Remittances Review
July 2024, Volume:9, No: S3, pp.1750-1769
ISSN:2059-6588(Print)|ISSN2059-6596(Online)

Received:07 June 2024, Accepted: 20 July 2024

Assessing the Effectiveness of Teacher Training Programs in Improving Student Learning Outcomes: A Policy-Oriented Quantitative Analysis

[1]Saadia Khan, [2]Salma Abdul Qayyum

1. Dr. Saadia Khan, Department of Education, Women University of Azad Jammu & Kashmir, Bagh (Corresponding Author: saadiakhan@wuajk.edu.pk)
2. Salma Abdul Qayyum: M. Phil Scholar, Department of Education, Allama Iqbal Open University, Islamabad (salmaqayyum.10@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT

Improving student learning outcomes is a central objective of educational policy and reform efforts worldwide. Among various interventions, teacher training programs are widely regarded as a critical mechanism for enhancing instructional quality and student achievement. However, the effectiveness of these programs often remains insufficiently evaluated, particularly in localized policy contexts. This study adopts a policy-oriented quantitative approach to assess the effectiveness of teacher training programs in improving instructional effectiveness and student learning outcomes in secondary and higher secondary schools of Muzaffarabad, Azad Jammu & Kashmir (AJK). Using a cross-sectional research design, data were collected from 300 teachers through a structured questionnaire based on a five-point Likert scale. The instrument measured teachers' participation in training programs, instructional effectiveness, and perceptions of student learning outcomes. Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS, employing descriptive statistics, correlation, regression, and mediation analysis techniques. The findings reveal a strong and statistically significant positive relationship between teacher training and instructional effectiveness, as well as between teacher training and student learning outcomes. Moreover, instructional effectiveness was found to partially mediate the relationship between teacher training and learning outcomes, indicating that training improves student achievement primarily through enhanced teaching practices. The results suggest that continuous, context-sensitive, and practice-oriented teacher training programs can serve as an effective policy lever for improving educational quality. The study concludes that teacher training should be institutionalized as a long-term strategic investment, supported by monitoring, evaluation, and follow-up mechanisms. The findings offer evidence-based insights for policymakers and education administrators seeking to strengthen teacher development frameworks and improve student learning outcomes.

Keywords: *Teacher Training Programs, Instructional Effectiveness, Student Learning Outcomes, Educational Policy, Professional Development,*

1. INTRODUCTION

Improving student learning outcomes remain one of the most fundamental and enduring goals of education systems across the world. Governments, policymakers, and educational institutions consistently strive to enhance students' academic achievement, critical thinking abilities, problem-solving skills, and overall preparedness for social and economic participation. In an era marked by rapid globalization, technological advancement, and knowledge-based economies, the quality of learning outcomes has become a key indicator of national development and competitiveness ((Zhang & Campbell, 2020; World Bank, 2020). Among the wide range of educational reform strategies, teacher training programs are widely acknowledged as one of the most powerful and sustainable mechanisms for improving educational quality. Teachers serve as the primary agents of curriculum delivery, pedagogical innovation, assessment, and classroom interaction; consequently, their professional competence, instructional skills, and attitudes play a decisive role in shaping students' learning experiences and academic success (Kraft et al., 2018).

Over the past few decades, education systems have undergone significant transformation due to curriculum reforms, the adoption of competency-based and learner-centered approaches, the integration of digital technologies, and changing societal expectations from schools. Teaching today requires far more than mastery of subject content. Educators are increasingly expected to design inclusive lessons, differentiate instruction, use formative and summative assessment effectively, manage diverse classrooms, and support students' cognitive as well as socio-emotional development (Basma & Savage, 2018). These expanding responsibilities have substantially increased the complexity of the teaching profession. In response, teacher training programs, both pre-service and in-service, have been developed to equip teachers with the necessary pedagogical, assessment, and classroom management competencies required in modern educational contexts. Such programs are intended to continuously update teachers' knowledge and skills in line with evolving educational demands (Lindvall & Ryve, 2019).

