c = 0.91102$ which is the only root in the interval $[0, 2]$, obviously, we find

$$\begin{aligned} K^{rr}(c) &= 1 - \frac{11c}{16} < 0, \\ &\quad -\frac{15}{320} \\ K_{16}(0.91102) &\leq 0.327. \end{aligned} \quad (1.62)$$

Now setting (1.61), and (1.62) in (1.60), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 217 &\quad 767 && 2 &\quad 3 && 3 \\ |a_2a_5 - a_3a_4| &\leq \frac{217}{2880} + \frac{767}{2880} |A - B| + 0.327 |A - B| + \frac{3}{16} |A - B|. \end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof of theorem 1.9.

Theorem 1.10. If the function $f(z) \in q^*$ and of the form (1.1), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 37 &\quad 1 && 2 &\quad 3 && 3 \\ |a_5 - a_2a_4| &\leq 0.245 + \frac{37}{80} |A - B| + \frac{1}{16} |A - B| + \frac{3}{16} |A - B|. \end{aligned} \quad (1.63)$$

Proof. From (1.21), (1.23) and (1.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_5 - a_2a_4| &= -\frac{c_2[c_2 - c_1^2/2]}{40} + \frac{19c_1^2[c_2 - c_1^2/2]}{640} - \frac{[c_4 - 32c_1c_3/37]}{20} + \frac{257c_1^4}{30720} \\ &\quad + d_1 - \frac{57c_1[c_2 - c_1^2/2]}{640} + \frac{13c_3}{160} - \frac{169c_1^3}{7680} + d_2 \frac{[c_2 - c/2]}{20} \\ &\quad + d_3 \frac{c_1}{20} - \frac{d_2c_1}{32} + \frac{d_1d_2}{8} + \frac{d_4}{5} + d_1^2 \frac{[c_2 - c/2]}{32} \\ &\quad + d_2^2 \frac{d_1c_1}{32} - \frac{c_1^2}{32}. \end{aligned}$$

128

Using triangle inequality and results of Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 1.4, and $c_1 = c \in [0, 2]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |a_5 - a_2a_4| &\leq \frac{1}{5} + \frac{11c^2}{320} - \frac{199c^4}{30720} + |A - B| + \frac{37}{80} + \frac{73c}{320} - \frac{1c^2}{40} - \frac{173c^3}{7680} + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{c} \frac{c^2}{c} \end{aligned}$$

$$|A - B| \quad 16^+ 32^- 128^+ |A - B| \quad 8^+ 32^+ .$$

Suppose that

$$|a_5 - a_2 a_4| \leq K_{18}(c) + |A - B| K_{19}(c) + |A - B|^2 K_{20}(c) + |A - B|^3 K_{21}(c), \quad (1.64)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_{18}(c) &= \frac{1}{5} + \frac{11c^2}{320} - \frac{199c^4}{30720}, \\ K_{19}(c) &= \frac{37}{80} + \frac{73c}{320} - \frac{40}{c^2} - \frac{173c^3}{7680}, \\ K_{20}(c) &= \frac{1}{16} + \frac{32}{c} - \frac{128}{c^2}, \\ K_{21}(c) &= \frac{1}{8} + \frac{c}{32}. \end{aligned}$$

When we set $K_1^{rr} = 0$, then we get $c = 1.629$. As a result, we determine the following:

$$K_{18}^{rr}(c) = \frac{11}{160} - \frac{199c^3}{2560},$$

$$K_{18}^{rr}(1.629) = -0.13753 < 0.$$

Hence, we are able to write

$$K_{18}(1.629) \leq 0.245. \quad (1.65)$$

In the same way, we can deduce that $K_{19}(c)$, $K_{20}(c)$ are a decreasing functions and $K_{21}(c)$ is an increasing function, therefore

$$K_{19}(0) \leq \frac{37}{80} \quad (1.66)$$

$$K_{20}(0) \leq \frac{1}{16} \quad (1.67)$$

$$K_{21}(2) \leq \frac{3}{16}. \quad (1.68)$$

Using (1.65), (1.66), (1.67) and (1.68) in (1.64), we get

$$|a_5 - a_2a_4| \leq 0.245 + \frac{37}{80}|A - B| + \frac{1}{16}|A - B|^2 + \frac{3}{16}|A - B|^3.$$

The proof of the theorem 1.10 is now complete.

Theorem 1.11. If the function $f(z) \in q^*$ and of the form (1.1), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_3a_5 - a_4^2 &\leq \frac{3077}{4608} + 0.136|A - B| + \frac{79}{480}|A - B|^2 \\ &\quad + 0.067|A - B|^3 + \frac{5}{64}|A - B|^4. \end{aligned} \quad (1.69)$$

Proof. From (1.22), (1.23) and (1.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_3a_5 - a_4^2 = & - \frac{c_4}{240} c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} - \frac{3c^3(c_3 - c_1c_2/3)}{10240} - \frac{7c_1c_2c_3}{1920} + \frac{29c^6}{2949120} \\
& + \frac{c_2^3}{480} + \frac{c_3^2}{256} + d_1d_2 - \frac{c_1^3}{576} - \frac{(c_3 - 3c_1c_2/4)}{160} + d_2^4 - \frac{c_1^2}{256} \\
& + d_1 \frac{3c}{1280} c_3 - \frac{19c_2}{216} + \frac{c_1}{240} c_4 - \frac{c_2^2}{8} + \frac{7c_2c_3}{1920} - \frac{c_1^5}{36864} \\
& + d_2 \frac{-c_1^2[c_2 - c^2/2]}{960} + \frac{c_2[c_2 - c^2/2]}{240} - \frac{[c_4 - c_1c_3]}{60} - \frac{c_2^4}{40} \\
& + d_3 \frac{-c_1}{240} c_2 - \frac{c_2^2}{2} + d_4 \frac{[c_2 - c/2]}{60} \\
& + d_1^2 \frac{-c_1}{240} c_3 - \frac{c_1c_2}{16} \frac{c^{22}}{256} + \frac{c_4}{46080} + d_1d_2d_3 \frac{[c_2 - c^2/2]}{32} \\
& + d_2^2 \frac{1}{60} c_2 - \frac{c_2^2}{2} - \frac{c_1(c_3 - c_1c_2)}{128} + \frac{11c_1^4}{46144} \\
& + d_1d_3 \frac{-c_1^2}{240} + d_1d_2^2 \frac{c_1[c_2 - c/2]}{128} + d_1d_4 \frac{-c_1}{60} + \frac{d_2d_4}{15} \\
& + d_2d_3 \frac{c_1(c_3 - c_1c_2)}{128} + \frac{11c_1^3}{1536} + d_2^2d_3 \frac{h_{c_1} i}{32} + \frac{d_2^2d_4^2}{16}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using triangle inequality and results of Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.3, and Lemma 1.4 also $c_1 = c, c \in [0, 2]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
a_3a_5 - a_4^2 \leq & \frac{47}{960} + \frac{7c}{480} \frac{c^2}{240} + \frac{3c^3}{5120} + \frac{29c^6}{2949120} \\
& + |A - B| \frac{47}{480} + \frac{c}{30} + \frac{c^2}{384} \frac{c^3}{480} + \frac{c^4}{480} + \frac{c^5}{36864} \\
& + |A - B|^2 \frac{79}{840} + \frac{53c}{960} \frac{c^2}{120} + \frac{41c^3}{4608} + \frac{37c^4}{18432} \\
& + |A - B|^3 \frac{1}{16} + \frac{c}{64} - \frac{c^2}{64} \frac{c^3}{256} + |A - B|^4 \frac{1}{16} + \frac{c^2}{256}.
\end{aligned}$$

Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 a_5 - a_4^2 &\leq K_{22}(c) + |A - B| K_{23}(c) + |A - B|^2 K_{24}(c) \\ &\quad + |A - B|^3 K_{25}(c) + |A - B|^4 K_{26}(c). \end{aligned} \tag{1.70}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 47 \quad 7c \quad c^2 \quad 3c^3 \quad 29c^6 \\
 K_{22}(c) &= \frac{960}{47} + \frac{480}{c} - \frac{240}{c^2} + \frac{5120}{c^3} + \frac{2949120}{c^4} - \frac{}{c^5}, \\
 K_{23}(c) &= \frac{480}{79} + \frac{30}{53c} + \frac{384}{c^2} - \frac{480}{41c^3} - \frac{480}{37c^4} + \frac{36864}{}, \\
 K_{24}(c) &= \frac{1}{840} + \frac{960}{c} + \frac{120}{c^2} + \frac{4608}{c^3} + \frac{18432}{}, \\
 K_{25}(c) &= \frac{1}{16} + \frac{64}{c} - \frac{64}{c^2} - \frac{256}{c^3}, \\
 K_{26}(c) &= \frac{1}{16} + \frac{256}{c}.
 \end{aligned}$$