Despite substantial public investment in teacher training initiatives, persistent concerns remain regarding their actual effectiveness and relevance to classroom realities. In many education systems, training programs are criticized for being overly theoretical, short-term, or

disconnected from teachers' day-to-day instructional challenges. Teachers may attend workshops or seminars without receiving adequate follow-up support, mentoring, or opportunities to apply new strategies in authentic classroom settings. As a result, the anticipated improvements in teaching quality and student learning outcomes may not fully materialize. These concerns raise important questions about whether existing teacher training programs are designed and implemented in ways that genuinely enhance instructional effectiveness and student achievement (Mahmood & Malik, 2022).

From a policy perspective, the effectiveness of teacher training programs cannot be taken for granted; rather, it must be systematically and empirically evaluated. Policymakers are responsible for allocating limited public resources, and decisions regarding teacher training must be informed by evidence demonstrating clear links between training participation and improved learning outcomes (Borko et al., 2017). However, many training initiatives are implemented uniformly across diverse contexts, without sufficient consideration of local needs, school conditions, or teacher backgrounds. Moreover, the absence of robust monitoring and evaluation mechanisms often leaves policymakers without reliable data on the long-term impact of training programs. This gap between policy intent and classroom impact underscores the need for rigorous, outcome-oriented research on teacher training effectiveness (Loftus & Higgs, 2018).

Student learning outcomes are influenced by a complex interaction of factors, including curriculum design, school leadership, and availability of resources, parental involvement, and socio-economic conditions (European Commission, 2020). Nevertheless, a substantial body of research consistently identifies teacher quality as one of the most significant school-based determinants of student achievement. Well-trained teachers are more likely to employ effective instructional strategies, use assessment to support learning, engage students actively in the learning process, and adapt teaching to diverse learner needs. In this way, teacher training acts as a critical mechanism through which policy interventions can translate into improved student performance. Assessing the effectiveness of teacher training programs is therefore essential to ensure that policy investments yield meaningful and measurable educational benefits (OECD, 2019).

In this context, the present study adopts a policy-oriented quantitative approach to assess the effectiveness of teacher training programs in improving student learning outcomes. By

examining teachers' training experiences, instructional effectiveness, and perceptions of student achievement, the study seeks to generate empirical evidence that can inform educational policy and strategic planning (OECD, 2020). The findings aim to support policymakers in designing sustainable, context-sensitive, and evidence-based teacher training frameworks that strengthen instructional quality and directly contribute to improved student learning outcomes (Iqbal & Ahmad, 2019).

1.1 Rationale of the Study

The rationale of this study is grounded in the need to ensure that investments in teacher training programs translate into measurable improvements in student learning outcomes. Although teacher training is widely promoted as a key policy strategy for enhancing educational quality, limited empirical evidence exists regarding its actual impact on classroom practices and student achievement, particularly in policy-driven contexts. Many training initiatives are implemented without systematic evaluation, making it difficult for policymakers to determine their effectiveness and cost-efficiency. By empirically assessing the relationship between teacher training, instructional effectiveness, and student learning outcomes, this study addresses a critical gap between policy intent and educational practice. The findings are expected to provide evidence-based insights that support informed decision-making, improve the design and implementation of teacher training programs, and ensure that educational reforms lead to sustainable improvements in student learning.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Despite substantial investment in teacher training programs, many education systems continue to face challenges related to low student achievement and uneven learning outcomes. Training initiatives are often implemented without systematic evaluation of their impact on classroom practices and student performance. In many cases, teacher training remains theoretical, short-term, and disconnected from classroom realities. Policymakers lack empirical evidence linking training participation with measurable learning outcomes. This limits the effectiveness of policy decisions and resource allocation. Therefore, there is a need to assess whether teacher training programs genuinely improve student learning outcomes and how they can be optimized for policy effectiveness.

1.3 Research Objectives

1. To examine the impact of teacher training programs on instructional effectiveness.
2. To assess the relationship between teacher training and student learning outcomes.
3. To provide policy-oriented recommendations for improving teacher training frameworks.

1.4 Research Hypotheses

H1: Teacher training programs have a significant positive effect on instructional effectiveness.
H2: Teacher training programs significantly improve student learning outcomes.
H3: Instructional effectiveness mediates the relationship between teacher training and student learning outcomes.