When we set $K_{23}(c) = 0$, we get $c = 1.51$ which is the only root of $K_{23}(c) = 0$ in the interval $[0, 2]$. As a result, we observe

$$K_{23}(1.51) = -0.362 < 0.$$

Hence,

$$K_{23}(1.51) \leq 0.136. \quad (1.71)$$

We observed that $K_{22}(c)$, $K_{24}(c)$ and $K_{26}(c)$ are increasing functions, allowing us to write the following inequalities

$$K_{22}(2) \leq \frac{3077}{46080}, K_{24}(2) \leq 0.34110 \text{ and } K_{26}(2) \leq \frac{5}{64}. \quad (1.72)$$

We can achieve similar results in a similar way

$$K_{25}(0.4305) \leq 0.067. \quad (1.73)$$

Using (1.71), (1.72) and (1.73) in (1.70), we obtain

$$a_3a_5 - a_4^2 \leq \frac{3077}{46080} + 0.136|A - B| + 0.34110|A - B| + 0.067|A - B| + \frac{5}{64}|A - B|^4.$$

We complete the proof of theorem 1.11.

Theorem 1.12. If the function $f(z) \in q^*$ and of the form (1.1), then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |H_4(1)| &\leq 0.33293 + 1.6543|A - B| + 1.7763|A - B|^2 \\
 &\quad + 1.6895|A - B|^3 + 1.7584|A - B|^4 + 0.49179|A - B|^5 \\
 &\quad + \frac{22565}{589824}|A - B|^6. \quad (1.74)
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_4(1) &= a_7 a_3 a_2 a_4 - a^2 - a_4(a_4 - a_2 a_3) + a_5 a_3 - a^2 \} \\
 &\quad - a_6 a_3 (a_2 a_5 - a_3 a_4) - a_4 (a_5 - a_2 a_4) + a_6 a_3 - a^2 \}^2 \\
 &\quad + a_5 a_3 a_3 a_5 - a^2 - a_5 (a_5 - a_2 a_4) + a_6 (a_4 - a_2 a_3) \}^2 \\
 &\quad - a_4 a_4 a_3 a_5 - a^2 - a_5 (a_2 a_5 - a_3 a_4) + a_6 (a_4 - a_2 a_3),
 \end{aligned}$$

so, by applying the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_4(1) &\leq |a_7| |a_3| |a_2a_4 - a^2| + |a_4| |a_7| |a_4 - a_2a_3| + |a_5| |a_7| |a_3 - a^2| \\
 &\quad + |a_6| |a_3| |a_2a_5 - a_3a_4| + |a_4| |a_6| |a_5 - a_2a_4| + |a_6|^2 |a_3 - a^2| \\
 &\quad + |a_5| |a_3| |a_3a_5 - a^2| + |a_5|^2 |a_5 - a_2a_4| + |a_5| |a_6| |a_4 - a_2a_3| \\
 &\quad + |a_4|^2 |a_3a_5 - a^2| + |a_4| |a_5| |a_2a_5 - a_3a_4| + |a_4| |a_6| |a_4 - a_2a_3|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.75}$$

Next, substituting Equations (1.14), (1.43), (1.46), (1.54), (1.59), (1.64), (1.69), (1.60) into (1.75), we easily get the desired assertion equation (1.74).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Availability of data and material: No date were used to support this study.

Authors' contributions: All authors equally contributed to this manuscript and approved the final version.