1.5 Significance of the Study

This study is significant for educational policymakers, planners, and administrators as it provides empirical evidence on the effectiveness of teacher training programs. It supports evidence-based decision-making by linking training initiatives with student learning outcomes. The findings help policymakers identify strengths and gaps in existing training frameworks. Educational institutions can use the results to improve program design and implementation. Teachers benefit from more relevant and practice-oriented training. The study also contributes to academic literature on professional development and learning outcomes. Overall, the research strengthens accountability and efficiency in education policy.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Teacher Training and Professional Competence

Teacher training is widely acknowledged as a fundamental mechanism for developing professional competence, which includes subject mastery, pedagogical knowledge, classroom management skills, and professional attitudes. Well-structured training programs enhance teachers' ability to translate subject knowledge into meaningful learning experiences for students. Research indicates that teachers who undergo systematic training demonstrate greater instructional clarity, improved lesson organization, and higher adaptability to diverse classroom situations (OECD, 2021). Continuous professional development further strengthens reflective teaching practices by encouraging teachers to evaluate their instructional methods and refine them based on student feedback and learning outcomes. Effective teacher training emphasizes

active learning approaches such as workshops, collaborative learning, mentoring, and classroom-based practice rather than passive participation in lectures (Andersson & Palm, 2017). Teachers with strong professional competence are better able to create engaging and supportive learning environments. As a result, professional competence developed through training becomes a key driver of improved student learning outcomes (Fischer et al., 2018).

2.2 Instructional Effectiveness and Classroom Practices

Instructional effectiveness refers to a teacher's ability to deliver curriculum content in ways that maximize student understanding, engagement, and participation (Zhu et al., 2019). Effective instruction is characterized by clear explanations, purposeful questioning, the use of formative assessment, and differentiated teaching strategies that address diverse learner needs. Empirical studies consistently show that instructional effectiveness has a strong positive association with student academic achievement. Teacher training plays a critical role in enhancing instructional effectiveness by improving lesson planning, assessment literacy, and classroom organization. Well-trained teachers are more likely to employ interactive teaching methods, integrate formative feedback, and adapt instruction based on students' learning progress. Such practices foster deeper understanding and sustained engagement among students. Therefore, instructional effectiveness functions as a crucial link between teacher training and improved learning outcomes (Didion et al., 2020).

2.3 Student Learning Outcomes

Student learning outcomes encompass a broad range of educational achievements, including academic performance, cognitive development, skill acquisition, and problem-solving ability. These outcomes are commonly measured through standardized tests, classroom assessments, grades, and teacher evaluations. A substantial body of research highlights that teacher quality is one of the most influential school-based factors affecting student learning outcomes. Students taught by professionally trained teachers tend to demonstrate higher levels of engagement, motivation, and academic achievement. When instruction is aligned with learners' abilities, interests, and learning styles, students are more likely to achieve positive outcomes. Teacher training enhances teachers' capacity to design instruction that meets these diverse needs.

Consequently, teacher training emerges as a critical determinant of student learning success (Egert et al., 2018).

2.4 Policy Perspectives on Teacher Training

From a policy perspective, teacher training should be viewed as a strategic investment in educational quality rather than a routine operational expense. Effective education policies emphasize the importance of continuous professional development, contextual relevance, and systematic evaluation of training programs. However, in many education systems, teacher training initiatives are implemented without clear benchmarks or mechanisms to assess their impact on teaching practices and student outcomes. Policy-oriented research stresses the need for outcome-based training models that link professional development directly to classroom performance and learning achievement. Alignment between policy goals and actual classroom practice is essential for ensuring policy effectiveness. Therefore, teacher training policies must be grounded in empirical evidence to justify public investment and guide long-term educational reform (Desimone & Garet, 2017).

2.5 Linking Teacher Training to Learning Outcomes

An increasing number of empirical studies demonstrate a positive relationship between teacher training and student learning outcomes. Training programs enhance teachers' pedagogical knowledge, assessment skills, classroom management, and ability to engage students effectively. However, the magnitude of this impact varies depending on the quality, duration, and implementation of training programs. Short-term or poorly designed interventions often yield limited results, while sustained and context-sensitive training leads to meaningful improvement. Sustainable gains in student learning require ongoing support, mentoring, and monitoring to reinforce newly acquired skills. Evaluating the linkage between teacher training and learning outcomes is therefore essential for refining policy and practice. This study contributes to the existing literature by providing quantitative evidence on how teacher training influences instructional effectiveness and student learning outcomes (Hill et al., 2017).

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This study employed a quantitative, cross-sectional research design to examine the relationships between teacher training programs, instructional effectiveness, and student learning

outcomes. A quantitative approach was considered appropriate because it allows for objective measurement of variables and rigorous statistical testing of hypotheses (Popova et al., 2018). The cross-sectional design involved collecting data from respondents at a single point in time, enabling an efficient assessment of existing conditions and perceptions. This design is particularly useful for policy-oriented research, where timely evidence is required for decision-making. Standardized data collection enhances objectivity and minimizes researcher bias. Overall, the design supports generalizability of findings within the defined population.

3.2 Population

The population of the study consisted of secondary and higher secondary school teachers working in Muzaffarabad, Azad Jammu & Kashmir (AJK). These teachers are directly responsible for instructional delivery and play a central role in shaping student learning outcomes. The population includes teachers from both public and private institutions, representing diverse educational and socio-institutional contexts within the district. Selecting teachers from Muzaffarabad ensures contextual relevance, as the region has unique administrative and educational characteristics. The population selection aligns closely with the study's objectives of assessing training effectiveness. This focus enhances the policy relevance of the findings for regional education authorities.

3.3 Sampling Technique

A stratified random sampling technique was adopted to select respondents from the target population. Teachers were first grouped into strata based on school type (public and private) and level (secondary and higher secondary). Random samples were then drawn proportionally from each stratum to ensure balanced representation. This technique was chosen to minimize sampling bias and ensure that key subgroups within the population were adequately represented. Stratified sampling improves the accuracy and credibility of the results. Consequently, the findings are more reflective of the broader teaching community in Muzaffarabad.

3.4 Sample Size

A total sample of 300 teachers was selected for the study. This sample size is considered adequate for conducting correlation and regression analyses in quantitative educational research.

A larger sample enhances statistical power and increases the likelihood of detecting meaningful relationships among variables. It also reduces sampling error and strengthens confidence in the findings. The selected sample size aligns with established methodological standards. Therefore, the sample supports reasonable generalization of results to the target population.

3.5 Data Collection Instrument

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire designed specifically to address the objectives of the study. The instrument employed a five-point Likert scale, ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree, to measure key constructs (Popova et al., 2022). Questionnaire items assessed teachers' participation in training programs, instructional effectiveness, and perceptions of student learning outcomes. The structured format ensured uniformity and consistency in responses. Prior to the main survey, the instrument was pilot tested to improve clarity and relevance. Reliability of the questionnaire was confirmed through internal consistency measures.

3.6 Data Analysis Techniques

The collected data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). Descriptive statistics, including frequencies, means, and standard deviations, were used to summarize respondent characteristics and overall trends. Inferential statistical techniques such as correlation analysis examined relationships among study variables. Regression analysis was employed to test hypotheses and determine predictive relationships. Statistical significance was assessed at $p < 0.05$. The results were systematically presented in tabular form to facilitate interpretation.

3.7 Data Analysis

The results of the data analysis revealed strong positive relationships between teacher training programs, instructional effectiveness, and student learning outcomes. Correlation analysis indicated that teachers who reported higher levels of training also demonstrated greater instructional effectiveness. Regression analysis further showed that teacher training significantly predicts both instructional effectiveness and student learning outcomes. Additionally, instructional effectiveness was found to partially mediate the relationship between teacher training and learning outcomes. These findings confirm the hypothesized model and highlight the central role of teacher training in improving educational performance.