Acknowledgements: Not applicable.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Arif, R. Rani, M. Raza and P. Zaprawa, "Fourth Hankel determinant for a family of functions with bounded turning," *Bullettin of Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 1703–1711, 2018.
- [2] M. Arif, I. Ullah, M. Raza and P. Zaprawa, "Investigation of the fifth Hankel determinant for a family of functions with bounded turnings," *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 319–328, 2020.
- [3] K. O. Babalola, "On $H_3(1)$ Hankel determinant for some classes of univalent functions," in *Inequality Theory and Applications*, vol. 6, pp. 1–7, 2007.
- [4] D. Bansal, "Upper bound of second Hankel determinant for a new class of analytic functions," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 103–107, 2013.
- [5] D. Bansal, S. Maharana and J. K. Prajapat, "Third order Hankel determinant for certain univalent functions," *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 52, no. 6, pp. 1139–1148, 2015.
- [6] S. Islam, M. G. Khan, B. Ahmad, M. Arif and R. Chinram, "Qextension of starlike functions subordinated with a trigonometric sine function," *Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 10, p. 1676, 2020.
- [7] A. Janteng, S. Halim and M. Darus, "Coefficient inequality for a function whose derivative has a positive real part," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 2, 2006.
- [8] S. Janteng, A. Halim and M. Darus, "Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions," *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 619–625, 2007.
- [9] D. V. Krishna and T. R. Reddy, "Second Hankel determinant for the class of Bazilevic functions," *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai Mathematica*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 413–420, 2015.
- [10] M. G. Khan, B. Ahmad, J. Sokol et al., "Coefficient problems in a class of functions with bounded turning associated with sine function," *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 53–64, 2021.
- [11] S. K. Lee, K. Khatter and V. Ravichandran, "Radius of starlikeness for classes of analytic functions," *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, vol. 43, no. 6, article 1028, pp. 4469–4493, 2020.
- [12] S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramaniam, "Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain univalent functions," *Journal of inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2013, no. 1, Article ID 729, 2013.

- [13] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, "Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in P ," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 87, no. 2, pp. 251–257, 1983.
- [14] Mashwani, W.K., Ahmad, B., Khan, N., Khan, M.G., Arjika, S., Khan, B. and Chin- ram, R., 2021. Fourth Hankel Determinant for a Subclass of Starlike Functions Based on Modified Sigmoid. *Journal of Function Spaces*, 2021.
- [15] S. Mahmood, H. M. Srivastava, N. Khan, Q. Z. Ahmad, B. Khan and I. Ali, "Upper bound of the third Hankel determinant for a subclass of q -starlike functions," *Symmetry*, vol. 11, no. 3, p. 347, 2019.
- [16] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and T. Bulboacă, "Hankel determinants for new subclasses of analytic functions related to a shell shaped region," *Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 6, p. 1041, 2020.
- [17] W. Noonan and D. K. Thomas, "On the second Hankel determinant of areally mean p - valent functions," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 223, no. 2, pp. 337–346, 1976.
- [18] C. Pommerenke, "Univalent functions," in *Mathematik, Lehrbucher*, vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Gottingen, 1975.
- [19] M. Raza and S. N. Malik, "Upper bound of the third Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions related with lemniscate of Bernoulli," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2013, no. 1, Article ID 2378, 8 pages, 2013.
- [20] V. Ravichandran and S. Verma, "Bound for the fifth coefficient of certain starlike functions," *Comptes Rendus Mathematique*, vol. 353, no. 6, pp. 505–510, 2015.
- [21] L. Shi, I. Ali, M. Arif, N. E. Cho, S. Hussain and H. Khan, "A study of third Hankel determinant problem for certain subfamilies of analytic functions involving cardioid do- main," *Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 5, p. 418, 2019.
- [22] L. Shi, H. M. Srivastava, M. Arif, S. Hussain and H. Khan, "An investigation of the third Hankel determinant problem for certain subfamilies of univalent functions involving the exponential function," *Symmetry*, vol. 11, no. 5, p. 598, 2019.
- [23] P. Zaprawa, "Third Hankel determinants for subclasses of univalent functions," *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 14, Article ID 19, 2017.
- [24] P. Zaprawa, M. Obradović and N. Tuneski, "Third Hankel determinant for univalent star- like functions," *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas*, vol. 115, no. 2, pp. 1–6, 2021.
- [25] Zhang, H.Y. and Tang, H., 2021. A Study of Fourth-Order Hankel Determinants for Starlike Functions Connected with the Sine Function. *Journal of Function Spaces*, 2021.
- [26] Zhang, H.Y. and Tang, H., 2021. Fourth Toeplitz determinants for starlike functions defined by using the sine function. *Journal of Function Spaces*, 2021.
- [27] H. Y. Zhang, H. Tang and L. N. Ma, "Upper bound of third Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions," *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 211–220, 2017.
- [28] J. Dziok, R. K. Raina, and J. Sok'ol, "On a class of starlike functions related to a shell-like curve connected with Fibonacci numbers," *Math. Comp. Model.*, vol. 57, pp. 1203–1211, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.mcm.2012.10.023.
- [29] S. Kumar and V. Ravichandran, "A subclass of starlike functions associated with a rational function," *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, vol. 40, pp. 199–212, 2016.
- [30] R. Mendiratta, S. Nagpal, and V. Ravichandran, "On a subclass of strongly starlike func- tions associated with exponential function," *Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc.*, vol. 38, pp. 365–386, 2015, doi: 10.1007/s40840-014-0026-8.
- [31] N. E. Cho, S. Kumar, V. Kumar, V. Ravichandran, and H. M. Srivastava, "Star- like functions related to the Bell numbers," *Symmetry*, vol. 11(2), p. 219, 2019, doi: 10.3390/sym11020219.
- [32] N. E. Cho, V. Kumar, S. Kumar, and V. Ravichandran, "Radius problems for starlike functions associated with the sine function," *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.*, vol. 45(1), pp. 213– 232, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s41980-018-0127-5.