4. DATA ANALYSIS

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents (N = 300)

Demographic Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	162	54.0
	Female	138	46.0
Teaching Level	Secondary	168	56.0
	Higher Secondary	132	44.0
Teaching Experience	1–5 Years	84	28.0
	6–10 Years	129	43.0
	Above 10 Years	87	29.0
School Type	Public	181	60.3
	Private	119	39.7

Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of teachers from Muzaffarabad (AJK). Male teachers constituted a slightly higher proportion of the sample. Both secondary and higher secondary teachers were well represented, ensuring coverage across teaching levels. Most respondents had moderate to high teaching experience, indicating professional maturity. Public school teachers formed the majority of the sample. Overall, the demographic diversity enhances the reliability and representativeness of the findings.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics of Study Variables

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation
Teacher Training Programs	3.89	0.67
Instructional Effectiveness	3.82	0.71
Student Learning Outcomes	3.86	0.69

Table 2 shows the descriptive statistics of the main study variables. Mean scores indicate that teachers generally perceive training programs positively. Instructional effectiveness also recorded a high mean value, suggesting effective classroom practices. Student learning outcomes were rated favorably by respondents. The relatively low standard deviations indicate consistency in responses. These results justify further inferential statistical analysis. Overall, teachers view training as beneficial for instructional improvement.

Table 3: Reliability Analysis (Cronbach's Alpha)

Construct	No. of Items	Cronbach's Alpha
Teacher Training Programs	8	0.87
Instructional Effectiveness	7	0.85
Student Learning Outcomes	7	0.88

Table 3 presents the reliability analysis of the measurement scales. All Cronbach's alpha values exceed the recommended threshold of 0.70. This indicates strong internal consistency among the questionnaire items. Student learning outcomes showed the highest reliability. The results confirm the dependability of the instrument. Reliable measures strengthen confidence in subsequent statistical findings.

Table 4: Factor Analysis (KMO and Bartlett's Test)

Test	Result
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO)	0.86
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	$\chi^2 = 2147.62$
Significance	$p = 0.000$

Table 4 reports the adequacy of data for factor analysis. The KMO value indicates sufficient sampling adequacy. Bartlett's Test of Sphericity is statistically significant, confirming correlations among variables. These results validate the factor structure of the instrument. Construct validity of the study variables is established. The data are suitable for regression analysis. Measurement accuracy is therefore ensured.

Table 5: Correlation Matrix of Study Variables

Variable	Training	Instructional Effectiveness	Learning Outcomes
Teacher Training	1		
Instructional Effectiveness	0.63**	1	
Student Learning Outcomes	0.66**	0.61**	1

Note: $p < 0.01$

Table 5 shows significant positive correlations among all study variables. Teacher training is strongly related to instructional effectiveness. A strong association also exists between training and student learning outcomes. Instructional effectiveness is positively correlated with learning outcomes. These relationships suggest interdependence among variables. No multicollinearity concerns are observed. The results support hypothesis testing through regression.

Table 6: Regression Analysis – Effect of Teacher Training on Instructional Effectiveness

Predictor	β	t-value	p-value
Teacher Training Programs	0.63	9.12	0.000

R^2 = 0.40

Table 6 presents regression results predicting instructional effectiveness. Teacher training has a strong and statistically significant effect. The beta value indicates substantial influence on teaching practices. The model explains 40% of the variance in instructional effectiveness. This confirms the importance of training programs. The findings support the first research hypothesis.

Table 7: Regression Analysis – Effect of Teacher Training on Student Learning Outcomes

Predictor	β	t-value	p-value
Teacher Training Programs	0.66	9.74	0.000

R^2 = 0.44

Table 7 shows the predictive effect of teacher training on student learning outcomes. The regression coefficient is positive and statistically significant. Teacher training explains 44% of the variance in learning outcomes. This indicates strong policy relevance of training programs. Improved training leads to better perceived student achievement. The results confirm the second hypothesis.

Table 8: Mediation Analysis – Instructional Effectiveness as Mediator

Relationship	Direct Effect (β)	Indirect Effect (β)	Result

Relationship	Direct Effect (β)	Indirect Effect (β)	Result
Training → Learning Outcomes	0.66	0.39	Partial Mediation

Table 8 demonstrates the mediating role of instructional effectiveness. Teacher training directly influences learning outcomes. A significant indirect effect through instructional effectiveness is also observed. This indicates partial mediation. Training improves teaching quality, which enhances learning outcomes. The results support the mediation hypothesis. Instructional effectiveness is a key transmission mechanism.

Table 9: Master Table – Hypotheses Testing Results

Hypothesis	Relationship	β	p-value	Decision
H1	Training → Instructional Effectiveness	0.63	0.000	Supported
H2	Training → Learning Outcomes	0.66	0.000	Supported
H3	Training → Instructional Effectiveness → Learning Outcomes	—	0.000	Supported

Table 9 summarizes the results of hypothesis testing. All proposed hypotheses were statistically supported. Teacher training significantly improves instructional effectiveness. Training also directly enhances student learning outcomes. Instructional effectiveness partially mediates this relationship. The findings validate the conceptual model. This master table provides a concise empirical summary.

5. FINDINGS

The findings of this study provide strong empirical evidence regarding the effectiveness of teacher training programs in improving instructional effectiveness and student learning outcomes in secondary and higher secondary schools of Muzaffarabad (AJK). Descriptive results revealed that teachers generally reported positive perceptions of the training programs they had attended, indicating that professional development initiatives are viewed as relevant and beneficial. Teachers acknowledged that training enhanced their pedagogical knowledge, classroom management skills, and instructional planning. The consistency of responses across different demographic groups suggests that the perceived benefits of training are widely shared among teachers (Schindler et al., 2017).

Correlation analysis demonstrated significant positive relationships among teacher training, instructional effectiveness, and student learning outcomes. Teachers who reported higher engagement in training programs also reported stronger instructional practices and better student learning outcomes. These findings suggest that professional training contributes directly to classroom effectiveness and indirectly to improved student performance. Importantly, no multicollinearity issues were observed, confirming that each construct independently contributes to explaining learning outcomes (Schleicher, 2018).

Regression analysis further confirmed that teacher training is a significant predictor of instructional effectiveness. A substantial proportion of variance in instructional effectiveness was explained by training participation, highlighting the central role of professional development in enhancing teaching quality. Additionally, teacher training was found to significantly predict student learning outcomes, demonstrating that training investments translate into perceived improvements in student achievement (Sims & Fletcher-Wood, 2021).

Mediation analysis revealed that instructional effectiveness partially mediates the relationship between teacher training and student learning outcomes. This indicates that teacher training improves student learning both directly and indirectly through enhanced instructional practices. Overall, the findings confirm that teacher training programs are a critical policy lever for improving teaching quality and student learning outcomes, reinforcing the importance of sustained and well-designed professional development initiatives (Tanveer, 2021).

6. DISCUSSION

The findings of this study clearly demonstrate that teacher training programs play a vital role in enhancing instructional effectiveness and improving student learning outcomes in secondary and higher secondary schools of Muzaffarabad (AJK). The strong positive relationship between teacher training and instructional effectiveness supports existing educational theories that emphasize teacher competence as a central determinant of classroom quality. Teachers who participate in structured training programs are better equipped to adopt innovative pedagogical strategies, manage classrooms effectively, and respond to diverse learner needs. This finding reinforces the view that professional development is not merely an administrative requirement but a strategic intervention for educational improvement (Timperley, 2018).

The significant impact of teacher training on student learning outcomes further highlights the importance of investing in teacher capacity building. Trained teachers are more likely to engage students actively, use formative assessment effectively, and align instruction with curriculum objectives. The partial mediating role of instructional effectiveness suggests that training enhances learning outcomes primarily by improving how teachers teach. This aligns with policy-oriented research that stresses the need for practice-based training models rather than purely theoretical workshops (Ventista, 2023).

From a policy perspective, the results suggest that uniform and short-term training initiatives may be insufficient to generate sustained improvements. Instead, continuous, context-sensitive training programs that address classroom realities are more likely to yield positive outcomes. The findings also underscore the need for monitoring and evaluation mechanisms to assess the effectiveness of training interventions. Overall, the discussion highlights teacher training as a powerful policy lever for improving educational quality and achieving long-term learning gains (Yoon et al., 2017).

7. CONCLUSION

This study concludes that teacher training programs significantly contribute to improving instructional effectiveness and student learning outcomes in secondary and higher secondary education in Muzaffarabad (AJK). The empirical evidence confirms that teachers who engage in professional training demonstrate stronger instructional practices and report better student learning outcomes. The findings emphasize that instructional effectiveness serves as a key mechanism through which training translates into improved student performance. Teacher training should therefore be viewed as a strategic investment rather than a routine administrative activity.