- [33] J. Sok'ol and J. Stankiewicz, "Radius of convexity of some subclasses of strongly starlike functions," *Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Rzeszowskiej Mat.*, vol. 19, pp. 101–105, 1996.
- [34] S. Kanas and D. Raducanu, "Some class of analytic functions related to conic domains," *Math. Slovaca*, vol. 64, pp. 1183–1196, 2014, doi: 10.2478/s12175-014-0268-9.
- [35] R. K. Raina and J. Sok'ol, "Some properties related to a certain class of starlike functions," *C. R. Math.*, vol. 353, pp. 973–978, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.crma.2015.09.011.
- [36] K. Sharma, N. K. Jain, and V. Ravichandran, "Starlike functions associated with a car-diod," *Afrika Math.*, vol. 27, pp. 923–939, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s13370-015-0387-7.
- [37] W. K. Hayman, "On second Hankel determinant of mean univalent functions," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 77–94, 1968.
- [38] M. Obradović and N. Tuneski, "Hankel determinants of second and third order for the class S of univalent functions," <https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.06439>.
- [39] A. Janteng, S. A. Halim, and M. Darus, "Coefficient inequality for a function whose derivative has a positive real part," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 1–5, 2006.
- [40] A. Janteng, S. A. Halim, and M. Darus, "Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions," *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 1, no. 13, pp. 619–625, 2007.
- [41] D. V. Krishna and T. RamReddy, "Second Hankel determinant for the class of Bazilevic functions," *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai Mathematica*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 413–420, 2015.
- [42] K.O. Babalola, "On $H_3(1)$ Hankel determinant for some classes of univalent functions," *Inequality Theory and Applications*, vol. 6, pp. 1–7, 2010.
- [43] P. Zaprawa, "Third Hankel determinants for subclasses of univalent functions," *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 14, no. 1, article 19, 2017.
- [44] B. Kowalczyk, A. Lecko, and Y. J. Sim, "The sharp bound of the Hankel determinant of the third kind for convex functions," *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 97, no. 3, pp. 435–445, 2018.
- [45] A. Lecko, Y. J. Sim, and B. Śmiarowska, "The sharp bound of the Hankel determinant of the third kind for starlike functions of order $1/2$," *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 2231–2238, 2019.
- [46] M. Arif, S. Umer, M. Raza, T. Bulboaca, M. U. Farooq, and H. Khan, "On fourth Hankel determinant for functions associated with Bernoulli's lemniscate," *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 49, pp. 1777–1780, 2020.
- [47] N. E. Cho and V. Kumar, "Initial coefficients and fourth Hankel determinant for certain analytic functions," *Miskolc Mathematical Notes*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 763–779, 2020.