The study also highlights the importance of continuous and well-designed professional development programs that are aligned with classroom needs. Short-term or generic training initiatives are unlikely to produce sustainable impact without follow-up support and evaluation. From a policy perspective, the results underscore the need for evidence-based teacher training frameworks that prioritize instructional quality and learning outcomes. Overall, the study reinforces the central role of teacher training in educational reform and provides valuable

insights for policymakers seeking to enhance student learning through targeted professional development initiatives.

8. Policy Recommendations

1. Institutionalize continuous professional development as a core education policy

Teacher training should be institutionalized as a continuous professional development (CPD) policy rather than occasional workshops. Education departments should mandate annual CPD hours for teachers with clear competency targets. Regular training cycles help teachers update pedagogical skills and adapt to curriculum reforms. CPD should be embedded in career progression, promotions, and performance appraisal systems. This policy approach ensures sustainability and prevents training from becoming a one-time formality. Institutionalized CPD can strengthen overall instructional quality across schools in Muzaffarabad (AJK).

2. Align teacher training content with classroom and curriculum needs

Teacher training programs should be redesigned to match real classroom challenges and curriculum requirements. Training modules must focus on lesson planning, formative assessment, differentiated instruction, and classroom management aligned with current syllabi. Local school contexts, student learning levels, and resource constraints should guide training design. Content should include practical demonstrations, micro-teaching, and classroom-based assignments. This alignment increases relevance and improves the likelihood of implementation in daily teaching. Policy frameworks should require needs assessments before training is planned and delivered.

3. Establish monitoring and evaluation mechanisms for training programs

A systematic monitoring and evaluation (M&E) framework should be developed to assess the impact of teacher training programs. Policy should require measurable indicators such as changes in instructional practices, teacher competencies, and student achievement trends. Pre- and post-training assessments should be used to evaluate learning gains among teachers. Classroom observations and feedback mechanisms should be integrated into evaluation processes. Regular reporting can help identify effective training components and areas needing improvement. Strong M&E ensures accountability and enhances policy effectiveness through evidence-based adjustments.

4. Provide follow-up support and mentoring after training

Training programs should be supported by structured follow-up mechanisms to ensure long-term impact. Teachers need mentoring, coaching, and peer support to translate training into classroom practice. Education authorities should develop school-based mentoring systems involving experienced teachers and supervisors. Follow-up visits, reflective sessions, and professional learning communities can reinforce new skills. Without post-training support, teachers may revert to old methods despite training exposure. Policy should allocate time and resources specifically for mentoring and implementation support in schools.

5. Allocate resources based on evidence of training effectiveness

Resource allocation for teacher training should be guided by evidence rather than routine budgeting. Policymakers should prioritize funding for programs that demonstrate measurable improvements in teaching and learning outcomes. Cost-effective training models with strong impact should be scaled up, while ineffective programs should be revised or discontinued. Data from monitoring and evaluation systems should directly inform budget decisions. This approach increases efficiency and strengthens public trust in education spending. Evidence-based resource allocation ensures that training investments produce real learning gains for students.

REFERENCES

Andersson, C., & Palm, T. (2017). The impact of formative assessment on student achievement: A study of the effects of changes to classroom practice after a comprehensive professional development programme. Learning and Instruction, 49, 92–102.

Basma, B., & Savage, R. (2018). Teacher professional development and student literacy growth: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Educational Psychology Review, 30(2), 457–481.

Borko, H., Jacobs, J., & Koellner, K. (2017). Contemporary approaches to teacher professional development. In International handbook of research on teacher education (pp. 548–568). Routledge.

Desimone, L. M., & Garet, M. S. (2017). Best practices in teachers' professional development in the United States. Psychology, Society & Education, 9(2), 209–222.

Didion, L., Toste, J. R., & Filderman, M. J. (2020). Teacher professional development and student reading achievement: A meta-analytic review of the effects. Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness, 13(1), 29–66.

Egert, F., Fukkink, R. G., & Eckhardt, A. G. (2018). Impact of in-service professional development programs for early childhood teachers on quality ratings and child outcomes: A meta-analysis. Review of Educational Research, 88(3), 401–433.

European Commission. (2020). Digital education action plan 2021–2027: Resetting education and training for the digital age. Publications Office of the European Union.

Fischer, C., Fishman, B., Dede, C., Eisenkraft, A., Frumin, K., Foster, B., ... & McCoy, A. (2018). Investigating relationships between school context, teacher professional development, teaching practices, and student achievement in response to a nationwide science reform. Teaching and Teacher Education, 72, 107–121.

Hill, H. C., Beisiegel, M., & Jacob, R. (2017). Professional development research: Consensus, crossroads, and challenges. Educational Researcher, 46(4), 178–191.

Iqbal, H. M., & Ahmad, S. (2019). Teachers' professional development and students' academic achievement: Evidence from secondary schools. International Journal of Educational Management, 33(7), 1614–1627.

Kraft, M. A., Blazar, D., & Hogan, D. (2018). The effect of teacher coaching on instruction and achievement: A meta-analysis of the causal evidence. Review of Educational Research, 88(4), 547–588.

Lindvall, J., & Ryve, A. (2019). Factors influencing teachers' enactment of professional development: A systematic review. Educational Research Review, 28, 100290.

Loftus, S., & Higgs, J. (2018). Professional development and practice change: Conceptual foundations and policy implications. Professional Development in Education, 44(5), 638–651.

Mahmood, S., & Malik, S. (2022). The impact of in-service training on teachers' teaching practices: Evidence from public schools. Global Educational Studies Review, 7(2), 1–12.

OECD. (2019). TALIS 2018 results (Volume I): Teachers and school leaders as lifelong learners. OECD Publishing.

OECD. (2020). TALIS 2018 results (Volume II): Teachers and school leaders as valued professionals. OECD Publishing.

OECD. (2021). Education at a glance 2021: OECD indicators. OECD Publishing.

Popova, A., Evans, D. K., & Arancibia, V. (2018). Teacher professional development around the world: The gap between evidence and practice (Policy Research Working Paper No. 8572). World Bank.

Popova, A., Evans, D. K., Breeding, M. E., & Arancibia, V. (2022). Teacher professional development around the world: The gap between evidence and practice. The World Bank Research Observer, 37(1), 107–136.

Schindler, L. A., Burkholder, G. J., Morad, O. A., & Marsh, C. (2017). Computer-based technology and student engagement: A critical review of the literature. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 14(25), 1–28.

Schleicher, A. (2018). World class: How to build a 21st-century school system. OECD Publishing.

Sims, S., & Fletcher-Wood, H. (2021). Identifying the characteristics of effective teacher professional development: A critical review. School Effectiveness and School Improvement, 32(1), 47–63.

Tanveer, S. (2021). Impact of professional development of teachers on students' achievement. Sir Syed Journal of Education & Social Research, 4(4), 126–136.

Timperley, H. (2018). Professional development and teacher learning: An evidence-based perspective. International Journal of Educational Research, 90, 1–8.

UNESCO. (2019). Teacher policy development guide. UNESCO.

UNESCO. (2020). Global education monitoring report 2020: Inclusion and education—All means all. UNESCO.

UNESCO. (2021). Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education. UNESCO.

UNESCO. (2022). Transforming education: From commitments to action. UNESCO.

UNESCO. (2023). Global education monitoring report 2023: Technology in education—A tool on whose terms? UNESCO.

Ventista, O. M. (2023). Teachers' professional learning and its impact on students' learning outcomes: Evidence, gaps and future directions. Teaching and Teacher Education, 123, 103972.

World Bank. (2018). World development report 2018: Learning to realize education's promise. World Bank.

World Bank. (2020). Realizing the future of learning: From learning poverty to learning for everyone, everywhere. World Bank.

Yoon, K. S., Duncan, T., Lee, S. W. Y., Scarloss, B., & Shapley, K. (2017). Reviewing the evidence on how teacher professional development affects student achievement. Educational Policy, 31(4), 482–516.

Zhang, D., & Campbell, T. (2020). Teacher professional development and student achievement: A multilevel meta-analysis. Educational Research Review, 30, 100310.

Zhu, C., Devos, G., & Tondeur, J. (2019). Examining school culture and teacher professional development: The role of leadership and collaboration. Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 47(4), 590–607.